

Haryana Vidhan Sabha

Debates

30th July, 1968

Vol. I - No. 11

Official Report

Contents

Tuesday, the 30th July, 1968

	Page
Starred Question and Answers	(11)1
Short Notice Question and Answer	(11)14
Call Attention Notices	(11)15
Adjournment of the House	(11)15
No-Confidence Motions	(11)16
Walk Out	(11)26
Call Attention Notices	(11)26
Statements by the Chief Minister	(11)27
Announcement by the Speaker	(11)28
Motion under Rule 16	(11)28
Bills	
The Punjab Departmental Enquiries (Powers) (Haryana Amendment) -1968	(11)28
The Punjab Cinemas (Regulation) Haryana Amendment, 1968	(11)30

The Punjab Legislative Assembly (Allowances of Members)
Haryana Amendment, 1968 (11)31

The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speakers and Deputy
Speakers Salaries (Haryana Amendment), 1968 (11)34

Official Resolution:-

Regarding the procedure for dealing with questions of alleged
breach of privilege committed by a Member/Officer or Servant
of any other Legislature and vice-versa (11)35-36

Haryana Vidhan Sabha

Tuesday, the 30th July, 1968

The Vidhan Sabha met in the Hall of the Haryana Vidhan Sabha, Vidhan Bhawan, Chandigarh, at 9.30 A.M. of the Clock. Mr. Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) in the Chair.

STARRED QUESTION AND ANSWERS

Mr. Speaker: Hon. Members, the Question hour starts. Smt. Chandrawati.

Sh. Ram Saran Chand Mittal: On her behalf, Sir, Question No. 7.

Mr. Speaker: Has she authorized you to put question on her behalf?

Sh. Ram Saran Chand Mittal: It is implied, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: Has she authorized you in writing for that?

Sh. Daya Krishan: It is a precedent that an hon. Member can put questions on behalf of the other Member.

Mr. Speaker: If authorized.

Sh. Daya Krishan: Authority is implied, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: I would draw the attention of the hon. Members to Rule 52(3) of the Assembly Rules which says:-

“If on a question being called it is not put or the member in whose name it stands absent and no one has been authorized by him to put it, the speaker at the request of any member, may direct that the answer to it be given.”

So, has she authorized the hon. Member to put the question on her behalf?

Sh. Daya Krishan: I am afraid the rules are very clear on the subject.

**Stipends for Scheduled Castes and Backward Classes
Students.**

***68. Subedar Parbhu Singh:** Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:-

- a) Whether it is a fact that Scheduled Castes and other Backward Classes students studying in 9th, 10th and 11th Classes are not getting stipends at the rate fixed by the Government;
- b) Whether the Government intend to increase the rate of said stipend in view of the abnormal rise in the price line?

Sh. Bansi Lal: (a) No.

(b) No.

श्री मंगल सैन: क्या मुख्य मंत्री जी बताएंगे कि आजकल की हाई प्राइसिज की लाइट में सरकार हरिजन और बैकवर्ड क्लासिज के विद्यार्थियों का वजीफा बढ़ाने को तैयार है क्योंकि उन्होंने अभी फरमाया है कि उन्होंने अभी तक इस बात को तय नहीं किया है ?

मुख्य मंत्री: नहीं, क्योंकि सरकार के जेरे गौर अभी कोई ऐसी बात नहीं है।

चौधरी चांद राम: क्या चीफ मिनिस्टर साहिब बताएंगे कि जिन विद्यार्थियों को हैड-मास्टर द्वारा या उसके दफ्तर में फार्म गुम होने की वजह से या किन्हीं और वजुहात से वजीफा नहीं मिला है क्या उनको वजीफा दिलाया जाएगा ?

मुख्य मंत्री: अगर माननीय सदस्य इस तरह के कोई केसिज सरकार के नोटिस में लाएंगें तो उनके साथ जरूर इन्साफ किया जाएगा।

चौधरी चांद राम: स्पीकर साहिब

Mr. Speaker: Kindly ask your question within half a minute.

चौधरी चांद राम: स्पीकर साहिब, इसमें इन्साफ का सवाल नहीं है। फर्ज करो कि अगर किसी विद्यार्थी ने प्रैसक्राइब्ड फार्म हैडमास्टर को दिया यह उसके हैडक्लर्क को दिया मगर वह या तो हेडमास्टर से स्वयं गुम हो गया या कहीं दफ्तर में

मिस-प्लेस हो गया और फार्म गुम होने के कारण स्टूडेंट को वजीफा नहीं मिला। ऐसे केसिज के बारे में मैं स्पेसिफिकली जानना चाहता हूँ किय आया उनको वजीफा दिलाया जाएगा या नहीं ?

Mr. Speaker: I allowed the hon. Member to speak for half a minute as the answer has already been given that if there was any case of this nature where the forms duly filled in had been submitted within the stipulated time. It should be brought to the notice of the Chief Minister and he would take necessary action.

सूबेदार प्रभू सिंह: क्या चीफ मिनिस्टर साहिब बताएंगे कि वजीफा देने की 1956 में शुरू की गई शरह को किताबें और दीगर चीजें मंहगी मिलने की वजह से, बढ़ाया जाएगा ?

मुख्य मंत्री: अभी तक कोई ऐसा विचार नहीं है।

चौधरी चांद राम: स्पीकर साहिब, मैं सरकार से आपके द्वारा जानना चाहता हूँ कि जब यह दूसरे लोगों का प्राइस इनडैक्स को देखते हुए मंहगाई भता आदि बढ़ाते हैं तो क्या मंहगाई को देखते हुए इन गरीब स्टूडेंट्स के वजीफे में बढ़ोतरी करने के बारे में यह सोचेंगे ?

मुख्य मंत्री: मैं इसका जवाब पहले दे चुका हूँ कि सरकार इसके बारे में सोच रही है।

सूबेदार प्रभू सिंह: क्या मुख्य मंत्री महोदय यह बताएंगे कि वजीफे को बजाय सालाना देने के मंथली बेसिज पर देने के लिये सरकार विचार करेगी ? क्योंकि सारा साल भर स्टूडेंट्स को अभी तक उधार ले लेकर गुजारा करना पड़ता है ?

मुख्य मंत्री: हां, इस बात पर सरकार विचार कर रही है ।

**Concession to Government Employees belonging to
Scheduled Castes**

***66. Subedar Parbhu Singh:** Will the Minister for Labour be pleased to state:-

- a) Whether it is a fact that in the year 1967 the Government withdraw some concessions enjoyed by Government employees belonging to Scheduled Caste in connection with their promotion.
- b) Whether it is also a fact that the Government subsequently reconsidered the issue and decided to issue revised instructions in respect thereof; if so, when; if not, the reasons there for;
- c) Whether there are any cases of promotion of Government employees belonging to Scheduled Castes lying pending in the Social Welfare Department; if so, when and the date when the same are likely to be disposed of?

Ch. Ran Singh: (a) Yes. Revised instructions were issued to fall in line with the policy adopted by Government of India.

(b) The matter is still under consideration.

(c) Yes. Some cases have been pending since October, 1967 and will be disposed of when revised policy is finalized.

चौधरी चांद राम: क्या मिनिस्टर साबि बताएंगे कि इस पालिसी को कब रिवाइज किया गया था पहले तो कैबिनेट का फैसला था कि इनको कनसैशन मिलेगा।

श्रम मंत्री: यह तो सवाल के फर्स्ट पार्ट में ही लिखा है कि पालिसी में रिवाइज हुई थी।

Ch. Chand Ram: May I know the date and month on which this decision was taken up?

Finance Minister: Perhaps it was in September/October.

Ch. Chand Ram: Was it taken during the President's Rule or popular rule?

Labour Minister: Probably, it was taken in the month of September/October.

चौधरी चांद राम: इसका मतलब यह हुआ कि यह प्रैजिडेंट रूल में हुआ। In the composite Punjab, the President Rule was imposed in June-July, 1966. I want to know whether

these orders were issued before the President Rule was imposed or after that?

Minister: The revised instructions were issued in August, 1967, when the hon. Member was himself in the Government.

चौधरी चांद राम: जुलाई में मैं मिनिस्ट्री से बाहर आ गया था। मेरे वक्त कोई ऐसी चीज नहीं हो सकती।

I wanted to confirm whether the revised instructions refusing any reservation in promotions were made in August, 1967 or they were issued in the joint Punjab, i.e., before the reorganization of the State of Punjab?

Minister: The revised policy was introduced on 10th of August, 1967.

Ch. Chand Ram: I asked whether those orders were issued during the President's Rule in the joint Punjab but the reply is purposely being avoided so that supplementaries may not be asked.

Mr. Speaker: The Minister has been given the answer. He could give further clarification after going into the details.

सूबेदार प्रभू सिंह: स्पीकर साहिब, सवाल के पार्ट बी के उत्तर में मिनिस्टर साहब ने फरमाया कि the matter is still under consideration.

मंत्री: स्पीकर साहिब, मैं स्पेसिफिकली यह जानना चाहता हूँ कि पहले क्या आर्डर थे और सितम्बर 1967 में क्या आर्डर हुए ?

Mr. Speaker: You want that a copy of those instructions be placed on the Table of the House.

Ch. Chand Ram: Sir, the Minister has got the reply with him. There is always a 'note for pad' with the Ministers which contains the details. He can supply that information.

Mr. Speaker: Next question, please.

चौधरी चांद राम: स्पीकर साहिब, यह प्रश्न बहुत महत्वपूर्ण है क्योंकि पापुलर रूल में मेरे वक्त शायद 1963 के अन्दर रिजर्वेशन इन प्रमोशन वाली बात हुई थी। 1963 के बाद भी इनमें जो लपहोल्ज थे उनको भी प्लग किया जाता रहा। मगर आज यह हाउस के अन्दर कहा जाता है कि हमने रिवाइज कर दिया था। एक तो यह चीज आप इन से क्लैरीफाइ कर लें कि आया यह आर्डरज पापुलर रूल में रिवाइज हुए थे या प्रैजिडेंट रूल के दौरान रिवाइज हुए थे। दूसरे अगस्त, 1967 से पहले क्या आर्डर हुए इसका हमें पता लगाना चाहिए ताकि उसके बाद हम कुछ और सप्लीमेंट्रीज कर सकें।

मंत्री: स्पीकर साहिब, उस लैटर की कापी मेरे पास है। मैं उसका कुछ हिस्सा पढ़ देता हूँ।

'In order to remove the ambiguity, the revised instructions were issued on 10th August, 1967 laying down clearly that there would be no reservation in appointments made by promotion on the basis of seniority subject to fitness.'

चौधरी चांद राम: स्पीकर साहिब, क्या ही अच्छा हो यदि मंत्री महोदय इसकी व्याख्या कर दें क्योंकि जो कुछ उन्होंने पढ़ा है वह बड़ा वेग सा है।

मंत्री: इसका मतलब वाकई ही वेग हैं। परन्तु स्पीकर साहिब, यह मामला जेरे गौर है और हम जल्दी ही इसका मतलब क्लीयर कर देंगे।

चौधरी चांद राम: क्या मंत्री महोदय बताएंगे कि क्लास वन और क्लास टू के लिये भी रिजर्वेशन की जाएगी या सिर्फ क्लास थ्री और क्लास फोर के लिये ही होगी ?

वित्त मंत्री: स्पीकर साहिब, अगर आप ईजाजत दें तो 10 अगस्त, 1967 के आर्डरज की जो कापी है, उसे हम ले कर देते हैं ताकि मेम्बर साहिबान उसे देख सकें।

चौधरी चांद राम: ओम प्रभा जी, आप तो बड़ी सीनियर और एफिशिएन्ट मेम्बर हैं, आपको ऐसी बता नहीं करनी चाहिए। यह तो सीन्यारेटी के बारे में एम्बिग्यूटी रिमूव की गई है। मेरा तो सवाल यह था।

Whether the reservation in promotion applies to the members of the scheduled castes or not and if it applies to what categories of services does it apply? Does it also apply to class I and class II services?

श्रम मंत्री: इस वक्त के आदेशों के अनुसार रिजर्वेशन वाली बात क्लास वन और क्लास टू को अप्लाई नहीं होती।

सूबेदार प्रभू सिंह: क्या मंत्री महोदय यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे जैसा कि उन्होंने पार्ट सी के जवाब में बताया है कि:

‘Some cases have been pending since October, 1967 and will be disposed of when revised policy is finalized.’

इन सम केसिज की गिनती कितनी है ?

मंत्री: कुछ केसिज सोश्लल वेलफेयर डिपार्टमेंट के पास हैं, उनकी गिनती तो अब मेरे पास नहीं है। अगर कोई स्पैसिफिक नाम में आप इन्ट्रेस्टिड हों तो बतायें।

Malik Mukhtiar Singh: The Minister has said that the reservation does not apply to Class I and II posts. May I know from his whether he considers the candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes incompetent to hold these posts?

Minister: I do not think so.

Malik Mukhtiar Singh: If the Government does not think them incompetent, then why a decision has not been taken regarding reservation in Class I and II posts?

मंत्री: स्पीकर साहिब, मैंने अभी कहा है कि यह मामला गवर्नमेंट के अन्डर कन्सिड्रेशन है। ऐसा मैं नहीं समझता कि वे इनकम्पीटेंट हैं।

चौधरी चांद राम: मैं मंत्री महोदय से यह जानना चाहता हूँ कि किस किस के कितने केस सोशल वेलफेयर डिपार्टमेंट के पास पेंडिंग हैं ? यह महकमे भी इनके ही पास हैं और इन्हें इस बात का पता होना चाहिए।

But so far as I know the Social Welfare Department, does not deal with such cases. These are being dealt with by the Harijan Welfare Department. May I know that kind of cases are pending in that Department?

मंत्री: स्पीकर साहिब, जिन आदमियों ने यह समझा कि उनके साथ ज्यादाती हुई है उन्होंने सरकार को रिपोजेन्टेशन दे दी और ये रिपोजेन्टेशनज सोशल वेलफेयर डिपार्टमेंट के पास पेंडिंग हैं।

श्री मंगल सैन: मंत्री महोदय ने, स्पीकर साहिब, कहा है कि क्लास वन और क्लास टू को प्रमोट करने के बारे में सरकार विचार कर रही है। मैं मंत्री महोदय से पूछना चाहूंगा कि इन्होंने कब से विचार करना शुरू किया और कब तक इसका निर्णय कर लेंगे ?

मंत्री: मैंने केवल क्लास वन और क्लास टू का जिक्र नहीं किया। मैंने तो सारे केसिज के बारे में बताया है कि अन्डर कन्सीड्रेशन है।

श्री मंगल सैन: स्पीकर साहिब, इन्होंने पहले कहा कि क्लास वन और क्लास टू के केस पैडिंग हैं। अब ये कहते हैं कि सारे पैडिंग हैं।

Minister: I have said, the matter as a whole is under the consideration of the Government,

Chaudhri Chand Ram: May I know since when these cases are pending and secondly, whether the advice of the Social Welfare Department is normally accepted by the Departments concerned?

Mr. Speaker: I suppose this is not a fair question. You may put another supplementary.

चौधरी चांद राम: स्पीकर साहिब श्रम मंत्री महोदय ने अभी कहा है कि एक या दो साल से पैडिंग है।

But my submission is that the Government has been functioning. Whether he remains the Minister or anybody else-Government is a continuous body. If that is so, why these cases have not been finalized?

मंत्री: स्पीकर साहिब, यह कोई सवाल नहीं है।

Mr. Speaker: This question is disallowed and no further supplementaries.

**Evaluation Committee on Scheduled Castes and Backward
Classes in the State**

***67. Subedar Parbhu Singh:** Will the Minister for Labour be pleased to state:-

- a) Whether Government have constituted any Evaluation Committee on Scheduled and Backward Classes likewise constituted in the erstwhile Punjab; if so, the names of the members of the Committee; if not, when the same is proposed to be constituted;
- b) If constituted, the number of meetings held together with the details of the decisions taken so far?

Ch. Ran Singh: (a) No. No such proposal is under consideration at present.

(b) Question does not arise.

सूबेदार प्रभू सिंह: क्या मंत्री महोदय यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि क्या ऐसी कमेटी पहले भी किसी सरकार ने बनायी थी या नहीं ?

श्रम मंत्री: हां ज्वायंट पंजाब के समय में बनी थी और मैं उस कमेटी का मेम्बर था।

Ch. Chand Ram: When that committee was constituted, was the hon. Minister a member of the committee; if so, did they make any report?

Minister: Yes, Sir. I was a member of that committee and we submitted a report to the Government at that time.

Ch. Chand Ram: May I know the date on which this committee was constituted?

Minister: Probably in 1957.

Ch. Chand Ram: May I know whether the recommendations made by the Evaluation Committee will be implemented by him?

Mr. Speaker: Not allowed.

CH. Chand Ram: Sir, I have put a relevant question.

Mr. Speaker: I have considered all aspects before disallowing this question.

चौधरी चांद राम: जब मंत्री महोदय जवाब देते हैं कि रिपोर्ट दी थी तो स्पीकर साहिब उसका सप्लीमेंटरी नेचुरली पूछा जाएगा ।

Mr. Speaker: I will request the hon. Members not to question my ruling. Once I have given the ruling, I am not going to revise it.

Floods in Palwal Town

***48. Sh. Roop Lal Mehta:** Will the Minister for Irrigation and Power be pleased to state the steps, if any, so far taken by the Government to save the Palwal Town from the havoc of flood caused every year during the rainy season by a Drain situated between the Colony and G.T. Road?

Sh. Ram Dhari Gaur: Palwal Town is served by two drains, i.e., Palwal Drain and Palwal Link Drain. Palwal Drain is maintained by U.P. and no action can be taken by Irrigation Department till this drain is transferred to Haryana, efforts for which are being made. Palwal Link Drain outfalls into Gaunchi Drain. Last year this drain could not function efficiently because of high flood level in Gaunchi Drain. Gaunchi Drain has already been taken up from the down stream side which will lower the High Flood Level and ensure efficient working of Palwal Link Drain on completion.

श्री रूप लाल महता: क्या मंत्री महोदय यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि यू.पी. गवर्नमेंट से यह ड्रेन कब तक वापिस ले ली जायेगी ?

सिंचाई तथा विद्युत मंत्री: जल्दी इस को लेने की कोशिश की जायेगी ?

श्रीमति शारदा रानी: क्या मंत्री जी बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि जो हमारा एरिया फ्लड से इफैक्टिड है और जिसकी आर्थिक दशा खराब हो गई है, क्या सरकार उस क्षेत्र को बैकवर्ड एरिया करार देने की कृपा करेंगी और उनको वहीं सुविधायें प्रदान

करने की कृपा करेंगी जो आजकल बैकवर्ड एरिया के लोगों को दी जाती हैं ?

मंत्री: जहां हर साल फ्लड आते हैं वह बैकवर्ड एरियाज ही होते हैं।

श्री मंगल सैन: जनाब जो सवाल श्रीमति शारदा रानी ने पूछा है क्या यह सप्लीमेंट्री है ?

Mr. Speaker: I disallow it. The hon. Member should not unnecessarily disrupt the proceedings of the House.

श्री रूप लाल महता: क्या मंत्री महोदय बताएंगे कि जो गांव फलड्ज से इफैक्टिड हो जाते हैं उन को रिलीफ दिया जाएगा ?

मंत्री: इसके लिये डी.सी. को अथोरिटी है कि वह मौके पर जाकर सिचुएशन देखकर जो रिलीफ देना चाहे वह दे सकता है।

चौधरी लाल सिंह: जो हमारे इलाके में बारिश से हर बार तबाही होती है उसके लिये कोई परमानेंट रिलीफ की योजना बनाई जाएगी ?

श्री अध्यक्ष: आपने जो काल अटेंशन दी थी उसकी बाबत मैंने आपसे अर्ज किया था कि आप मेरे चैंबर में आ जाएं लेकिन आप नहीं आए। आप एक तरफ तो यह सवाल उठाते हैं

और जब मैं डिसकस करने के लिये कहता हूँ तो मिलना चाहिये था लेकिन आपने कोई विचार नहीं किया। आप फिर मुझे मिल कर सारी बात बताइए, हम बैठ कर विचार करेंगे।

श्री फतेह चन्द विज: आपने पलवल को बचाने के लिये कोई प्रपोजल सेंट्रल गवर्नमेंट को भेजा है।

मंत्री: जब आपने यह सुन लिया है कि गौँछी ड्रेन की वजह से यह नुक्सान होता है और उस पर गवर्नमेंट ने खुद ही काम करवाना शुरू कर दिया है तो फिर सेंट्रल गवर्नमेंट को भेजने की क्या जरूरत है ?

Relief of Children of Low-Paid Deceased Employee of the Electricity Department

***49. Sh. Roop Lal Mehta:** Will the Minister for Irrigation and Power be pleased to state whether any steps are being taken by the Government for providing relief to the children of low paid employees of the Electricity Department who in the performance of their duties died due the electric shocks?

Sh. Ram Dhari Gaur: Legal dependents, including children of the workmen of the Haryana State Electricity Board, in the event of their death due to electric shocks while performing official duty, are being paid compensation at the rates admissible under the provisions of the work men compensation Act, 1923.

In deserving cases, certain amount of ex-gratia compensation on compassionate grounds, is allowed to those legal heirs of the deceased work men to whom the provisions of the said Act do not apply.

श्री रूप लाल महता: क्या मंत्री महोदय बताएंग कि इलैक्ट्रिक शाक से जो डैथ्स हुई हैं उन की तादाद कितनी है ? और कितने केसिज में मदद दी गई है ?

मंत्री: 20 केसिज का फैसला हो चुका है क्योंकि वह डिजर्विंग केसिज थे और 12 केसिज अभी पैडिंग हैं ?

श्री मंगल सैन: डिजर्विंग केसिज में जब प्रोवीजन लागू नहीं होता तो उन्हें कम्पैनसेशन दिया जाता है तो जनाब मेरा प्रश्न मंत्री महोदय के सामने यह है कि कौन से लोग इस कैटेगरी में आते हैं ?

मंत्री: यह सरकमस्टांसिज देख के डिसाइड किया जाता है ।

श्री मंगल सैन: मेरे सवाल का जवाब नहीं आया, जनाब ।

Mr. Speaker: The fact of the matter appears to be that the hon. Minister does not have the necessary information with him. This could be given later.

श्री मंगल सैन: स्पीकर साहिब, इनफर्मेशन घर में पहुंचेगी या कि हाउस में दी जाएगी ?

श्री अध्यक्ष: हाउस में आप को उतर मिल जाएगा ? आप इसे पोस्टपोन समझिए।

Pucca Road from Bamni Khera to Chandhat

***47. Sh. Roop Lal Mehta:** Will the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state the time by which the Government will construct a pucca road from Bamni Khera to Chandhat where earth work has since been completed.

Sh. K.L. Poswal: The metal ling of the section from Rasulpur to Chandhat of Bamni Khera Chandhat Road has been provided in the draft Fourth Plan (1969-74), and the remaining portion from Bamni Khera to Rasulpur will be considered in the subsequent Plan.

श्री रूप लाल महता: क्या मंत्री महोदय बतलाने की कृपा करेंगे कि जो अर्थवर्क इस साल हो चुका है वह क्या बारिश की वजह से जाया नहीं हो जाएगा ? इस काम को मुकम्मल क्यों नहीं किया जाता ?

लोक-कार्य मंत्री: इस पर कुछ पैसा लगा चुका है और इस दफा जो पैसा मिलेगा उसके मुताबिक हम वर्क करेंगे।

चौधरी चांद राम: क्या वजीर साहिब बतलाएंगे कि क्या चौथी प्लान शुरू हो चुकी है और अगर शुरू नहीं हुई तो यह कब तक शुरू हो जाएगी ?

वित्त मंत्री: चौथी प्लान अप्रैल से शुरू हो चुकी है। यह जो बीच के तीन साल हैं यह इंडीविजुअल ईयर्स कहलाते हैं। यह फोर्थ फाइव ईयर प्लैन में इनक्लूड नहीं किए गए।

श्री रूप लाल महता: क्या इस पसमांदा इलाके को फायदा पहुंचाने के लिए कुछ रूपया इस करेंट फाइनेशियल ईयर से देंगे ?

लोक कार्य मंत्री: जो रूपया प्लैन से मिलता है वह अगर मिल गया तो यह सड़कें वगैरह जरूर बनाएंगे।

श्री रूप लाल महता: क्या इस जिले की तरफ जिसके कि यह मिनिस्टर हैं खास ध्यान दिया जाएगा ?

मंत्री: सिर्फ इसी जिला की तरफ ही नहीं बल्कि सारे हरियाणा की तरफ ध्यान देंगे।

Mr. Speaker: Next question No. 80 by Sh. Mangal Sein, please.

Sh. Mangal Sein: Sir, before I formally sk my question, I would like your Ruling on a point.

आन ए प्वायंट आफ आर्डर, सर। स्पीकर साहिब, आप का हुक्म जब एक बार हाउस में हो जाए तो क्याउसे सरकार को मानना चाहिये या नहीं ? मुझे अभी तक इस सवाल का रिटन रिप्लाई नहीं दिया गया। मैं इस बात की अपेक्षा करता हूं कि इस

का जवाब काफी लम्बा होगा और मुझे उस पर सप्लीमेंट्री पूछने में दिक्कत आयेगी।

Mr. Speaker: I will make enquiries and shall see what can be done about it in future.

Principal, Medical College, Rohtak

***80. Sh. Mangal Sein:** Will the Minister for Health be pleased to state:-

- (a) Whether any enquiry was held against the Principal, Medical College, Rohtak, during the year, 1967; if so, the details of the charges which had been leveled against the said Principal;
- (b) The details of the report of the Inquiry Committee set up in the said case together with the action, if any, taken thereon by the Government?

Ch. Khurshid Adhmed: (a) Yes, the details of charges level and against the Principal, Medical College, Rohtak, are at Annexure 'A'.

(b) It is not in public interest to divulge the contents of the report of the Enquiry Committee. However, after considering report of the Committee and the explanation submitted thereupon by the Principal, it was found that none of the charges leveled against him was proved and, therefore, no action was taken.

ANNEXURE 'A'

Confidential

Statement of Charges

1. That while working as Principal, Medical College, Rohtak, during the year 1967-68 you, Dr. Inderjit Dewan created conditions which led to the recent strike by the students of the Medical College, Rohtak, in-as-much as the detention of the 13 students by you in the Junior First Professional in complete disregard of the well high established convention of not so doing, and the prima facie unsatisfactory and even discriminatory criteria adopted for detention aroused the resentment of and discontentment among the 13 students affected, particularly and other students generally. This discontentment was further heightened when you refused to relent in disregard of numerous requests made to you by those detained and their representatives in person. It also appears that no notice of this unprecedented decision to detain the student was served on them or their parents. This too aggravated the feeling of the students in the matter.
2. That you have ceased to have the confidence of the large majority of the students on account of the manner in which you detained the students, which detention finally culminated in the recent strike by a large majority of the

students. Not only this, your earlier conduct in going back on the agreement between you and the striking student last year, on the basis of which agreement they had called off the strike unconditionally and your closing the Department of Anatomy, forfeited the confidence of the students, in you no less. Further, your neglect to make use of the existing forums, namely the Central Association of students, the System of Tutorials etc. contributed in no small a measure to lack of understanding between you and the students and consequent loss of their confidence and respect in you.

3. That you lack the qualities that could get you the respect, goodwill and whole hearted co-operation of our colleagues. You did not even care to bring, before you took the decision, the important and unprecedented matter like that of the detention of the students before the College Council, showing thereby that you did not like to take your colleagues into confidence on this important matter.

In short you lack the administrative qualities of leadership, tact, etc. so essentially for any Head of an Institution to run it successfully.

Sd/- B.L. Ahuja,

Secretary to Government
Haryana,

Medical and Health
Department.

Confidential

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

1. You are responsible for precipitating the said in-as-much as the detention of the 13 students was unprecedented and discriminatory. It was unprecedented because over the year there has been no such case of detention in the Junior First Professional Further, this detention was in disregard of the provisions contained in the College prospectus which was duly approved by the Government. In disregarding these provisions you worked out criteria for detaining the students which on the face of it is not only un-satisfactory but discriminatory, in-as-much as some of the students who were promoted according to the criteria had failed hopelessly in the internal tests. There could hardly be any difference between the students who did not appear for the tests and were, therefore, detained and the students who appeared but failed miserably, secured even minus marks but yet promoted. This does tend to show the discriminatory nature of the criteria adopted.

It also appears that the student or their parents were not fore-warned through a regular notice of this unprecedented decision to detain them in case they failed to fulfil certain conditions, for as against the assertions of the students that no such notice was ever displayed on the Notice Board or served on their parents, no documentary evidence such as the copy of the notice/the dispatch number showing its dispatch on the register is available.

2. The discriminatory manner in which you detained these students, apart from the fact that the detention itself was an unprecedented step, was responsible for shaking the confidence of the students in the Principal. Their confidence and faith was further undermined by your ignoring their and their representatives requests to relent in your decision to detain them. Even on an earlier occasion your conduct vis-à-vis the students who went on strike last year was not fair and worthy of the Principal in-as-much as you went back on the agreement reached with the striking students in pursuance with the Department of Anatomy, despite the fact that the striking students in pursuance with the agreement had unconditionally called off the strike. You have also neglected to make use of the forums namely, Central Association of Students, the System of Tutorials etc. and this too contributed in no small a measure to the lack of understating between the staff and the students and loss of their respect and confidence in you.
3. Your senior colleagues are dissatisfied with your treatment of them, particularly with your not taking

them into confidence in respect of important matters. For instance before the unprecedented decision to detain the students was taken by you, you did not place the matter before the College Council comprised by your senior colleagues. On an earlier occasion last year, as stated in para 2 preceding, when you went back on the agreement reached then with the striking students and closed the Department of Anatomy thereby disallowing the students to complete their work, you let down your colleagues too. It is because of this treatment of theirs that you not been able to get their respect and whole hearted co-operation and assistance.

Briefly stated, you lack the various administrative qualities of leadership, tact etc. so essential for any Head of the Institution to run it successfully.

Sd/- B.L. Ahuja,

Secretary to Government
Haryana,

Medical and Health
Department.

श्री मंगल सैन: स्पीकर साहिब, मुझे रिटर्न रिप्लाइ तो दिया नहीं गया। मैं सप्लीमेंट्री क्या पूछूँ ?

Mr. Speaker: I do not wish to enter into this controversy. But my information is that attempts were made to deliver to the hon. Member enclosures to the answer to this question, which he refused to accept.

मुझको बताया गया है कि आपको पेपर्स देने की कोशिश की गई थी लेकिन आपने लेने से इन्कार कर दिया था। तो अब आपको शिकवा नहीं होना चाहिए।

श्री मंगल सैन: स्पीकर साहिब, आज जब सेशन शुरू होने लगा तो उस वक्त मुझे यह कागज देने की कोशिश की गई थी, लेकिन उस वक्त मैं लेकर क्या करता ?

Mr. Speaker: I suggest that the hon. Member may please come to my Chamber, when I will discuss this matter with him.

Supplementaries to this question are postponed.

Civil Hospital, Rohtak

***81. Sh. Mangal Sein:** Will the Minister for Health be pleased to state whether the Government have received any complaints against the merger of the Civil Hospital, Rohtak with the Medical College, Rohtak; if so, the action taken thereon.

Ch. Khurshed Ahmed: Yes; these were not only against the proposed merger of the Civil Hospital Rohtak with the Medical College, Rohtak, but also against its shifting to the local Medical College. After considering these carefully, it was decided not to effect the proposed shifting but only to transfer its control to the Principal, Medical College, Rohtak.

श्री मंगल सैन: क्या मंत्री महोदय बताएंगे कि उनके पास एक ऐसी शिकायत आई है कि उस हस्पताल के मर्जर के बाद वहां पर फर्स्ट एड का भी कोई प्रबन्ध नहीं रहा और लोग वहां पर दम तोड़ देते हैं ?

Health Minister: Sir, this supplementary is not covered by the information which was sought through this question. It was only with regard to its merger and not with regard to its functioning after merger and therefore, the information asked through this supplementary is not with me here.

श्री मंगल सैन: मंत्री महोदय ने बताया है कि उनके पास मर्जर के बारे में शिकायतें आई, मैं जानना चाहूंगा कि उन शिकायतों में क्या क्या लिखा हुआ था ?

मंत्री: जो शिकायतें आई थीं उस वक्त के हमारे जो वजीरे सेहत थे उन्होंने तमाम शिकायतें डाक्टर साहिब से डिस्कस की थी और उन पर अपना फैसला दिया था।

श्री मंगल सैन: स्पीकर साहिब, मैंने तो सीधा सवाल पूछा है कि वह शिकायतें क्या थीं ?

मंत्री: उनकी डिटेल मेरे पास इस वक्त नहीं है।

श्री मंगल सैन: मैं पूछना चाहूंगा कि यह जानकारी इनको कैसे मिल गई कि मैं उनके पास बैठा हुआ था ?

मंत्री: यह जानकारी मेरे पास है।

श्री मंगल सैन: स्पीकर साहिब, मैं आपके द्वारा जानना चाहूंगा कि जब एक लाख से ऊपर लोग यह कहें कि सिविल हस्पताल का मर्जर गलत हुआ है और वह मांग करें कि उसको पुराने स्टेटस पर ही लाया जाए तो क्या सरकार उनकी मांग मानने के लिये तैयार है ?

मंत्री: अभी तक हम उसी पालिसी पर अमल कर रहे हैं जिसका फैसला आनरेबल मैनबर की कन्सल्टेशन से हुआ था। लेकिन अब अगर कोई और चीज इनके दिमाग में है कि उस फैसले को बदला जाए तो यह उसे लिखकर दे हम उसको देख लेंगे।

श्री मंगल सैन: मेरी कन्सल्टेशन के बाद मेरी इच्छा के विरुद्ध जो फैसला किया गया उस को कैंसल करके क्या मंत्री महोदय मेरी पुरानी मांग को मानने के लिये तैयार हैं ?

मंत्री: आप अपनी डिमांड को फिर से रिन्यू कर दे हम उस को देख लेंगे।

श्री मंगल सैन: वहां के अबाम बड़ी भारी तकलीफ महसूस कर रहे हैं, इसके पेशेनजर मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या सरकार मेरी मांग को स्वीकार कर लेगी ?

मंत्री: स्वीकार करने का सवाल बाद में पैदा होगा, पहले आप अपनी मांग तो पेश करें।

श्री मंगल सैन: स्पीकर साहिब, जब मैं इतनी कैटागरीकल मांग कर रहा हूं तो मंत्री महोदय को कह देना चाहिये कि मान ली जाएगी।

Mr. Speaker: I think the hon. Member should send his recommendation to the Government in writing and also discuss the matter with the hon. Minister personally.

Sh. Mangal Sein: Sir, I wanted to know what was the policy of the Government in this regard.

Mr. Speaker: Better send concrete suggestion to the hon. Minister and then discuss it with him.

Next is a Short Notice Question No. 103

Short Notice Questions and Answer

Beach in Butana Branch

***103. Ch. Narain Singh:** Will the Minister for Irrigation and Power be pleased to state:-

(a) Whether any breach occurred in the Butana Branch, on the 7th June, 1968.

(b) If so, the steps being taken to compensate the farmers for the damage, if any, caused to their crops in village Mehrada of Jind District.

Sh. Ram Dhari Gaur: (a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

चौधरी नारायण सिंह: क्या मंत्री महोदय के नोटिस में यह बात आई है कि 7 और 8 जून की रात को एक आऊटलैट फिफस करते वक्त नहर में पानी आने से वह निकल गया और उसके बाद एक्स.ई.एन. ने एस.डी.ओ. की जवाब तलबी की कि यह कट क्यों दिखाया गया है ? उस ब्रीच क्यों नहीं दिखाया गया ?

सिंचाई तथा विद्युत मंत्री: मेरी इतलाह यह है कि 6 और 7 जून की रात को डैलिब्रेट कट किया गया था जिस से 409 एकड़ जमीन को फायदा पहुंचा। इस के मुताल्लिक पुलिस में रिपोर्ट दर्ज है और तावान आर्डर जारी कर दिया गया हुआ है। अब जब पुलिस की रिपोर्ट आएगी तभी ठीक तरह से पता लगेगा।

चौधरी नारायण सिंह: क्या यह बात मंत्री महोदय के इल्म में है कि वह तमाम वाटरलाग्ड एरिया है, जब पानी आने से उनकी खड़ी फसल तबाह हो गई है ? उनको कट करने का क्या फायदा हो सकता था ?

मंत्री: मैंने खुद जाकर वह इलाका नहीं देखा। अगर मेम्बर साहिब का यही ख्याल है कि एस.डी.ओ. ने ठीक नहीं किया तो हम एक्स.ई.एन. से इन्क्वायरी करवाने के लिये यार हैं।

चौधरी राज सिंह दलाल: क्या वजीर साहिब बताएंगे कि क्या आज तक महकमा नहर ने ब्रीच माना है या हमेशा कट ही कही जाती है ?

मंत्री: आप कोई वाकिया बता दें कि ब्रीच हुआ था और कट दिखा दिया गया था। अब ऐसे मैं ऐट रैंडम कैसे इस बारे में बता सकता हूँ। अगर आप कोई पर्टिकुलर केस नोटिस में लाएंगे तो इनक्वायरी कर लेंगे।

चौधरी राज सिंह दलाल: मैं यह पूछना चाहता हूँ कि क्या आज तक इस महकमा में कभी नहीं कोई ब्रीच हुआ है या हमेशा कट ही दिखाए जाते हैं ?

मंत्री: आप इसके लिये नोटिस दे दें, पता करके बता देंगे।

Mr. Speaker: Probably he requires a notice for answering this question.

Rao Birender Singh: This question does not require any notice. The hon. Member wants to know whether there has been a single breach so far. The Hon. Minister has been incharge of this department and he should give this

information. क्या आपकी जिदन्गी में कभी कोई ब्रीच हुआ ही नहीं (हंसी)

मंत्री: वह ब्रीच आपने ही किया था मैंने नहीं (हंसी)

Call Attention Notices

Mr. Speaker: Call Attention Notice No. 19 given notice of by Sarvshri Fateh Chand Vij and Randhir Singh regarding delaying the installment of paddy crop shots is disallowed as the members have failed to discuss or explain to me what they exactly mean. Moreover, there is no time left now for such a discussion.

Call Attention Notice No. 20 by Sh. Daya Krishan regarding the delay in effecting division of Pepsu Road Transport Corporation between Haryana and Punjab thereby causing great loss to this State is admitted. Would the hon. Member please read out his motion?

ADJOURNMENT OF THE HOUSE

Rao Birender Singh: On a point of Order, Sir.

Notices of no-confidence motions were submitted to you this morning. The rule provides that these must be taken up after questions and before the business on the list for the day is entered upon.

Mr. Speaker: The point of Order is in order. We shall do that.

Hon. Members, I have received three notices of no-confidence motion one from nine members at about 8.58 a.m., the other by Sh. Dalip Singh at 9.22 this morning and the third from five members at 9.20 this morning. I have not had adequate time to consider these motions and I will require about an hour for that. I, therefore, adjourn the House till quarter-past-eleven this morning.

(The House then adjourned and re-assembled at 11.15 A.M.)

Mr. Speaker: As I said earlier a number of no-confidence motions have been received. I have considered the matter thoroughly and I find that the motions are in order. (Thumping from the Opposition). Since all the three motions related to almost the same subject, I take up the one in the name of Sarvshri Dalip Singh and Satya Narain Syngol and others being the first in the order of receipt which reads:-

“This House expresses its want of confidence in the Government as a whole.”

Mr. Speaker: Have the hon. Members the leave of the House to move this motion?

(Twenty four members rose in favour of leave being granted)

The leave was granted (Thumping from the Opposition).

Mr. Speaker: The leave has been granted and I allot three hours immediately today for its discussion.

राव बीरेन्द्र सिंह: स्पीकर साहिब, इस सम्बन्ध में हमारी एक दरखास्त है, वह आप सुन लीजिए। आज असैम्बली के सामने काफी सारा बिजनैस पड़ा हुआ है जिस पर डिसकशन होनी है। इसके इलावा दूसरी चीज यह है कि आज ही राज्य सभा का इलैक्शन हो रहा है और आनरेबल मेम्बरज उस तरफ बिजी है। May I, therefore, submit that a separate day, according to the Rules, should be fixed, for discussion on the No-Confidence Motion.

Mr. Speaker: Your first plea is that the election to the Rajya Sabha was taking place and, therefore, the discussion on the motion may be held on some other day. You will agree that if it (election) was not hindrance to the normal agenda surely it could not be so to the discussion on the “No-confidence Motion”. If the election were a hindrance to the business of the House, no business could have been fixed for to-day. You are well aware that this election does not really interrupt the business of the House as members in turn are required to be away for a few minutes.

The rule regarding fixing of time reads as under:-

65. “(3) If leave is granted under sub-rule(2), the Speaker may, after considering the state of business in the Assembly, allot a day or days or part of a day for the discussion of the motion.

“Part of a day” and not particular day is specifically provided in the rule and it is within my power to allot a “part of a day” which I have decided to allot today.

Rao Birender Singh: What about the business already on the agenda? There is no business more important which can take precedence over the business already before the House.

Mr. Speaker: I have an open mind if the hon. Member could quote any rule in support thereof.

Rao Birender Singh: Rules very clearly provide that the business before the House shall be taken up first and not any other business. I would respectfully submit that this clearly means that a day has to be set apart other than the day on which the motion is moved.

Mr. Speaker: I may mention for the information of the hon. Members that I consulted legal authorities about this matter and it is within my power to “allot a day or days or part of a day” including today as I think fit.

Malik Mukhtiar Singh: Sir, I may read the rule 65(2) which is as under:-

The Speaker shall intimate that leave is granted and that the motion will be taken on such day, not being more than ten days from the day on which the leave is asked, as he may appoint.

So the discussion is to be held within 10 days and not to-day.

Mr. Speaker: So it is within ten days.

Malik Mukhtiar Singh: It is not provided in the rules that the motion will be discussed on the same day.

Mr. Speaker: The motion could be discussed on any day including to-day but it must be held within ten days from to-day. I am again asking the hon. Members to show me the rule which says that if there is business before the House. No-confidence motion will only be taken after the business is completed. I have still an open mind on this point. Can anybody cite that rule?

Ch. Chand Ram: May I invite your attention to rule 31, which reads:-

“31. All business appointed for any day and not disposed of on that day before the termination of the sitting shall stand over until the next day or such other day in the session available for such call of business.....

It means that the business before the house has to be finished first. According to the rules, which govern the transaction of business in this house, agenda has already been circulated to the Members and before taking up any other item of business, the business on the agenda should be finished first.

Mr. Speaker: I am asking the members to cite the rule. Rule 31 does not support your point of view.

चौधरी रणबीर सिंह: अध्यक्ष महोदय, आपने बड़ी निष्पक्षता से इस सम्बन्ध में रूलिंग दी है। हमारे रूलज आफ

प्रोसीजर में आपको यह अधिकार दिया हुआ है कि आप दस दिन के अन्दर अन्दर डिसकशन के लिये तारीख रख सकते हैं। यह स्पीकर साहिब, बड़े सोच विचार के बाद ऐसा किया गया हुआ है। ताकि सरकार स्पीकर से मिल कर कहीं और ज्यादा वक्त हासिल न कर ले जिसके वह मुस्तहक नहीं है। तो आपने बड़ी निष्पक्षता से और काम को मुलतवी करके, इस काम को प्राथमिकता दी है और सही तौर पर दी है। आप चूंकि एक फौजी अफसर रहे हैं और आने निष्पक्ष ढंग से चलता है, इसका सबसे बड़ा सबूत आज आपने दे दिया है। मगर ऐसा लगता है कि विरोधी दलों के पास और इनके नेता के पास इतनी शक्ति है नहीं कि ये हम से मुकाबला कर सकें

राव बीरेन्द्र सिंह: आप ही कल तक क्यों नहीं ठहर जाते ?

चौधरी रणबीर सिंह: और अब ये मैदान से भागना चाहते हैं और भागने की इच्छा रखते हैं। तो मैं आपके द्वारा उनसे प्रार्थना करूंगा कि यदि वे अपना नो-कॉन्फिडेंस मोशन वापस लेना चाह रहे हों हिचकिचाहट के ले लें और यदि वापस नहीं लेना चाहते हो तो इस पर बहस होने दें। (विघ्न) अगर, स्पीकर साहिब, इस तरह का ड्रामा करना (हंसी) वे अपना हक समझते हैं तो छः महीनों में वे एक बार ड्रामा कर सकते हैं (विघ्न) स्पीकर साहिब, यह उनको अधिकार है और हमें इनके इस अधिकार को छीनने की कोशिश नहीं करनी चाहिये। (विघ्न) मेरी तो स्पीकर साहिब,

आपके द्वारा, विरोधी दल के नेता से और उनके सदस्यों से यही प्रार्थना है कि अगर वे अधिकार को इस्तेमाल करना चाहते हैं तो पीछे हटने की कोशिश न करें और यदि इस्तेमाल न करना चाहते हों तो आप से यहां नहीं तो आपके चैम्बर में दरखवास्त कर लें और उस के बाद आप उनकी मदद पर आ जाएं।

Mr. Speaker: In fact, I wanted to say myself a part of what Ch. Ranbir Singh has just said. I really thought, when this motion was brought to my notice, that by acceding to the request to discuss the motion immediately, I was rather going out of my way to respect their wishes of these who have tabled the motion and help them, and for this reason alone have given them time for discussion on the motion as early as possible.

श्री मंगल सैन: स्पीकर साहिब, आपने जो कुछ रूलज आफ प्रोसीजर मे से पढ़ कर सुनाया है उसे मैंने बड़े गौर से सुना है। मेरे अन्य दोस्तों ने भी जो विचार यहां रखे उन को भी मैंने ध्यान से सुना है। मगर इन सब बातों का ध्यान में रखते हुए मैं आपसे प्रार्थना करना चाहता हूँ कि हमारा प्रदेश एक नया प्रदेश और हमारे सदन ने अभी कुछ कनवैन्शन्ज और प्रैसीडैन्स को कायम करना है। जहां तक मुझे याद है स्पीकर साहिब, प्रताप सिंह कैरों के समय में जब दो तीन बार हम उनकी मिनिस्टरी के खिलाफ नो-कॉन्फिडेंस मोशन लाए थे तो उस समय तय किया था और हमें तैयारी करने के लिये हर बार दो तीन दिन का समय दिया था। चौधरी रणबीर सिंह जी ने आपकी प्रशंसा में बहुत सी

बातें कहीं और मैं समझता हूं कि उन बातों के बारे में किसी को शक नहीं करना चाहिये क्योंकि आपका सहासन ही ऐसा है जो अपने आप इस पर विराजमान व्यक्ति को निष्पक्षता से काम करने को प्रेरित करता है। मगर मैं आपसे यह प्रार्थना करना चाहता हूं कि एक तो आप कृपया यह बताएं कि आपने किस नियम के तहत हाउस के सामने आज जो बिजनैस था उसको सस्पेंड किया है और दूसरे कौन सी ऐसी जल्दी है जिसके कारण आप हमें अपनी बातें कहने के लिये तैयारी का मौका नहीं दे रहे हैं ? हम ने सरकार के खिलाफ नो-कॉन्फिडेंस मोशन लायी है और हम चाहते हैं कि तथ्यों के साथ इस हाउस के अन्दर हम ऐसी लिविंग चर्चा करें जिस से संसार को कुछ पता लग सके। कि जिस सरकार ने 20 साल के लगातार शासन में स्वयं तो कुछ नहीं किया वह किस मुंह से नौ महीने की सरकार पर इलजाम लगाती है और उसने अब दो महीने के शासन में स्वयं फिर क्या कुछ किया है ? स्पीकर साहिब, चौधरी रणबीर सिंह जी ने जो ड्रामे का शब्द इस्तेमाल किया है वह ठीक नहीं है। यह ड्रामा नहीं है। जनता ने हमें चुनकर यहां भेजा है। कोई हंसी मजाक की बात नहीं है। अगर वे कहीं बाई-चान्स चुनकर यहां आ गए हो तो मैं नहीं कह सकता मगर हमें जनता ने बड़ी एप्रिसिएशन के साथ यहां भेजा है और इस बात का पता आपको स्पीकर साहिब हमारे नम्बर से भी लग सकता है। तो, स्पीकर साहिब, मैं फूल-सीरियसनेस से कहना चाहता हूं कि आज हाउस के सामने एक बड़ा अहम सवाल आया है और यदि इसे डिसकस करने के

लिये आप कोई और दिन मुकर्रर कर दे तो किसी को मैं समझता हूं कोई आपत्ति नहीं होनी चाहिये।

चौधरी रणबीर सिंह: अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न है ?

श्री अध्यक्ष: यैस प्लीज।

चौधरी रणबीर सिंह: अध्यक्ष महोदय, मेरा व्यवस्था का प्रश्न यह है कि आपने तीन घंटे का समय तो नो कंफिडैन्स मोशन पर बहस करने के लिये नियुक्त किया है। तो विरोधी दल के सदस्य जो समय आप के समय नियुक्त करने के बाद ले रहे हैं यह उसमें से काटा जाएगा या उससे अलहिदा होगा दूसरे, चूंकि श्री मंगल सैन जी ने मेरे ड्रामा शब्द पर इतराज किया है इसलिये मैं उसे वापिस लेने के लिये तैयार हूं यदि आप का आदेश हो। और तो यह कहने का सिर्फ मकसद यही था कि विरोधी दल के नेता और सदस्य यदि अपने अधिकार को इस्तेमाल करना चाहते हैं तो करें और यदि न करना चाहते हों तो फजूल में सदन का समय बरबाद न करें। तो, अध्यक्ष, महोदय, अगर आपकी इजाजत हो तो ड्रामा शब्द बेशक कार्यवाही से किकाल दिया जाए क्योंकि मैं श्री मंगल सैन जी के दिल को चोट नहीं लगाना चाहता।

श्री मंगल सैन: इसमें चोट की तो कोई बात नहीं, मगर ऐसी बात आप जैसे आदमी के मुंह से अच्छी नहीं लगती।

Mr. Speaker: Time now being taken in this discussion will not be deducted out of the time allotted for the discussion on the no-confidence motion.

राव बीरेन्द्र सिंह: स्पीकर साहिब, आप ऐसी जगह विराजमान हैं कि आपकी रूलिंग के खिलाफ कुछ भी कहना बड़ा मुश्किल होता है। हम तो यह उम्मीद रखे हुए यहां बैठे हैं कि आप जैसी शख्सियत स्पीकर बनने के बाद बहुत अच्छी रवायात कायम करेगी। लेकिन जिस तरीके से आपने आज यह फैसला किया और आप हम से रूल पूछते हैं एक एक चीज के लिये इससे हमें बड़ी ठेस पहुंची है और मैं आपसे गुजारिश करता हूं कि आप भी रूलज के तहत ही काम कर सकते हैं। आप भी रूलज से बाउंड हैं। आप ने बिना किसी रूल के हाउस के सामने आज जो एजण्डा था उसे किनारे रख दिया है। न कोई यहां मोशन लाए, न आपने हाउस की ईजाजत ली, न आपने आपोजीशन को कंफिडेंस में ही लिया और न लीडर आफ दी हाउस को पूछा। अगर उनको पूछा भी हो तो मैं नहीं कह सकता लेकिन अब आपने टाइम फिक्स करना था तो या तो यहां कोई बात होती यह फिर हमारी प्रेजैन्स में कोई बात होती। आज जो आपोजीशन सरकार के विरुद्ध नो-कंफिडेंस मोशन यहां लाई है, यह उसका हक है और उसे निभाने के लिये पूरा समय मिलना चाहिये था। पार्लियामैन्टरी प्रैक्टिस खाली रूलज से नहीं चला करती। इसमें कुछ कनवैन्शन्ज होती है। कुछ प्रैसिडेंस होती हैं जिनके अनुसार रूलज के साथ साथ काम चलता है। आज तक हिन्दुस्तान और दुनिया भर की

किसी पार्लियामेंट या असैम्बली के अन्दर हम ने तो सुना नहीं कि किसी स्पीकर ने नो-कंफिडेंन्स मोशन पर उसी दि नही विचार करवाया हो। आपने कम से कम लीडर आफ दी हाउस से उनकी कनविनिएन्स को जाना होता, लीडर आफ दी आपोजीशन से पूछा होता कि भाई बताओ तुम्हारी कया कनविनिएन्स है, कौन सा दिन फिक्स करें ? दोनों से इस तरह पूछने के बाद कोई दिन मुकर्र होता, कुछ आपोजीशन को तैयारी करने के लिये समय मिलता तब तो कुछ बात बनती। एक तरफ की चीज तो आपने देख ली मगर दूसरी तरफ को कंफिन्डेंस में नहीं लिया। आपोजीशन अपना राईट एक्सरसाइज करना चाहती है और यह राइट को तभी एक्सरसाइज कर सकती है यदि आप दोनों तरफ की कनविनिएन्स को देखें। यदि आपकी तरफ से इतनी सख्ती हो जाए तो फिर न तो आपोजीशन की बात रहती है और न ही डेमोक्रेसी की कोई बात रहती है। स्पीकर साहिब, मुझे बड़े अफसोस से यह कहना पड़ रहा है कि आप आपोजीशन के साथ फेयर नहीं (विघ्न)

Finance Minister: The hon. Member should not use such words against the Chair.

Rao Birender Singh: Why do you interrupt me, madam?

वित्त मंत्री: आप को हरेक के उपर रोब नहीं रखना चाहिए। (विघ्न)

राव बीरेन्द्र सिंह: वह तो स्पीकर साहिब कह लेंगे। क्या यह जरूरी है कि आप उन्हें डिफ़ैन्ड करें ? अपने आप स्पीकर साहिब जो कहना चाहेंगे, कह लेंगे। तो स्पीकर साहिब, मैं यह अर्ज करूंगा कि आपका यह फैसला पार्लियामेन्ट की हिस्टरी के अन्दर, एक बहुत बड़ा संगेमील होगा हरियाणा की इस नई असेम्बली की हिस्टरी के अन्दर, यदि आपोजीशन के पहली बार मोशन आफ नो कंफिडेन्स लाने पर दोनों तरफों को सूट करने वाली कोई चीज न हो। एक तो हमें वैसे ही एक बूट मैजारेटी का सामना करना पड़ रहा है और यदि इनको आपकी थपकी भी मिल जाए तब तो हमारा गुजारा ही नहीं रहता और हम मजबूरी से कोई भी बात आपके सामने नहीं कह सकते। इसलिये मेरी आप से यही प्रार्थना है कि आप मेहरबानी करके हाउस के सामने आज जो बिजनैस था उसको चलने दीजिए और नोऋकंफिडैन्स मोशन के लिये कोई और दिन जो दोनों तरफों को सूट करता हो, मुकर्रर कर दीजिए। यदि आप ऐसा नहीं करेंगे तो फिर यह हमें देखना है कि आया हाउस की प्रोसीडिगज में हम हिस्सा लें या न लें। मैं इतनी ही गुजारिश करके अपना स्थान लेता हूँ।

(Some Hon. Members rose to speak)

Mr. Speaker: Gentlemen, before any other hon. member expresses his opinion on this point, I want to make certain observation on what has just been said by the hon. Leader of the Opposition.

I am rather surprised that because of his own failure to indicate any Rule in support of his contention, he is trying to put the blame on some one else. As said by me earlier, under the Rules of Procedure of this House, it is within my competence to fix "a day or days or part of a day" within ten days from to-day and that day is not specified. Therefore, the day of discussion on this motion could well be today.

Further as mentioned by me to the hon. Leader of the Opposition earlier that I have not consulted either the Leader of the House or any body else except that the Ruling I have given is fully supported by the Rules of Procedure.

So, there is no question of my trying to help one side or the other. Rather, I am doing my utmost to give a just and fair Ruling/decision in accordance with the Rules of Procedure of this House.

The business of the House fixed for today has not been suspended and I was to take the sense of the House as to what should be done to dispose of that business. The only thing I had done because of the importance and urgency of this matter is that I have decided to give priority to the no-confidence motion over the normal business of the House and I thought that in doing so I was going out of my way to help the Opposition. That is all I have done for the present, and if desired by the House, other business of the House can be taken up later, today.

That is all I wanted to say for the present.

मलिक मुख्तियार सिंह: स्पीकर साहिब, हमारी आपसे सबमिशन है कि आपको यह टाईम फिक्स करने से पहले सारे हाउस को कान्फिडेंस में ले लेना चाहिये था। हमें भी सफिशिएन्ट अपरचुनिटी दी जानी चाहिए थी ताकि हम भी अपना प्वायंट आफ व्यु आपके सामने रख सकते। मगर आपने पहले ही रूलिंग दे दी। अब तो हम आपसे इसे रीकन्सिडर करने के लिये सबमिशन कर सकते हैं। स्पीकर साहिब, हम आपसे तवक्को रखते हैं कि आप टाइम अलाट करते वक्त, इम्पारशाल वे में एक्ट करते हुए, आपोजीशन की कनविनिएन्स को भी ध्यान में रखते हुए दोबारा रूलिंग देंगे। फिर आपने हाउस के बिजनैस के बारे में भी रूलिंग दे दी है। और उसके बाद आप आज के बिजनैस को ससपैण्ड करने के लिये हाउस की परमिशन लेना चाहते हैं। यह तो एक बड़ी एनामोलस पोजीशन हो जाती है। अपनी रूलिंग के बाद आपको हाउस के बिजनैस को ससपैण्ड करने के लिये लीव लेना कोई उचित नहीं लगता। आपोजीशन के लिये क्या चारा रह जाता है जब आपने पहले ही रूलिंग दे दी है। वह तो आगे ही ब्रूट मजोरेटी में है। मैं यह कहना चाहता हूँ कि आपकी रूलिंग एक अनप्रीसीडेंटिड रूलिंग है। क्योंकि कहीं भी ऐसी मिसाल नहीं मिलती जहां पर नो-कन्फीडेंस मोशन की लीव ग्रांट होने के दि नही उस पर डिसकशन स्टार्ट हो गई हो। आपोजीशन को तैयारी के लिये हमेशा टाईम दिया जाता है। (विघ्न)

Now, Mr. Speaker, Sir, I want to take you to the Rules. Rule 65(2) reads:-

“65(2) If the Speaker is of the opinion that the motion is in order he shall read the motion to the Assembly and shall request those members who are in favour of leave being granted to rise in their places, and if not less than eighteen members rise accordingly, the Speaker shall intimate that leave is granted and that the motion will be taken on such day, not being more than ten days from the day

These words are very important, Mr. Speaker, that:-

“..... Motion will be taken on such day, not being more than ten days from the day on which the leave is asked, as he may appoint.....”

Finance Minister: It does not exclude the day on which the leave is asked.

Malik Mukhtiar Singh: No, please. It is from to day onwards and not to-day.

Finance Minister: What is ten days from to-day?

Malik Mukhtiar Singh: It is ten days after the day on which leave is granted, i.e., the day on which the leave is granted has to be excluded.

Mr. Speaker: No, please, I do not agree with this view. It is very clear that to-day is included in ten days.

मलिक मुख्तियार सिंह: मैं आप से अर्ज करना चाहता हूँ कि आपका बिलकुल इम्पारशल स्टैण्ड होना चाहिये और सिर्फ गवर्नमेंट की कनविनिएन्स को ही ध्यान में नहीं रखना चाहिए। यह

तो हमारा राइट है। हम आपसे रिक्वैस्ट करते हैं कि आप अपने फ़ैसले पर दोबारा विचार करें। आपोजीशन की कनविनिएन्स को भी ध्यान में रखा जाना चाहिए और आपको उसको भी अकमोडट करना चाहिए। यह आज तक किसी पार्लियामेंट में नहीं हुआ कि जिस दिन नो-कन्फिडेंस की लीव ग्रांट हुई तो उसी दि नहीं उस पर डिस्कशन शुरू हो गया हो। यह भी कोई बात नहीं बनती कि आप हमें कहें कि कोई रूलिंग कोट करो। इसका मतलब यह हुआ कि आप हम पर कोई चीज जबरदस्ती लादना चाहते हैं। With all respect to your ruling मैं आप से गुजारिश करूंगा कि आपने जो रूलिंग दी है, वह आपने शायद हौट हेस्ट में दी है। In view of the rules now read out before your good self हमारी आपसे जबरदस्त सबमिशन है कि हमारी कनविनिएन्स को भी ध्यान में रखते हुए आप अपनी रूलिंग को रिवाइज करें।

Sh. S.P. Jaiswal: Mr. Speaker, Sir, Malik Mukhtiar Singh has very ably and rightly said that the day on which the leave to move a no-confidence motion against the Ministry is asked for is not to be included in the period of ten days referred to in sub-Rule (2) of Rule 65 of the Rules of Procedure, during which the motion is to be taken up for discussion and voting.

Further Mr. Speaker Sir, Sub-Rules (3) and (4) of this Rule lay down:-

65. (3) If leave is granted under sub-rule (2), the Speaker may, after considering the state of business in the

Assembly, allot a day or days or part of a day for the discussion of the motion.

(4) The Speaker shall, at the appointed hour on the allotted day, or, as the case may be, the last of the allotted days forthwith put every question necessary to determine the decision of the Assembly on the motion.”

So, Sir, I would submit that since a specific provision about the point raised by the hon. Leader of the Opposition, is missing then with a view to finding the sense of the Rules, all these sub-rules ought to be read together. And, Sir, the sense of the Rules is positively suggestive of the fact that the hon. Speaker will give a reasonable time and fix a day not later than ten days from the day on which the leave to move the no-confidence motion is asked for. Such rule 3 says Speaker will consider the business before the Assembly not business of the days. It is not suggestive that the Speaker should fix the day or part of the day on which leave is asked for. It ought to be some day other than the day on which leave is granted. This Rule does not entitle the Chair to fix the discussion immediately. Therefore, I would most respectfully submit that the whole rule should be read together and the sense of the rule is that you should fix time for the consideration of the motion giving reasonable time to the opposition.

Finance Minister: Mr. Speaker, Sir, it appears that the Opposition is not prepared for the discussion of the motion to-day and it is trying to interpret the rules in a most awkward manner. Whenever we talk of ‘from a day’ and ‘to a day’ both the days are inclusive. We have heard so many times

that payments should be made within a specified time. The day on which that is said is not debarred from making payment. That day is definitely included in that period. The Opposition should have been prepared to discuss the motion when they gave its notice to the Speaker.

Sir, the ruling given by you is perfectly in order and I shall request you to kindly proceed with the business.

One thing more, Sir. Sub-rule(3) of Rule 65 provides that the Speaker may, after considering the state of business in the Assembly, allot a day or days or part of a day for the discussion of the motion. Sir, the words 'after considering the state of business in the Assembly' may be noted Even, if it is business for one particular day, you are within your powers to change the order of the business

Rao Birender Singh: Under what rule?

Finance Minister: Under rule 31.

(Interruption by Rao Birender Singh)

Under Rule 31, the Speaker has wide powers to change the order of the business. This rule says:-

“All business appointed for any day and not disposed of on that day before termination of the sitting shall stand over until the next day or such other day in the session available for such class of business as the Speaker may, in consultation with the Member-in-charge or Leader of the House, determine.....”

I have to submit that the business set out for to-day was not the residuary business of yesterday. It was separate business altogether. It was not in any way linked up with the business transacted yesterday. Even if it was the residuary business of yesterday, the Speaker has got the power to change the order. In other words, the Speaker has got all powers to determine what business should be given priority and taken up first and what business could be taken up later.

Mr. Speaker: When the Finance Minister was reading from the rules, I heard a remarks from the Leader of the Opposition that the time for discussion of the motion to-day has been fixed in consultation with her.

Rao Birender Singh: I did not make any such remark.

Mr. Speaker: I heard it and I am very much pained about it.

Rao Birender Singh: I have submitted that I did not make any such remark. I am not telling a lie. I might have said something else.

Mr. Speaker: I want to make it clear once for all that I will not tolerate any reflection upon the Chair by any one.

I have heard the views of the hon. Members and, I think, they have had their say. But, however, if there are one or two members who still want to say something, I am prepared to accommodate them. Before that, I want to make one thing clear. I am not concerned unduly about the

convenience or inconvenience of this or that side. When I had fixed the time for the discussion of the motion, I did not take into consideration the convenience or inconvenience off this or that side. To me all the members and both the side are equal. But I am guided by only one consideration, namely, that we should follow the rules of procedure of the House Correctly.

I really feel that we have already lost considerable time and, in fact, more than 45 minutes have been taken up for discussion on this matter. We who still want to say something to be as brief as possible.

Ch. Chand Ram: Sir, I again draw your attention to rule 65(3) which says that the Speaker may, after considering the state of business in the Assembly, allot time for the discussion of the motion. Sir, the agenda setting out the business for to-day had been circulated to us and we all have received it. As Malik Mukhtiar Singh has said, the period not being more than ten days has to be counted from the day on which the leave is asked for. It means that the day on which the leave is granted is to be excluded for that purpose. Moreover, the day ha already commenced to-day.

Mr. Speaker: I do not require the same thing to be read or told to me twice. This has been pointed out to me earlier also and I am quite clear about it.

Ch. Chand Ram: I am connecting this rule with some other rule to make the position clear.

Mr. Speaker: I have heard that.

Ch. Chand Ram: I am connecting it with some other rule.

Mr. Speaker: Please take your seat.

Rao Birender Singh: I would again respectfully point out one thing for your consideration. The business before the House or the business once placed on the agenda and circulated to the members can only be changed or other business can be given precedence over that under certain procedure provided in the rule.

लोक कार्य मंत्री: आन ए प्वायंट आफ आर्डर, सर। स्पीकर साहब, हाउस का इतना कीमती वक्त हम इस बहस में खर्च कर रहे हैं जब कि आपकी रूलिंग आ चुकी है। मैं आपकी रूलिंग चाहूंगा कि क्या आपकी रूलिंग के बाद भी डिसकशन हो सकती है ?

Malik Mukhtiar Singh: Surely, we can make a submission for the reconsideration of his decision.

Mr. Speaker: As you know, there was a representation from some of the members and I had invited their views. I have allowed this.

Rao Birender Singh: I was saying that it is only under specific rule and provision that an item which is not included in the agenda can be given precedence over the business already before the House. For instance, if there is a no-confidence motion it is specifically provided that it must be taken up after question and before the business on the list from

the day is entered upon. Even though this may not be on the agenda, it has to be taken up after question. Similarly, the business of the House can be set aside and something else taken up for discussion through an adjournment motion. There is provision for such things. It will not be in order to set aside the business for the day which has already been circulated to the members and to take up some other item in its place. I would request you to reconsider these thing and revise your ruling.

मुख्य मंत्री: स्पीकर साहिब, आपकी रूलिंग बहुत दुरुस्त है ? पार्लियामेंट को भी कुछ ऐसी कनर्वेशनज हैं कि उसी दिन वोट आफ नो कनफिडेन्स आया और उसी दिन एडमिट हो गया और उसी सिंटिंग मे डिस्कस भी किया गया।

राव बीरेन्द्र सिंह: यह तो दूसरी सिंटिंग चल रही है।

मुख्य मंत्री: वहां पर दूसरी सिंटिंग नहीं होती। 11 से 5 बजे तक सिंटिंग एक ही चलती है। खैर जहां तक हमारे बिजनैस का सवाल है आप हाउस को ऐक्सटैंड कर दें और टाइम दे कर आपोजीशन की बात सुन लें।

इसके बाद मैं साइनाडाई की मोशन भी लाने वाला हूं और उस पर भी हाउस की कनसैन्सस ले ली जाए जो कि कायदे और कानून की बात है। बाकी यह जो नो-कनफिडेन्स मोशन लाए हैं उस को भी हम निपटने के लिये तैयार हैं। अगर इनके पास कुछ होता तो पिछले 15 दिनों में यह सब कुछ कर सकते थे।

स्पीकर साहिब आपने बड़ी हैलदी कनवैन्शन कायम की है, जब आपोजीशन की तरफ से नो-कनफिडैन्स मोशन आई तो आप ने उस को उसी वक्त ऐडमिट कर दिया और कहा कि इस पर आज ही बहस हो लेकिन उनके पास अगर कुछ नहीं है तो वह आप के चेम्बर में जाकर उस को विदड्र कर लें। वरना ऐसे हाउस का टाइम जाया करने का क्या फायदा है ?

चौधरी चांद राम: स्पीकर साहिब, रूल 65 में

Mr. Speaker; You might not refer to rule 65 as I have already heard it.

चौधरी चांद राम: स्पीकर साहिब, मैं दो तीन लाइन्ज पढ़ कर आपके सामने रखना चाहता हूँ।

Mr. Speaker: I am not going to allow more time on this Point.

चौधरी चांद राम: स्पीकर साहिब, रूल मैं पढ़ रहा था लेकिन बीच में और बात चल पड़ी। जो अलफाज मैं पहले कह चुका था उसकी मैंने व्याख्या करनी थी। The rule is:-

“31. All business appointed for any day and not disposed of on that day.”

It means that the day has already commenced and business has also already commenced. Now no other business could intervene and it shall stand over unless the business on the agenda is disposed of.

I would therefore request that some other day may kindly be fixed for discussion on this motion.

Mr. Speaker: The alteration in the normal business of the House is due to the fact that something more important has come in the way, that is, a “No-confidence Motion” has been tabled. Since the motion of no-confidence received priority over the other business immediately after the question hour, it is only logical that the discussion on the motion should normally receive priority over the normal business of the House.

Ch. Chand Ram: Sir, I have a relevant and positive point and the rule also supports my contention.

Mr. Speaker: You are given one minute more to explain your point.

Ch. Chand Ram: Sir, if you do not want to listen to me then you may do as you like.

Mr. Speaker: I have heard more than enough. Is there anyone else who wants to speak?

Walk-out

चौधरी चांद राम: स्पीकर साहिब, अगर रूल की बात आप सुनना पसन्द नहीं करते और हमें इस तरह गैंग करना चाहते हैं तो यह आप की जबरदस्ती है। फिर अकेले ही आपने जो करना है कल लें, हम वाकआउट करते हैं। (At this stage the entice

opposition except three independent members viz. Saravshri Rajinde Singh, Ishwar Singh and Chanda Singh walked out.)

Mr. Speaker: I will take up the next item after making a brief observation. The Opposition had moved a No-confidence motion against the Government which anyone will agree is a serious and important matter. Due to these reasons, under the Rules it received priority over any other business of the House immediately after the Question Hour. It is, therefore logical that the discussion on it should also receive priority because of the seriousness and the importance of the matter. The discussion is to be within ten days including today under the Rule. They wanted time for discussion and it is being given to them at the earliest opportunity and I regret to say, I find that now they do not want it; perhaps they were not really serious about the matter.

Since there is no one now to move the motion, the matter finishes. We now go on with the remaining business of the House.

CALL ATTENTION NOTICES

Mr. Speaker: Call Attention Notice No. 20 given notice of by Sh. Daya Krishan, M.L.A., regarding the delay in effecting division of Pepsu Road Transport Corporation between Haryana and Punjab thereby causing great loss to this state, is admitted.

Would the hon. Member please read out his notice?

Sh. Daya Krishan: Sir, I withdraw it.

Mr. Speaker: Call Attention Motion No. 21 given notice of by Sh. Daya Krishan, M.L.A., regarding the undue delay in the final allocation of State employees thereby jeopardizing their efficiency is admitted.

Would the hon. Member please read out his notice?

Sh. Daya Krishan: Sir, I withdraw this also.

Mr. Speaker: Call Attention Notice No. 22 given notice of by Ch. Lal Singh, M.L.A., regarding the visit to his constituency by the Chief Engineer under the orders of the Irrigation Minister is disallowed on the ground that the Member had already made this point during the discussion on Budget and the Minister for Irrigation had explained the position. Call Attention Motion No. 23 given notice of by Sh. Daya Krishan, M.L.A., regarding the admission to B.Ed. and J.B.T. classes not being made on merit is not admitted. The hon. Chief Minister is to make a statement on a similar Call Attention Motion No. 16 and the Hon. Member can seek any clarification in this respect at that time.

The hon. Chief Minister had promised to make statement in response to Call Attention Notices Nos. 16 and 18 to-day.

He may please do so now.

STATEMENT

Chief Minister: According to the standing instructions issued by the composite Punjab Government, 20 percent seats for Scheduled Castes/Tribes and 2% seats for Backward Classes are reserved for admission to Professional Institutions (which include B.Ed.). The latest circular to this effect was issued to all the Principal of Training Colleges in the State during the year 1966.

2. A few complaints have been received from the prospective students alleging refusal in admission in B.Ed. classes by the Principal K.M. College, Bhiwnai. Sh. Chand Ram, M.L.A., in his D.O. letter addressed to the Secretary Education (which was received on 26-7-1968) made similar allegations that Scheduled Castes students are not being given admission to B.Ed. Classes by K.M. College, Bhiwani and Hindu College, Sonapat.

3. The privately managed training colleges are under the administrative control of Punjab University and necessary action, if any, could be taken against them by the University. Governments have, however, taken up the matter with the Punjab University.

4. The rules circulated to all the District Education Officer and the heads of J.B.T. institutions contain the following reservation for Scheduled Castes/Backward Classes and these are being adhered to strictly.

1. Twenty percent of the seats are reserved for candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes/Tribes

2. Two percent of the seat are reserved for candidate belonging to the Backward classes.

No complaint has been received in regard to the violation of these reservations for admission to J.B.T. Course.

श्री दया कृष्ण: क्या चीफ मिनिस्टर साहिब बताएंगे कि बी.एड. और जे.बी.टी. कलासिज में ऐडमिशन मैरिट पर होती है या और वजूहात की बिना पर भी होती है ?

मुख्य मंत्री: यह सप्लीमेंट्री इससे से तो एराइज नहीं होता। यह तो ऐडमिशन में रीजर्वेशन के बारे में है कि क्या वह पूरी होती है या नहीं ?

Mr. Speaker: A separate question may be asked about it. Call Attention Motion No. 18, on which the Chief Minister to make a statement. He may please do so.

Chief Minister; Sir, after the re-organisation of the Punjab State and creation of the Haryana State, some changes in the uniforms of the N.G.Os. and other Ranks of District and Haryana Armed Police were considered necessary so as to distinguish them from the officials of the corresponding ranks of the Punjab District and Armed Police. Consequently, changes in those uniforms were made on the recommendations of senior officers. The changed uniforms were duly exhibited before the then Chief Minister, Haryana, on 11th January, 1967 and those changes were finally approved. There can, thus, be no question of the newly prescribed uniforms of the

Police Officials of Haryana State being ridiculous in any manner nor has any resentment in this regard amongst the Police Officials come to the notice of the Government. However, of late, the matter has been taken up by the Department. The Department is likely to make its recommendations shortly, which will be duly considered by Government.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER

As required under Rules 250(7)(a) and 252(5)(a) of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Punjab Legislative Assembly, I have appointed Khan Abdul Ghaffar Khan and Smt. Chandravati, as Chairmen of the Public Accounts Committee and Estimates Committee, respectively, for the year, 1968-69.

MOTION UNDER RULE 16

Chief Minister (Sh. Bansi Lal): Sir, I beg to move:-

That the Assembly at its rising this day shall stand adjourned sine die.

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:-

That the Assembly at its rising this day shall stand adjourned sine die.

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That the Assembly at its rising this day shall stand adjourned sine die.

The motion was carried.

BILLS

THE PUNJAB DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRIES (POWERS) (HARYANA AMENDMENT) BILL, 1968

Chief Minister (Sh. Bansi Lal): Sir, I beg to move:-

That the Punjab Departmental Enquiries (Powers) (Haryana Amendment) Bill be taken into consideration at once.

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:-

That the Punjab Departmental Enquiries (Powers) (Haryana Amendment) Bill be taken into consideration at once.

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That the Punjab Departmental Enquiries (Powers) (Haryana Amendment) Bill be taken into consideration at once.

The motion was carried.

**THE PUNJAB DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRIES
(POWERS) (HARYANA AMENDMENT) BILL, 1968**

Mr. Speaker: The House will now take up the Bill
clause by clause.

Clause 2

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Clause 2 stands part of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Clause 3

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Clause 3 stands part of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Clause 1

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Clause 1 stands part of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Title

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Title be the Title of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Chief Minister: Sir, I beg to move:-

That the Punjab Departmental Enquiries (Powers)
(Haryana Amendment) Bill be passed.

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:-

That the Punjab Departmental Enquiries (Powers)
(Haryana Amendment) Bill be passed.

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That the Punjab Departmental Enquiries (Powers)
(Haryana Amendment) Bill be passed.

The motion was carried.

**THE PUNJAB CINEMAS (REGULATION), HARYANA
AMENDMENT BILL, 1968**

Chief Minister (Sh. Bansi Lal): Sir, I beg to move:-

That the Punjab Cinemas (Regulation) Haryana Amendment Bill be taken into consideration at once.

Mr. Speaker: Motion Moved:-

That the Punjab Cinemas (Regulation) Haryana Amendment Bill be taken into consideration at once.

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That the Punjab Cinemas (Regulation) Haryana Amendment Bill be taken into consideration at once.

The motion was carried.

Mr. Speaker: The House will now take up the Bill clause by clause.

Clause 2

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Clause 2 stands part of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Clause 3

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Clause 3 stands part of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Clause 1

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Clause 1 stands part of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Title

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Title be the Title of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Chief Minister: Sir, I beg to move:-

That the Punjab Cinemas (Regulation) Haryana Amendment Bill be passed.

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:-

That the Punjab Cinemas (Regulation) Haryana Amendment Bill be passed.

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That the Punjab Cinemas (Regulation) Haryana Amendment Bill be passed.

The motion was carried.

**THE PUNJAB LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY (ALLOWANCE OF
MEMBERS) HARYANA AMENDMENT BILL, 1968**

Chief Minister (Sh. Bansi Lal): Sir, I beg to introduce the Punjab Legislative Assembly (Allowances of Members) Haryana Amendment Bill.

Chief Minister (Sh. Bansi Lal): Sir, I beg to move:-

That the Punjab Legislative Assembly (Allowances of Members) Haryana Amendment Bill be taken into consideration at once.

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:-

That the Punjab Legislative Assembly (Allowances of Members) Haryana Amendment Bill be taken into consideration at once.

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That the Punjab Legislative Assembly (Allowances of Members) Haryana Amendment Bill be taken into consideration at once.

The motion was carried.

Mr. Speaker: Now the House will now take up the Bill clause by clause.

Clause 2

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Clause 2 stands part of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Clause 3

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Clause 3 stands part of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Clause 4

1. Sh. Daya Krishan (Jind): Sir, I beg to move:-

In the proposed section 4-B(1):-

(1) in clause (a), line 3, for “year”, substitute “financial year”.

(2) In clause (b):-

- i. Lines 2-3, for “Union Territory of Delhi”, substitute “Union Territories of Delhi or Chandigarh” and
- ii. Lines 3-4, after “Haryana State Transport Undertaking”, insert “or of the Pepsu Road Transport Corporation” and

(3) To clause (b), add the following Explanation, namely:-

“Explanation – For purposes of clause (b), a journey shall be deemed to be a journey within the State of Haryana or the Union Territories of Delhi of Chandigarh, where the place of commencement of the journey and the destination thereof are situated in such State or any such Union Territory, or the place of commencement is situated in such State and the destination in any such Union Territory, or the place of commencement is situated in one such Union Territory and destination in another such Union Territory, notwithstanding that the territory of any other State or Union Territory intervenes between the place of commencement of the journey and its destination.”

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:-

In the proposed section 4-B(1):-

(4)in clause (a), line 3, for “year”, substitute “financial year”.

(5)In clause (b):-

- i. Lines 2-3, for “Union Territory of Delhi”, substitute “Union Territories of Delhi or Chandigarh” and

ii. Lines 3-4, after “Haryana State Transport Undertaking”, insert “or of the Pepsu Road Transport Corporation” and

(6) To clause (b), add the following Explanation, namely:-

“Explanation – For purposes of clause (b), a journey shall be deemed to be a journey within the State of Haryana or the Union Territories of Delhi of Chandigarh, where the place of commencement of the journey and the destination thereof are situated in such State or any such Union Territory, or the place of commencement is situated in such State and the destination in any such Union Territory, or the place of commencement is situated in one such Union Territory and destination in another such Union Territory, notwithstanding that the territory of any other State or Union Territory intervenes between the place of commencement of the journey and its destination.”

Chief Minister: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I accept the amendment moved by the hon. Member, Sh. Daya Krishan.

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

In the proposed section 4-B(1) in clause (a), line 3, for “year”, substitute “financial year”.

The motion was carried.

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

In the proposed section 4-B(1) in clause (b), Lines 2-3, for “Union Territory of Delhi”, substitute “Union Territories of Delhi or Chandigarh”

The motion was carried.

THE PUNJAB LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY (ALLOWANCE OF MEMBERS) HARYANA AMENDMENT BILL

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

In the proposed section 4-B(1) in clause (b), Lines 3-4, after “Haryana State Transport Undertaking”, insert “or of the Pepsu Road Transport Corporation”.

The motion was carried.

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

In the proposed section 4-B(1), to clause (b), add the following Explanation namely:-

“Explanation – For purposes of clause (b), a journey shall be deemed to be a journey within the State of Haryana or the Union Territories of Delhi of Chandigarh, where the place of commencement of the journey and the destination thereof are situated in such State or any such Union Territory, or the

place of commencement is situated in such State and the destination in any such Union Territory, or the place of commencement is situated in one such Union Territory and destination in another such Union Territory, notwithstanding that the territory of any other State or Union Territory intervenes between the place of commencement of the journey and its destination.”

The motion was carried.

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Clause 4, as amended, stand part of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Clause 1

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Clause 1 stands part of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Title

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Title be the Title of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Chief Minister (Sh. Bansi Lal): Sir, I beg to move:-

That the Punjab Legislative Assembly (Allowances of Members) Haryana Amendment Bill, as amended, be passed.

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:-

That the Punjab Legislative Assembly (Allowances of Members) Haryana Amendment Bill, as amended, be passed.

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That the Punjab Legislative Assembly (Allowances of Members) Haryana Amendment Bill, as amended, be passed.

The motion was carried.

**THE PUNJAB LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY SPEAKERS AND
DEPUTY SPEAKER'S SALARIES (HARYANA AMENDMENT)
BILL, 1968**

Chief Minister (Sh. Bansi Lal): Sir, I beg to introduce the Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill.

Chief Minister (Sh. Bansi Lal): Sir, I beg to move:-

That the Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill be taken into consideration as once.

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:-

That the Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill be taken into consideration as once.

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That the Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill be taken into consideration as once.

The motion was carried.

Mr. Speaker: Now, the House will take up the Bill Clause by Clause.

Clause 2

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Clause 2 stands part of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Clause 1

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Clause 1 stands part of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Title

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That Title be the Title of the Bill.

The motion was carried.

Chief Minister (Sh. Bansi Lal): Sir, I beg to move:-

That the Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill be passed.

REGARDING THE PROCEDURE FOR DEALING WITH QUESTIONS OF ALLEGED BREACH OF PRIVILEGE COMMITTED BY A MEMBER/OFFICER OR SERVANT OF ANY OTHER LEGISLATURE AND VICE-VERSA

Sh. Daya Krishan (Jind): Mr. Speaker, Sir, I welcome the facilities that are being provided to the Speaker of this House. But, I would request, through you Sir, to the Government that similar facilities should also be provided to the other Presiding Officer of this House, i.e., to the Deputy Speaker also.

Sh. Roop Lal Mehta (Palwal): Sir, I support the suggestion made by Sh. Daya Krishan that similar facilities as

are being given to the Speaker, should also be provided to the Deputy Speaker.

मुख्य मंत्री: स्पीकर साहिब, अभी इस मामले को रहने दीजिए। इस के उपर बाद में गौर कर लेंगे और एक बिल फिर ले आएंगे।

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That the Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill be passed.

The motion was carried.

OFFICIAL RESOLUTION

Chief Minister (Sh. Bansi Lal): Sir, I beg to move:-

That this House resolves that if a member, officer or servant of another Legislature in India is involved in a case of contempt or an alleged breach of privilege of this House, the Speaker shall refer the matter to the Presiding Officer of that Legislature, unless on hearing the member who raises the question or perusing any document, where the complaint is based on a document, the Speaker is satisfied that no breach of privilege has been committed or the matter is too trivial to be taken notice of, in which case he may disallow the motion for breach of privilege.

This House further resolves that when a case of contempt or an alleged breach of privilege against another legislature in India, in which a member, officer or servant of this House is involved, is referred to this House by the Presiding Officer of the Legislature contemned; the Speaker of the House shall deal with the matter in the same way as if it were a case of breach of privilege of this House and communicate to the Presiding Officer who made the reference, a report about the enquiry and the action taken on the reference received.

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved:-

That this House resolves that if a member, officer or servant of another Legislature in India is involved in a case of contempt or an alleged breach of privilege of this House, the Speaker shall refer the matter to the Presiding Officer of that Legislature, unless on hearing the member who raises the question or perusing any document, where the complaint is based on a document, the Speaker is satisfied that no breach of privilege has been committed or the matter is too trivial to be taken notice of, in which case he may disallow the motion for breach of privilege.

This House further resolves that when a case of contempt or an alleged breach of privilege against another legislature in India, in which a member, officer or servant of this House is involved, is referred to this House by the Presiding Officer of the Legislature contemned; the Speaker of the House shall deal with the matter in the same way as if it were a case of breach of privilege of this House and communicate to the Presiding Officer who made the reference,

a report about the enquiry and the action taken on the reference received.

Mr. Speaker: Question is:-

That this House resolves that if a member, officer or servant of another Legislature in India is involved in a case of contempt or an alleged breach of privilege of this House, the Speaker shall refer the matter to the Presiding Officer of that Legislature, unless on hearing the member who raises the question or perusing any document, where the complaint is based on a document, the Speaker is satisfied that no breach of privilege has been committed or the matter is too trivial to be taken notice of, in which case he may disallow the motion for breach of privilege.

This House further resolves that when a case of contempt or an alleged breach of privilege against another legislature in India, in which a member, officer or servant of this House is involved, is referred to this House by the Presiding Officer of the Legislature contemned; the Speaker of the House shall deal with the matter in the same way as if it were a case of breach of privilege of this House and communicate to the Presiding Officer who made the reference, a report about the enquiry and the action taken on the reference received.

The motion was carried.

Mr. Speaker: The House stands adjourned sine-die.

(The House then adjourned sine-die).