

HARYANA VIDHAN SABHA

RESUME

OF

BUSINESS TRANSACTED

BY THE

HARYANA VIDHAN SABHA

DURING

THE SECOND (JANUARY-FEBRUARY) SESSIONS,

1969

WITH

APPENDIX CONTAINING DAILY BULLETINS

HARYANA VIDHAN SABHA SECRETARIAT,

CHANDIGARH

AUGUST, 1969

PREFACE

The following pages contain Resume of Business transacted by the Haryana Vidhan Sabha during its Second Session, held in January-February, 1969 together with the bulletins issued daily after the adjournment of each sitting of the Sabha.

It is hoped that the publication would be found useful by Members and other who may wish to know in detail what work was done each day by the Vidhan Sabha in the last Session.

Any Suggestion for the further improvement of this publication in future would be very greatly welcomed.

Chandigarh
MALHOTRA, August, 1969

RAJ KUMAR
Secretary

TABLE OF CONTENT

| Sr. No. | Subject | Page |
|----------------|--|-------------|
| 1 | Summoning, Sittings and Prorogation of the Vidhan Sabha | 1 |
| 2 | Programme observed | 2 |
| 3 | Panel of Chairman | 8 |
| 4 | Committees | 8 |
| 5 | Governor's Address | 13 |
| 6 | References | 14 |
| 7 | Financial Business | 15 |
| 8 | Legislation- Bill (Official) referred to the Select Committee | 17 |
| 9 | Synopsis of the Bills passed by the Vidhan Sabha | 22 |
| 10 | Resolutions- (i) Official (ii) (ii) Non-Official | 27 29 |
| 11 | Resolution Moved | 30 |

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| 12 | Suspension of four Members from the service of the House | 31 |
| 13 | Papers Presented/Laid | 32 |
| 14 | Reports Presented/Laid | 34 |
| 15 | Adjournment Motion | 35 |
| 16 | Questions of Privilege | 36 |
| 17 | Other Motions | 38 |
| 18 | Call Attention Notice | 39 |
| 19 | Statement(s) by Minister(s) | 42 |
| 20 | Comparative Statement of speeches and time taken there on | 43 |
| 21 | Figures relating to Questions | 44 |
| 22 | Questions | 45 |
| 23 | Starred Questions answered date-wise | 46 |
| 24 | Starred Questions deemed to have answered | 46 |
| 25 | Starred Questions in the name of different Ministers, date-wise | 48 |
| 26 | Starred Question Postponed | 49 |
| 27 | Walk-Out(s) | 50 |
| 28 | Division(s) | 51 |

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| 29 | Unparliamentarily/Undesirable Expressions | 52 |
| 30 | Observations made by the Speaker | 55 |
| 31 | Ruling given by the Speaker | 55 |
| 32 | Point of Order and decision thereon | 63 |

APPENDIX

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Bulletins-January-February | 64-102 |
| 2. Index | i-iv |

SUMMONING, SITTINGS AND PROROGATING OF THE HARYANA VIDHAN SABHA

The Haryana Vidhan Sabha was summoned to meet for its 2nd Sessions in the Hall of the Haryana Vidhan Sabha, Vidhan Bhawan, Chandigarh, on the 28th January, 1969 by an order of the Governor dated the 10th January 1969, and continued to meet till the 12th February 1969, when it was adjourned sine die. It was later prorogued by an order of the Governor, dated the 15th February, 1969.

During this Session, The Sabha held 13 sittings as may be seen from the programme, excluding the one relating to Governor's Address to the Assembly. The Sabha held two sittings (morning and Evening) both on the 11th and 12th February, 1969.

**PROGRAMME OBSERVED BY THE THIRD HARYANA VIDHAN
SABHA DURING ITS SECOND SESSION HELD FROM THE 28TH
JANUARY TO THE 12TH FEBRUARY, 1969**

| Date | Programme |
|--|--|
| 28 th January, 1969 (2.00 p.m.) | Governor's Address to the Haryana Vidhan Sabha |
| 29, January, 1969 (2.00 p.m. to 6.30 p.m.) | <p>1. References to the deaths of the late—</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">i) Dr. Sampurnand, former Governor of Rajasthan.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">ii) Shri S.V. Krishnamoorthy Rao, former Deputy Speaker of the Lok Sabha.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(iii) Shri Vinayakrao P.Patil, Maharashtra Minister for Co-operation.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(iv) Baba Sohan Singh Bhakna.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(v) Shri Manik Lal Verma.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(vi) Shri Anup Singh M.P.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(vii) Shri Kasturi Lal, ex-M.L.A.</p> <p>2. Discussion on the Governor's Address (continued on 31st January, 1969).</p> |
| 30 th January, 1969 (2.00 p.m. to 6.3. | Non-official Business- (a) Bill- |

| | |
|--|--|
| p.m.) | <p>The Haryana Family Planning Bill, 1969 (withdrawn);</p> <p>(b)Resolution-</p> <p>“This House recommends to the Government to take steps enact law for prohibiting the manufacture and sale of alcoholic drinks in the State with effect from the 1st April, 1969” (Discussion not concluded).</p> |
| 31 st January, 1969 (9.30 p.m. to 1.00 p.m.)_ | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Presenting of Supplementary estimates for the year 1968-69. 2. Presenting of the Report of the Estimates Committee on the Supplementary Estimates for the year 1968-69. 3. Resumption of Discussion on Governor’s Address (Continued on the 4th February, 1969). |
| 1 st February, 1969 | Off-day. |
| 2 nd February, 1969 | Holiday. |
| 3 rd February, 1969 (2.00 p.m. to 2.05 p.m.) | 1. References to the death of the late Shri C.N. Annadurai, Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu. |

| | |
|--|--|
| | 2. Adjournment of the House as a mark of respect to the memory of Shri C.N. Annadurai |
| 4 th February, 1969 (2.00 p.m. to 4.55 p.m.) | Resumption of Discussion on the Governor's Address (Concluded) |
| 5 th February, 1969 (2.00 p.m. to 3.16 p.m.) | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Motion regarding suspension of Rule s104 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business suspension of Sarvshri Jai Singh Rathi and other M.L.A.s 2. Motion regarding suspension of Sharvshri Jai Singh Rathi and other M.L.A.s for the remainder of the Session. 3. Adjournment of the Sabha till February 1969. |
| 6 th February, 1969 (2.00 p.m. to 6.37 p.m.) | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Motion under Rule 30 regarding transaction of Government business on Thursday, the 6th February, 1969. 2. Discussion and voting of the Demands for Supplementary Estimates for the year 1968-69 . |
| 7 th February, 1969 (2.00 p.m. to 1.00 | The Haryana Appropriation Bill, 1969. |

| | |
|---|--|
| p.m.) | |
| 8 th February, 1969 (9.30 p.m. to 1.00 p.m.) | Off-day |
| 9 th February, 1969 | Holiday |
| 10 th February, 1969 (2.00 p.m. to 4.30 p.m.) | Presentation of the Budget of the year 1969-70. |
| 11 th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting) (9.30 a.m. to 11.36 a.m.) | <p>I. Official Resolution—</p> <p>(i) Whereas, the Punjab Agricultural University constituted under the Punjab Agricultural University Act, 1961, is serving the needs of the successor States within the Meaning of Section 72 of the Punjab Re-organization Act, 1966;</p> <p>(ii) And whereas, it is intended that provision should be made to by law to dissolve the operation of the Punjab Agricultural University in the State of the Haryana, to set up a separate Agricultural University for the State of Haryana, for vesting the rights and liabilities of the dissolved University in the University to be set up and for all other matters connected therewith</p> |

or incidental thereto;

(iii) And whereas legislation for the purpose mentioned above is relatable to matters enumerated in entries 11 and 32 of List II in Seventh Schedule to the Constitution of India with respect to which Parliament has no power to make a law State except as provided in Articles 249 and 250 thereto;

(iv) And whereas, this appears to this Assembly to be undertaken by Parliament'

(v) Now, therefore, in pursuance of clause (1) of Article 252 of the Constitution of India, this Assembly hereby resolve that Parliament shall by law make provision for the dissolution of the aforesaid Punjab Agricultural University in relation to the State of Haryana, for setting up a separate Agricultural University for the State of Haryana , for vesting the rights and liabilities of the University so dissolved in the University to be so set up and for all other matters connected therewith or incidental thereto. (Carried).

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>(ii). Whereas, it is expedient to provide by law for the prevention of water pollution and the maintaining or restoring of wholesomeness of water, for the establishment, with a view to carrying out the purposes aforesaid, of Water pollution Prevention boards, for conferring on such Boards functions relating thereto and for matter connected therewith;</p> |
| | <p>And whereas it is desirable and necessary to have a uniform law in this behalf in all the States;</p> |
| | <p>And whereas the subject matter of such a law is relatable to entries 6 and 17 of List II in the Seventh Schedule to the Constitution of India;</p> |
| | <p>And whereas Parliament has no power to make laws for the States with respect to any of the matters aforesaid except as provided in Articles 249 and 250 of the Constitution;</p> |
| | <p>And whereas it appears to this Assembly should be enacted by Parliament;</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>Now, therefore, in pursuance of clause (1) of Article 252 of constitution of India, this Assembly hereby resolve that Parliament should enact a law providing prevention of water pollution and the maintaining or restoring of wholesomeness of water, for the establishment , with a view to carrying out the purpose aforesaid, of Water Pollution Prevention's Boards, for conferring on such Boards functions relating thereto and for matters connected therewith. (Carried)</p> |
| | <p>II. Bills—</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The East Punjab Minister's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 2. The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 3. The Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharges) Bill, 1969. 4. The Punjab Local Authorities (Aided Schools) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969. 5. The Punjab State Legislature (Prevention of disqualification) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969. |

| | |
|--|--|
| | 6. The Punjab Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioner (Haryana Amendment and Validation bill, 1969. |
| | 7. The Haryana Official Language Bill, 1969 |
| | 8. The Indian Stamp (Haryana Amendment) bill, 1969 |
| | 9. The Punjab Cinemas (Regulation) Haryana Amendment bill, 1969 |
| | 10. The Punjab Immovable Property Tax (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 |
| | 11. The Punjab Passengers and Goods Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 |
| | 12. The Punjab Motor Spirit (Taxation of Sales) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969 |
| | 13. The Punjab entertainment Tax (Cinematograph Shows) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969 |
| | 14. The Punjab Requisitioning and Acquisition of immovable Property (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 |
| | 15. The East Punjab Awards (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 |

| | |
|---|---|
| | <p>16. The Punjab State Legislature Officers, ministers and Members (Medical facilities) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969</p> <p>17. The Punjab Security of the State (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969</p> <p>18. The Punjab Municipal (Haryana Octroi Surcharge Validation) Bill, 1969</p> <p>19. Introduction Of the Haryana Cattle Fairs Bill, 1969 and its reference to the select committee.</p> <p>20. The Punjab General Sales Tax (Haryana Amendment and Validation) bill, 1969</p> <p>21. The Haryana Board of school education bill, 1969</p> |
| <p>11th February, 1969 (2.30 p.m. to 6.30 p.m.) (Evening Sitting)</p> | <p>General Discussion on the Budget.</p> |
| <p>12th February, 1969 (10.00 a.m. to 1.18 p.m.) (morning Sitting)</p> | <p>Discussion and voting on Government Demands for grants.</p> |
| <p>12th February, 1969 (3.00 p.m. to 6.56 p.m.)</p> | <p>1. Presentation of the First report of the Committee on Subordinate Legislation</p> |

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| <p>(Evening sitting)</p> | <p>for the year 1968-69</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="618 283 1427 430">2. Presentation of the First Report of the committee on Government Assurances for the year 1968-69.<li data-bbox="618 483 1427 577">3. Motion regarding adjournment of the Sabha sine die.<li data-bbox="618 630 1427 724">4. The Haryana Appropriation (NO. 2) Bill, 1969 |
|--------------------------|---|

PANEL OF CHAIRMAN

On the 29th January, 1969, by the Speaker nominated the following on the Panel of Chairman, under Rule 13(1):-

- (1) Chaudhri Maru Singh Malik;
- (2) Malik Mukhtiar singh;
- (3) Shri Daya Krishan;
- (4) Shri Balwant Rai Tayal;

COMMITTEES

(i) Rules Committee (Nominated on the 10th April, 1969 under Rule 254):-

- (1) Brig. Ran Singh- Ex-Officio Chairman.
- (2) Shri bansi lal, Chief Minister;
- (3) Shrimati Om Prabha jain, Finance Minister;
- (4) Rao Birender Singh
- (5) Shri Chand Ram;
- (6) Shri Ram Dhari Gaur;
- (7) Shri Mukhtiar Singh;
- (8) Chaudhri Ranbir Singh

Special Invitees

- (1) Shrimati Lekhwati Jain, Deputy Speaker.

(2) Shri Roop Lal Mehta

(ii) Committee on Government Assurances (Nominated on the 10th April 1969, under Rule 261):-

(1) Malik Mukhtiar Singh-Chairman

(2) Major Amir Singh Chaudhri.

(3) Chaudhri Bhajan Lal.

(4) Chaudhri Maru Singh Malik.

(5) Chaudhri Neki Ram.

(6) Chaudhri Pratap Singh

(7) Chaudhri raj Singh Dalal

(8) Chaudhri Rajender Singh

(9) Shri Ram Dhari Gaur

(iii) Committee on Subordinate Legislation (Nominated on the 10th April, 1969, under Rule 265-B):-

(1) Chaudhri Chand Ram,-Chairman.

(2) Shrimati Chanderawati.

(3) Rao Dalip Singh.

(4) Chaudhri Kattar Singh Chhokar.

(5) Shri Mangal Sein.

(6) Shrimati Prasanni Devi.

(7) Shrimati Sharda Rani Kunwar.

(8) Advocate-General.

(ii) Committee on Privileges (Nominated on the 10th April, 1969 under Rule 274):-

(1) Chaudhri sarup Singh, Chairman.

(2) Rao Birender Singh

(3) Chaudhri Chand Ram.

(4) Shri Daya Krishan.

(5) Malik Mukhtiar Singh

(6) Subedar Prabhu Singh.

(7) Shri Ram Saran Chand Mital.

(8) Shri Shanti Prashad Jaiswal.

(9) Chaudhri Surjit Singh.

(vi) Library Committee (Nominated on the 10th April, 1969 under Rule 266):-

(1) Chaudhri Maru Singh Malik-Chairman.

(2) Shri Kanwar Singh Dhaiya.

(3) Principal Iswar Singh.

(4) Chaudhri Narian Singh.

(5) Shri Shanti Prashad Jaiswal.

(6) Shri Shyam Chand.

(vii) House Committee (Nominated on the 10th April, 1969 under Rule 267):-

(1) Shrimati Lekhwati Jain-Deputy speaker-Ex-officio
Chairman.

(2) Chaudhri Banwari ram.

(3) Shri Chanda Singh.

(4) Shri Harpal Singh.

(5) Chaudhri Lal Singh.

(viii) Committee on Petitions (Nominated on 29th January, 1969 under Rule 293):-

(1) Shrimati Lekhwati Jain, Deputy Speaker-Ex-officio
Chairman.

(2) Shri Daya Krishan (Member of the Panel of
Chairman), Member.

(3) Chaudhri Partap Singh (Daulatpur).

(4) Dr. Malik Chand Gambhir.

(5) Chaudhri Jaswant Singh Chauhan.

II. Elected by the Sabha

(1). Public Accounts Committee (Elected on the 14th February, 1969 under Rule 250):-

(1) Khan Abdul Gaffar Khan-Chairman

(2) Shri Balwant rai Tayal.

(3) Shri Banarsi Dass Gupta.

(4) Chri Fateh Chand Vig.

(5) Shri hari Singh Yadav.

(6) Chaudhri Jai Singh Rathi.

(7) Shri Om Prakash Garg.

(8) Chaudhri Raj Singh Dalal.

(9) Chaudhri Ram Prakash.

(2). Committee on Estimate (Elected on the 14 February, 1969 under rule 252):-

(1) Shrimati Chandrawati-Chairman.

(2) Chaudhri Abdul Razzaq Khan.

(3) sMajor Amir Singh Chaudhri.

(4) Mahant Ganga Sagar.

(5) Shri Jagdish Chander.

(6) Shri Manohar Singh Azad.

(7) sShri prem Sukh Dass.

(8) Chaudhri Rajender Singh.

(9) Shri randhir Singh.

III. Appointed by the Sabha

Select Committee on a bill

On the 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting), the Motion referring the Haryana Cattle Fairs Bill, 1969, to Select Committee was Carried. The following were appointed to serve on the Select Committee:--

1. Chaudhri Ranbir Singh, M.L.A.,-Chairman.
2. Chaudhri Khurshed Ahmed, Development and health Minister.
3. Khan Abdul Gaffar Khan. M.L.A.
4. Major Amir Singh Chaudhri
5. Chaudhri Bhajan Lal, M.L.A.
6. Chaudhri Goverdhan Dass, M.L.A.
7. Principal Iswar Singh, M.L.A.
8. Chaudhri Jagdish Chander, M.L.A.
9. Malik Mukhtiar Singh, M.L.A.
10. Chaudhri Neki ram, M.L.A.
11. Chaudhri Raj Singh Dalal, M.L.A.
12. Chaudhri Rajinder Singh, M.L.A.
13. Chaudhri Chaudhri Ram Prakash M.L.A.
14. Shri ram Saran Chand Mittal, M.L.A.

15. Chaudhri Sarup Singh, M.L.A.
16. Rajkumari Sumitra Devi, M.L.A.
17. Chaudhri Surjit Singh, M.L.A.
18. The Advocate-General.

GOVERNOR'S ADDRESS

The Governor addressed the Vidhan Sabha on Thursday the 28th January, 1969 at 2.00 P.M.

The Speaker reported this fact and laid a copy of the Address before the Sabha on the 29th January, 1969.

The Motion of thanks on the Governor's address was also moved on the 29th January, 1969, by Chaudhri Maru Singh Malik and seconded by Shri Daya Krishan. The Motion was discussed-

On the 29th January:for 164 minutes (Treasury 53 minutes and Opposition 111 minutes)

On the 31st January:for 115 minutes (Treasury 39 minutes and Opposition 76 minutes)

On the 4th February:for 2 minutes (Treasury 2 minutes and Opposition Zero minute)

Eight Members took part in the discussion⁵ from the Treasury and 3 From the Opposition Benches, speaking for 94 minutes and 187 minutes respectively.

One amendment from the Opposition Benches was moved to the Motion. The amendment was lost and the motion was carried on the 4th February, 1969.

It was communicated by the Speaker to the Governor the same day, whose acknowledgement was read out to the House on the 6th February, 1969.

REFEREANCES

References to the deaths of the late Dr. Sampuranand, former Governor of Rajasthan, Shri S.V. Krishna moorty Rao, former Deputy Speaker of Lok Sabha, Shri Vinayakrao P. Patil, Maharashtra Minister for Co-operative Baba Sohan Singh BHakna, Shri Manik Lal Verma, Dr. Anup Singh, M.P. and Shri Kasturi Lal, Ex-M.L.A., were made by the chief Minister and the repretative of the different groups. The Speaker associated himself with the sentiments expressed. All the Members stood in silence for 2 minutres as a mark of respect to the memory of the deceased.

On the 3rd February, 1969, the Chief Minister made a reference to the death of the late Shri C.N. Annadurai, Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu and moved that the House be adjourned without transacting any business as a mark of respect to the departed leader. The Leader of the Opposition, Rao Birendra Singh supported the motion with a Short Speech.

The Speaker associated himself with the sentiments expressed.

Thereafter, the Sabha adjourned as a mark of respect to the memory of the departed leader.

Messages of Condolence and sympathy on behalf of the Vidhan Sabha were sent as under:--

| Sr. No. | Name of the deceased | Name of the authority whom the |
|---------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| | | message of condolence was sent |
| 1 | Dr. Sampurnanad, former Governor's of Rajasthan | The Chief Secretary to the Government Utter Pradesh, Lucknow |
| 2 | Shri S.V. Krishnamoorty Rao, former Deputy Speaker of Lok Saha | The Secretary Lok Sabha Parliament House, New Delhi |
| 3 | Shri Vinayakro P.Patil, Maharashtra Minister for Co- operation | The Chief Secretary to Government Maharashtra, Bombay |
| 4 | Baba Sohan Singh Bhakna | The Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar |
| 5 | Shri Manik Lal Verma | The Chief Secretary to Government, Rajasthan, Jaipur |
| 6 | Dr. Anup Singh, M.P. | The Secretary Rajya Sabha, Parliament House, New Delhi |
| 7 | Shri Kasturi Lal | The deputy Commissioner, Karnal |
| 8 | Shri C.N. Annadurai, Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu | Mrs. Rani Annadurai, wife of late Shri C.N. Annadurai, Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu. |

FINANCIAL BUSSINESS

SUPPLEMENTRY ESTIMATES FOR THE YEAR 1968- 69

- (i) Supplementary Estimates 1968-69, were presented to the Sabha on 31st January 1969 and discussed and voted upon on the 6th February, 1969

There were 26 demands in all totaling a sum Rupees 4, 83, 73, 615.

All the demands were deemed to have been read and moved. A general discussion on all the supplementary demands was printed. The Members were, however requested to indicate the number of the demands on which they wished to raise discussion.

Four members (including the Finance Minister) from treasury benches and two members fro the opposition benches spoke for 54 minutes and 58 minutes respectively. All the demands were carried.

- (ii) The Haryana Appropriation Bill, 1969- The Haryana Appropriation Bill, 1969 (Bill No. 22-HLA of 1969), in respect of the Supplementary Demands voted by the Sabha on the 6th February, 1969, was introduced on the 7th February, 1969,

It was before the House for 102 minutes.

Two Members from the Treasury Benches and three members from the Opposition Benches took part in the

discussion and spoke for 44 minutes and 58 minutes respectively.

The Bill was passed.

III. BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR THE YEAR 1969-70

The Budget Estimates for the year 1969-70 were represented to the house by the Finance Minister with a speech on the 10th February, 1969.

1. General Discussion

The General Discussion on the Budget was held on the 11th February, 1969 (Evening Sitting) for 240 minutes.

The total time taken by the Treasury Benches was 214 minutes by the unattached member 26 minute, The total of members who participated in the discussion was 13. (12 from the Treasury Benches one from the unattached members).

2. Demands for Grants

There were in all 51 demands for Grants totaling a sum of Rs. 1,33,28,44,415.

All the demands were deemed to have read and moved in the House on the 12th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting).

First Members (including the Finance Minister) from the Treasury Benches and one attached member spoke for 169 minutes and 12 minutes respectively All the Demands were put to the vote of the House and Carried.

3. The Haryana Appropriation (no. 2) Bill, 1969.

The Haryana Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1969 (Bill No. 23 -HLA of 1969) respect of the demands voted by the Sabha on the 12th February 1969, (Morning Sitting) was introduced on the 12th February, 1969 (Evening Sitting)

It was before the House for 221 minutes, Fifteen Members from the Treasury Benches included the Chief Minister, participated in the debate speaking for 221 minutes.

The Bill was passed.

LEGISLATION

The Sabha considered Legislative Business on the 7th February, 1969, 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting) 12th February, 1969 (Evening Sitting). Out of Twenty three Bills, the Sabha passed 22 Bills s including two Appropriation Bills in respect of the Supplementary Estimates for the year 1968-69, and the Budget for the year 1969-70, and one Bill, namely the Haryana Cattle Fairs Bill, 1969, was introduced and referred to the Select Committee of the Haryana Vidhan Sabha (see Table I.

One Official Bill, namely Family Planning Bill, 1969 was withdrawn on the 30th January, 1969.

Table

| Sr | Title of the Bill | Minister introducing | Date of introduction | Date of Ref. to select committee | Date of consideration | No. of Speeches | | Time Taken in Minutes | | Amendment proposed | Total time taken for disposal in min. including the time taken for stating the motion | Date of passing |
|----|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------|---|-----------------|
| | | | | | | Treasury | Opposition | Treasury | Opposition | | | |
| 1 | The Haryana appropriation bill, 1969 | Finance Minister | 7-2-69 | | 7-2-69 | 2 | 3 | 44 | 58 | | 102 | 7-2-69 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|--|----|--|---|----|---------------------------------|
| 2 | The East Punjab Minister's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill | Chief Minister | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting Morning | | | | | | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting |
| 3 | The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana | Chief Minister | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | | | | 2 | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting |
| Amen- d- m | The Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharges) Bill | Finance Minister | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | 7 | | 17 | | | 17 | 11-2-69 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| en t) Bi ll 4 | | | ng | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | The Punjab Local Authorities (Aided Schools) Haryana Amendment Bill | Chief Minister | 11- 2- 69 | | 11-2- 69 1 st sitting | | | | | | 2 | 11-2- 69 1 st sittin g |
| 6 | The Punjab State Legislature (Prevention of disqualification) Haryana Amendment Bill | Chief Minister | 11- 2- 69 1 st sitti ng | | 11-2- 69 1 st sitting | 1 | | 1 | | | 3 | 11-2- 69 1 st sittin g |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|--|----|--|--|----|---------------------------------|
| 7 | The Punjab Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioner (Haryana Amendment and Validation bill | Health Minister | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | | | | | 2 | 11-2-69 1 st sitting |
| 8 | The Haryana Official Language Bill | Finance Minister | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | 4 | | 30 | | | 30 | 11-2-69 1 st sitting |
| 9 | The Indian Stamp (Haryana Amendment) bill | Finance Minister | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | | | | | 2 | 11-2-69 1 st sitting |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| 10 | The Punjab Cinemas (Regulation) Haryana Amendment bill | Finance Minister | 11- 2- 69 1 st sitti ng | | 11-2- 69 1 st sitting | | | | | | 2 | 11-2- 69 1 st sittin g |
| 11 | The Punjab Immovable Property Tax (Haryana Amendment) Bill | Finance Minister | 11- 2- 69 1 st sitti ng | | 11-2- 69 1 st sitting | | | | | | 3 | 11-2- 69 1 st sittin g |
| 12 | The Punjab Passengers and Goods Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Bill | Finance Minister | 11- 2- 69 1 st sitti ng | | 11-2- 69 1 st sitting | | | | | | 2 | 11-2- 69 1 st sittin g |
| 13 | The Punjab Motor Spirit (| Finance | 11- 2- | | 11-2- 69 1 st | | | | | | 2 | 11-2- 69 1 st |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| | Taxation of Sales) Haryana Amendment Bill | Minister | 69 1 st sitting | | sitting | | | | | | | sitting |
| 14 | The Punjab Entertainment Tax (Cinematograph Shows) Haryana Amendment Bill | Finance Minister | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | | | | | 3 | 11-2-69 1 st sitting |
| 15 | The Punjab Requisitioning and Acquisition of immovable Property (Haryana Amendment) | Chief Minister | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | | | | | 2 | 11-2-69 1 st sitting |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------|
| | Bill | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | The East Punjab Awards (Haryana Amendment) Bill | Finance Minister | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | | | | | 2 | 11-2-69 1 st sitting |
| 17 | The Punjab State Legislature Officers | Chief Minister | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | | | | | 2 | 11-2-69 1 st sitting |
| 18 | The Punjab Security of the State (Haryana Amendment) Bill | Chief Minister | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | | | | | 3 | 11-2-69 1 st sitting |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------|
| 19 | The Punjab Municipal (Haryana Octroi Surcharge Validation) Bill | Health Minister | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | | | | | 2 | 11-2-69 1 st sitting |
| 20 | Introduction Of the Haryana Cattle Fairs Bill | Health Minister | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | | | | | 2 | 11-2-69 1 st sitting |
| 21 | The Punjab General Sales Tax (Haryana Amendment and Validation) bill | Finance Minister | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | 11-2-69 1 st sitting | | | | | | 2 | 11-2-69 1 st sitting |
| 22 | The Haryana Board of school | Chief | 11-2- | | 11-2-69 1 st | | | | | | 3 | 11-2-69 1 st |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|----|--|-----|--|--|-----|---------------------------------------|
| | education bill | Minister | 69 1 st sitting | | sitting | | | | | | | sitting |
| 23 | The East Punjab Minister's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill | Finance Minister | 12-2-69 2 nd sitting | | 12-2-69 2 nd sitting | 15 | | 221 | | | 221 | 12-2-69 2 nd sitting |

**SYNOPSIS OF BILLS PASSED BY THE HARYANA VIDHAN
SABHA DURING ITS BUDGET SESSIONS, 1969**

1. The Haryana Appropriation Bill, 1969
(Introduced on 7th February 1969; passed on
7th February, 1969).

This Bill provides for the appropriation from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Haryana of sums required to meet the Supplementary Grants made by the Vidhan Sabha and expenditure charged on the Consolidated Fund of the State for the financial year, 1968-69.

2. The East Punjab Minister's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 (Introduced on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting), Passed on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting)

This Bill replaces the ordinance to amend the principal Act by entitling a Minister to first class railway travel anywhere within India, at the expense of the State Government, subject to a maximum annual journey of 16000 Kilometers and to free travel within the State or the Union Territories of Delhi or Chandigarh by any Public service vehicle of the Haryana State Transport Undertaking or of the Pepsu Road s Transport Corporation, except an air conditioned Vehicle.

3. The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 (Introduced on 11th

February, 1969 (Morning Sitting); passed on 11th February, 1969 (Morning sitting)

This bill replaces to amend the Principal Act entitling the Speaker and the deputy Speaker to first class travel anywhere within India. At the expense of the State Government, subject to a maximum annual journey of 16000 Kilometers and to free travel within the State or the Union Territories of Delhi and Chandigarh by an Public service vehicle of the Haryana State Union State Transport Undertaking or the Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, except an air-conditioned vehicle.

4. The Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharge) Bill, 1969 (Introduced on the 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting); passed on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting).

The Bill empowers the State Government to levy the additional surcharge on the Kharif 1968 and Rabi 1969 Harvests at the rate of 50 per centum of the land revenue payable under the Punjab Land Revenue Act, 1867.

5. The Punjab Local Authorities (Aided Schools) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969 (Introduced on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting), passed on 11th February, 1969, Morning Sitting).

The Bill seeks to re-enact the President's Act (Act No. 6 of 1967) and empowers the Government to continue the management of aided schools for a further period of one year ending with the 30th September, 1969.

6. The Punjab Legislature) prevention of Disqualification Haryana Amendment Bill 1969 [Introduced on 11th February, 1969 (Morning sitting), passed on 11th February, 1969, (Morning Sitting)].

This Bill replace ordinance Which was promulgated to amend the principal Act to declare that any office held by a Minister, Minister of State or deputy Minister for the State of Haryana in so far as it is an office of profit under the Government of India, The State of Haryana, or any other State, shall not disqualify the holder thereof for being chosen as, or for being a member of the Haryana state Legislature.

7. The Punjab Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioner (Haryana Amendment and Validation Bill, 1969 (Introduced on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting), 1969, passed on 11th February, 1969, (Morning Sitting)).

This Bill replaces the ordinance which was promulgated to amend the Principal Act to provide for—

- (i) the reduction in the number of members of the Board from seventeen to Eleven;
- (ii) The constitution of an interim Board as the term of interim Board constituted under sub-section (6) of section 3 of the Principal Act expired in February, 1966;
- (iii) The removal of any erring member from the Board by the State Government;

- (iv) A period of One year from the commencement of said Ordinance to every person not in possession of the qualifications specified in Schedule I whose name is entered immediately before the commencement of the Principal Act in the list mentioned under section 34 of the East Punjab Ayurvedic and unani Practitioner Act, 1949, or under section 38 of the Pepsu Ayurvedic and Unani practitioner Act, 200 B.K., to get his name entered in Part II of the register; and
- (v) The Validation of certain actions, including the registration of persons under section 15 and 15-A of the Principal Act, taken by the Registrar during the period commencing on the 4th February, 1966 and ending with the 26th November, 1968.

8. The Haryana Official Language Bill, 1969 (introduced on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting), passed on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting).

This Bill replaces the ordinance and provides for the adoption of Hindi as the language to be used for the official purposes of the State of Haryana.

9. The Indian Stamp (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 (Introduced on 11th February, 1969 (morning Sitting), passed on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting).

This bill seeks to re-enact the Indian Stamp (Haryana Amendment) Act, 1967, (President Act No. 7 of 1967) to raise the rate of stamp duty, by slab system on

Conveyances by sale of Immovable property from 6 percent to 10 percent and on other conveyances including gifts and mortgages with possession from 3 percent and 2,1/4 percent respectively to 5 percent.

10. The Punjab Cinema Regulation Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969, (Introduced on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting), passed on 1969 (Morning sitting).

This Bill replaces the ordinance which was promulgated to amend President's Act (Act No. 9 to 1967 to prohibit black marketing in the sale of cinema tickets and regulating the power of cinema proprietors to increase rate for admission to Cinematograph exhibitions.

11. The Punjab Urban Property tax (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 (Introduced on 11th February 1969 (morning Sitting) passed on 11th February,, 1969, (morning sitting).

This bill gives retrospective effect to the measure from the 1st day of April, 1968 in order to levy the surcharge on buildings and lands for further period of one year ending with 31st day of March, 1969.

12. The Punjab Passengers and Good Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 (Introduced on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting), passed on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting).

- (a) This Bill replaces the President's Act (Act No. 11 of 1967) to raise the rate on all fares and freights in respect of all passengers carried and goods transported by motor vehicle to thirty-five per centum of the Value of the fares and freights, as the case may be;
- (b) Charge tax in respect of the passengers carried or goods transported by a motor vehicle operating on joint routes, on the distance covered within the State at the aforesaid rate and was made calculable on such amount as bears the same proportion to the total fare and freight as the distance covered in the State bears to the total distance of the journey.

13. The Punjab Motor (taxation of sales) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969 (Introduced 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting), passed on 11th February, 1969, (Morning Sitting).

This Bill replaces the President's Act (Act No. 12 of 1967) to empower the state Government to levy the tax at the first stage of sale of all motor spirits at the rate not exceeding nine paise liter from the date of notification.

14. The Punjab Entertainment Tax (Cinematograph Shows) Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 (Introduce on 11th February, 1969 (morning Sitting); passed on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting).

The Bill replaces President's Act (Act No. 13 of 1967) and provides for the levy of the Tax such rates not exceeding Twenty-five rupees per shows on all public

Cinematograph exhibitions to which persons are admitted on payments.

15. The Punjab Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1969 (morning sitting); passed on 11th February, 1969(Morning Sitting).

This bill replaces the president Act so as to extend the life of the principal Act up to the 14th April, 1973, to empower the State Government to Requisition and acquire immovable property required for public purpose of the State.

16. The east Punjab War Awards (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 (Introduced on 11th February, (Morning Sitting), passed on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting).

This Bill seeks to extend the benefit of a war jagir to those parents, whose only two sons have served in the Armed Forces during the emergency declared by the President of India under Article 352 of the Constitution of India on the 26th October, 1962.

17. The Punjab State Legislature Officers, Minister's and members (medical Facilities Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969 (Introduced on 11th February, 1969 (morning Sitting), passed on the 11th February 1969 (Morning Sitting).

This Bill amends the principal Act by rectifying a clerical omission which crept into clause (iv) of Sub-section (1) of Section (2) of the Punjab State Legislature Officers,

Minister's and Members (Medical Facilities) Act 1965 as included in the Schedule to the Punjab Laws Application Act, 1966

18. The Punjab Security of the State Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969 (introduced on 11february, 1969 (Morning Sitting), passed on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting).

This bill seeks to remove the constitutional defects by authorizing the Government to impose collective fines on the inhabitants of a particular area under specified circumstances.

19. The Punjab Municipal Haryana Octroi Surcharge Validation Bill, 1969 (Introduced on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting), passed on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting).

This Bill validates the imposition and collection of surcharge on Octopi by the Municipal Committee, Hissar in the District of Hissar.

20. The Punjab Sales Tax Haryana Amendment and Validation Bill, 1969 (Introduced on 11th February 1969, (Morning Sitting), passed on 11th February 1969 (morning Sitting).

This Bill replaces the President's Act (Act No. 14 of 1967) and provides for---

- (i) The sitting up of a one-man Tribunal to hear and dispose of appeals against the decisions of the assessing authorities.
- (ii) The Ceiling in respect of rate of tax on declared goods from 2 percent to 3 percent, so as to conform to the ceiling laid down in section 15 of the Central Sales Tax Act, 1956
- (iii) Grant of registration to intending manufactures;
- (iv) Compounding the tax due from certain classes of dealers;
- (v) Unauthorized collections by dealers, made by way of tax, being made over to the Government and refund of such tax by the Government to the persons from whom it was wrongfully collected.

21. The Haryana Board of School Education Bill, 1969 (Introduced on 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting); passed on 11th February, 1969 (morning Sitting).

This bill empowers the State Government to set up a Board of School Education in Haryana and to take over the conduct of Matriculation and Higher Secondary Examination (Part I and Part II) from the Punjab University and the Middle standard Examination from the department wing of the State Education Department, with effect from the year, 1970.

22. The Haryana Appropriation (No.2) Bill, 1969 (Introduced on 12th February, 1969 (Evening

Sitting), passed on 12th February, 1969
(Evening Sitting).

This bill provides for the appropriation from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Haryana of sums required to meet the grants voted by the Vidhan Sabha and the expenditure charged on the Consolidated Fund of the State for the Financial Year, 1969-70.

RESOLUTIONS

The following official resolutions were moved on the 11th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting) by the Chief Minister:-

1 “Whereas, the Punjab Agricultural University constituted under the Punjab Agricultural University Act, 1961, is serving the needs of the successor States within the meaning of Section 72 of the Punjab Re-organization Act, 1966;

And whereas, it is intended that the provision should be made by law to dissolve the operation of the Punjab Agricultural University in the State of Haryana, to set up a separate Agricultural University for the State of Haryana, for vesting the rights and liabilities of the dissolved University in the University to be set up and for all other matters connected therewith or incidental thereto;

And, Whereas, legislation for the purpose mentioned above is relatable to matters enumerated in entries 11 and 32 of List II in Seventh Schedule to the Constitution of India with respect to which parliament has no power to make a law for the State except as provided in Articles 249 and 250 thereto;

And whereas, it appears to this Assembly to be desirable that such legislation should be undertaken by Parliament;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of clause (1) Article 252 of the constitution in India, this Assembly hereby resolves that Parliament shall by law make provision for the dissolution of the aforesaid Punjab Agricultural University in

relation to the State of Haryana for setting up a separate Agricultural University for the State of Haryana, for vesting the rights and liabilities of the University so dissolved in the University to be set up and for all other matters connected therewith or incidental thereto;

No member rose to speak. The resolution was put to vote of the House and carried.

2 “ Whereas it is expedient to provide by law for the prevention of water pollution and the maintaining or restoring of wholesomeness of water, for the establishment, with a view to carrying out the purposes aforesaid, of water pollution Prevention Boards for conferring on such Boards functions relating thereto and for matters connected therewith;

And whereas it is desirable and necessary to have uniform law in this behalf in all the States,

And whereas the Subject-matter of such a law is relatable to entries 6 and 17 of List II in the Seventh Schedule to the Constitution of India;

And whereas Parliament has no power to make laws for the states with respect to any of the matter aforesaid except as provided in Articles 249 and 250 of the constitution;

And whereas it appears to this Assembly to be desirable that such a law should be enacted by Parliament;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of clause (1) of Article 252 of the Constitution of India, this assembly hereby

resolves that Parliament should enact a law providing for the prevention of water pollution and the maintaining or restoring of wholesomeness of Water for the establishment, with a view to carrying out the purposes, on such Boards functions relating thereto and for matters connected therewith”

No members rose to speak, this resolution was put to vote of the House and carried.

NON-OFFICIAL

| | | | |
|----|--|--------------------|-----|
| 1. | Name of notices received | Treasury Benches | 3 |
| | | Opposition Benches | 3 |
| 2. | Number admitted | Treasury Benches | 2 |
| | | Opposition Benches | 2 |
| 3. | Number disallowed | Treasury Benches | 1 |
| | | Opposition Benches | Nil |
| 4. | Number referred back | Treasury benches | Nil |
| | | Opposition Benches | 1 |
| 5. | Number renewed | Treasury Benches | Nil |
| | | Opposition Benches | Nil |
| 6. | Number of resolution not dealt with because of the decision that not more than one Resolution of a member shall be admitted for one Non-official day | | Nil |

| | | | |
|-----|---|--------------------------------|---|
| 7. | Total Number of Resolution for ballot | Treasury Benches | 2 |
| | | Opposition Benches | 2 |
| 8. | Number of ballots held | | 2 |
| 9. | Dates on which ballots held | 29 th January, 1969 | |
| | | 5 th February, 1969 | |
| 10. | Dates on which business other than Government Business was transacted | 30 th January, 1969 | |

Note- On a motion moved by the Finance Minister "That Rule 30 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Punjab Legislative Assembly be suspended and the Government business which was to be transacted on Wednesday the 5th February, 1969 be transacted today, the 6th February, 1969 and carried no business other than Government business was transacted on Thursday, the 6th February, 1969

RESOLUTIONS

On Thursday, the 30th January, 1969 Shri Daya Krishan, M.L.A., moved that-

“This House recommends to the Government to take steps to enact law prohibiting the manufacture and Sale of alcoholic drinks in the State with effect from the 1st April, 1969”,

And Spoke for 11 minutes.

The Resolution was discussed on Thursday, the 30th January, 1969 and remained before the House for 157 minutes.

Nine Members from the Treasury Benches and four members from the Opposition Benches participated in the debate for 112 and 28 minutes respectively.

Shri Ram Saran Chand Mittal, M.L.A., read the amendment given notice of by him.

Shri Om Prakash Garg, M.L.A., from the Treasury Benches had spoken for 6 minutes and was still in possession of the House when it adjourned.

The discussion remained inconclusive.

SUSPENSIONS OF FOUR MEMBERS FROM THE SERVICE OF THE HOUSE

On the 4th February, 1969 four members, namely, Sarvshri Jai Singh Rathi, Mahant Ganga Sagar, Fateh Chand Vij and Ganpat Rai persistently interrupted the proceedings of the House. They having been named by the Speaker did not withdrawn from the House and continued to defy his order.

On the 5th February, 1969, in the first instance, the following motion for the suspension of Rule 104 of the Rules was moved by Shrimati Chandravati;

“That Rule 104 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the House be suspended in its applications to the Motion regarding the suspension of sarvshri Jai Singh Rathi and three others”

The Motion was carried.

Immediately thereafter, Shri Banarsi dass Gupta moved the following motion for suspensions of four members for the remainder of the Session:-

“That Yesterdays, the 4th February, 1969, four Members of this house, namely, sarvshri Jai Singh Rathi, Mahant sagar, Fateh Chand vij and ganpat Rai having been named by the Hon'ble Speaker did not withdrawn from the House and continued to defy his orders. They committed gross contempt of the House and breach of privilege. This House suspends them for the rest of the Session and directs the

aforesaid Members to absent themselves from the meetings of this house for the remainder of the present session”.

The Motion having been carried, the Speaker asked these four Members leave the House which they did not do. The speaker adjourned the House 6th February, 1969.

Thereafter, these four Members, however, did not attend the house 12th February, 1969, when the assembly adjourned sine-die.

PAPERS PRESENTED/LAID

| Sr | By whom presented/laid | Date on which presented/laid | Subject |
|----|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1. | Speaker | 29 th January, 1969 | Governor's Address under rule 18 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct business in the Punjab Legislative Assembly. |
| 2. | Secretary | 29 th January, 1969 | Statement showing the Bills which were passed by the Haryana Legislative during its (Budget) Session, 1968 and which had since been attended to by the Governor. |
| 3. | Chief Minister | 29 th January, 1969 | <p>1 The East Punjab Minister's Salaries Haryana Amendment Ordinance 1968</p> <p>2 The Punjab Legislative Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries Haryana Amendment ordinance, 1968</p> |
| 4. | Finance Minister | 29 th January, 1969 | The Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharge) Amendment ordinance, 1968 |
| 5. | Chief Minister | 29 th January, 1969 | The Punjab Local Authorities (Aided Schools) Haryana Amendment Ordinance, 1968 |
| 6. | Finance | 29 th January, | The Haryana Official Language Ordinance, |

| | | | |
|----|-----------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | Minister | 1969 | 1968 |
| 7. | Chief Minister | 29 th January, 1969 | The Punjab State Legislative (Prevention of disqualification) Haryana Amendment Ordinance, 1968 |
| 8. | Health Minister | 29 th January, 1969 | The Punjab Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioner (Haryana Amendment and Validation) Ordinance, 1968. |
| 9. | Chief Minister | 29 th January, 1969 | <p>A copy each of the following Notification issued under section 133(3) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No. G.S.R.14/CA-4/39/S.68/Amd.(1)/68, dated the 19th February, 1968 2. No. G.S.R>64/CA-4/39/S.4 Amd.(2)/68,dated the 26th August, 1968 3. No. G.S./R.94/C.A.4/39/S.68/Amd.(3)/68,dated the 29th October, 1968 4. No. G.S.R.98/C.A./4/39/S.41/Amd.(4)68,dated the November, 1968 |

| | | | |
|-----|------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 10. | Finance Minister | 29 th January, 1969 | <p>A copy each of the following notification issued under clause 3 of the Haryana Official Language Ordinance, 1968 as required under clause 7 thereof:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No. 454-EDI/Lg.69/2136, dated the 20th January, 1969 2. No. 485-EDI/Lg.-69/2132, dated the 20th January, 1969 3. No. 484-EDI/Lg.-69/2140, dated the 20th January, 1969 |
| 11. | Chief Minister | 31 st January, 1969 | <p>A Copy each of the following reports under section 619-A of the companies Act, 1956:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Second annual Report and Accounts of the Punjab Exports Corporation Ltd. For the year 1964-65; 2. The Third Annual Report and Accounts of the Punjab Export corporation Ltd., for the year 1965-66; 3. The First Annual Report of the Punjab State Industrial Development Corporation Ltd., for the year 1966-67; 4. The Annual Report of the Punjab State Small Industries Corporation Ltd., for the year 1966-67 |

| | | | |
|-----|------------------|---|---|
| 12. | Chief Minister | 31 st January, 1969 | The Second annual Report and Accounts of the Land Development and seed corporation Ltd., for the year 1966-67, as required under section 619-A of Companies Act 1956. |
| 13. | Chief Minister | 31 st January, 1969 | The First Annual Report of the Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation Ltd. For the year 1967-68, as a required under section 619-A of the Companies Act, 1956 |
| 14. | Finance Minister | 31 st January, 1969 | The Supplementary Estimates for the year 1968-69. |
| 15. | Finance Minister | 6 th February, 1969 | The Appropriation Accounts of the Government of Haryana for the year 1966-67 (November, 1966 to March, 1967) and Audit Report, 1968. |
| 16. | Finance Minister | 6 th February, 1969 | The Finance Accounts of the erstwhile Government of Punjab for the year 1966-67 |
| 17. | Finance Minister | 10 th February, 1969 | The Budget for the year 1969-70 |
| 18. | Chief Minister | 11 th February, 1969 (Morning sitting) | The First Annual Report and accounts of the Haryana Agro-Industries Corporation Ltd. For the year 1967-68, as required under section 619-A of the Companies Act, 1956. |

REPORTS PRESENTED/LAID

COMMITTEES

| Sr | By whom presented/laid | Date on which presented/laid | Subject |
|-----------|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1. | Chairman Estimates Committee | 31 st January, 1969 | Report of the Committee on Estimates on the Supplementary Estimates for the year 1968-69 |
| 2. | Chairman, Committee on Subordinate Legislation | 12 th February, 1969 (Evening Sitting) | First Report of the Committee on Subordinate Legislation for the year 1968-69 |
| 3. | A Member on the Committee on Government Assurances | 12 th February, 1969 (Evening Sitting) | First Report of the Committee on Government Assurances for the year 1968-69 |

ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS

**Notice of 3 Adjournment Motions were received on
different dates from different Members**

See Table Below

| Sr | Date of the Motion | Date on which taken up | Member(s) giving notice | Subject | Decision |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1. | 27-1- 69 | 29-1- 69 | Shri Jai Singh Rathi | Alleged Police Firing and lathi charge at Siwan, Tehsil Kaithal, District Karnal | Ruled out of Order on the ground that the members will get ample opportunity discussion this matter during the discussion on Governor's Address. |
| 2. | 28-1- 69 | 29-1- 69 | Shri Mangal Sein | Alleged Police Firing and lathi charge at Siwan, Tehsil Kaithal, District Karnal | Ruled out of Order on the ground that the members will get ample opportunity discussion this matter during the discussion on Governor's Address |

| | | | | | |
|----|---------|---------|---|--|--|
| 3. | 29-1-69 | 30-1-69 | Shri Fateh Chand Vij, Shri Satram Das Batra, Dr. Malik Chand Ghambhir. Shri Bhagwan Dass Sehgal | Alleged abusing of S. Piara Singh, M.L.A and Others by D.S.P.Kaithal | Ruled out of order on the ground that it pertains to an ordinary matter of administration. |
|----|---------|---------|---|--|--|

QUESTIONS OF PRIVILEGES

| Sr. | Date | Member/Members giving notice | Subject Matter | Remarks |
|-----|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| 1. | 29 th January, 1969 | Shri Mangal Sein, M.L.A. | Regarding arrest and handcuffing of Mahant Ganga Sagar, M.L.A. | The Speaker observed that would be taken on receipt of comments from the Government in this regard. |
| 2. | 29 th January, 1969 | Shri Mangal Sein, M.L.A. | Regarding shadowing of Opposition Legislators by the C.I.D. officers in M.L.As' Hostel | 1 The speaker Observed that a decision would be taken on receipt of the comments from the Government 2 On the 31 st January, 1969 the Speaker refused his consent to the question of privileges being raised on the ground that the Union Territory authorities posted |

| | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | Armed Police outside M.L.As' Hostel of their own in order to maintain law and order. |
| 3. | 30 th January, 1969 | Malik Mukhtiar singh, M.L.A | Regarding alleged misbehavior shown S. Piara Singh M.L.A. by D.S.P. in connection with the Sewans firing episode | Piara Singh, M.L.A. denied the 1. The speaker observed they a decision would be taken on receipt of comments from the Government. 2. On the 31 st January, 1969, the Speaker refused his consent to the question being raised on the ground that the Government as well S. allegation. |
| 4. | 4 th February, 1969 | Shri Mangal Sein | Regarding Kidnapping of shri Joginder Singh, M.L.A. | 1 The speaker observed that he would give his ruling after due consideration |

| | | | | |
|----|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|---|
| | | | | <p>2 On the 5th February, 1969, the Speaker informed the House that he would take a decision on receipt of the comments from the Government</p> <p>3 On the 6th February, 1969, the Speaker's informed the House that the case will be referred to the privileges Committee.</p> <p>4 On the 10th February, 1969, the Speaker's observed that a prima facie case has been made out and referred the case to the privileges Committee</p> |
| 5. | 5 th | Shri Banarsi Dass | Regarding | 1 The Speaker held |

| | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| | February, 1969 | Gupta and sSmt. Chandravati, M.L.A. | raising of Slogan and creating disorderly scenes by Sarvshri Jai Singh Rathi, Fateh Chand Vij, Ganpat Rai, Mahant Ganga Sagar, Chand Ram, Rao Birender Singh and Shri Mangal Sein, M.L.A.s on the floor of the House on 4 th February, 1969. | the motion in order and referred the same to the Privileges Committee. 2 On the 6 th February, 1969 a meeting between the leader of the House and the leader of the Opposition was held and Privilege motion was withdrawn at the instance of the former with the sense of the House. |
| 6. | 11 th February, 1969 | Shri Mangal sein, M.L.A. | Regarding leakage of Budget Estimates for 1969-70 | The Speaker refused his consent to the question being raised on the ground that the news published in the Partap dated the 10 th February, 1969 was based on |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--------------|
| | | | | conjectures. |
|--|--|--|--|--------------|

OTHER MOTIONS

| Sr | Minister/Member | Moved On | Discussed on | Subject Matter | Decision/Remark |
|----|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. | Chaudhri Maru Singh Malik | 29 th January, 1969 | 29 th and 31 st January, 1969 and 4 th February, 1969 | Motion of Thanks for the Address by the Governor on the 28th January, 1969 | Carried on the 4th February, 1969 |
| 2. | Shrimati Chandravati | 5 th February, 1969 | 5 th February, 1969 | Suspension of Rule 104 of the Rules of procedure and conduct of Business in the Punjab Legislative Assembly in its application to the Motion regarding | Carried |

| | | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------|--|--|--|---------|
| | | | | suspension of four members. | |
| 3. | Shri Banarsi Dass Gupta | 5 th February, 1969 | 5 th February, 1969 | Suspension of four members for the remainder of the Session | Carried |
| 4. | Finance Minister | 6 th February, 1969 | 6 th February, 1969 | Suspension of Rule 30 and transaction of Government business on the 6th February, 1969 | Carried |
| 5. | Health and Development Minister | 11 th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting) | 11 th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting) | Reference of the Haryana Cattle Fairs Bills, 1969 to the select Committee | Carried |

| | | | | | |
|----|----------------|---|---|--|---------|
| | | | | of the Vidhan Sabha. | |
| 6. | Chief Minister | 12th February, 1969 (Evening Sitting) | 12th February, 1969 (Evening Sitting) | Adjourned of the Sabha sine- die. | Carried |

CALL ATTENTION NOTIVE UNDER RULE 73

| Sr. | Date on which notice was received | Member or member Calling Attention | Subject Matter | Remarks |
|-----|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. | 28-1-69 | Shri Mangal sein | Regarding Strike by the House surgeons Medical College, Rohtak, in Connection with their Salaries and amenities | The Health Minister made a statement on the 29th January, 1969. |
| 2. | 28-1-69 | Shri Daya Krishan | Regarding the break out of Malaria epidemic in the State | The Health Minister made a statement on the 30th January, 1969. |
| 3. | 29-1-69 | Shri Mangal sein | Regarding the failure of the Government to honor the assurances held out to Subordinate Services of Haryana | The Chief minister made a statement on 4th February, 1969. |

| | | | | |
|----|---------|----------------------|--|--|
| 4. | 29-1-69 | Shri Mangal sein | Regarding the arrest of certain Ex-M.L.A.s at Kaithal | Ruled out of order in the meeting of the House held on the 30th January, 1969 as it related to the ordinary administration of law. |
| 5. | 29-1-69 | Chaudhri Chand Ram | Regarding the failure of the Government to disburse scholarship and stipends to Haryana students belonging to Scheduled castes, Vimukat Jatis and Backward Classes | The Chief minister made a statement on the 6th February, 1969. |
| 6. | 29-1-69 | Shri fateh Chand Vij | Regarding the settlement of agricultural lands in district Karnal instead of district Hissar | The Finance Minister made a statement on the 4th February, 1969. |
| 7. | 30-1-69 | Shri Mangal Sein | Regarding the absence of any emergency ward in | Ruled out of order in the meeting of the |

| | | | | |
|-----|---------|------------------------|--|--|
| | | | the Civil Hospital, Rohtak. | House held on the 5th February, 1969 on the ground that the medical College, Rohtak could cater to the needs of patients and that no matter of urgent Public importance was involved in it |
| 8. | 30-1-69 | Shri Satram Dass Batra | Regarding the non-flowing of water in the canals in several parts of Haryana, especially Rohtak. | The Minister for Public Works made a statement on the 5th February, 1969 |
| 9. | 30-1-69 | Shri Mangal Sein | Regarding the alleged disturbance of Public meeting held at Kaithal on the 24th January, 1969 and arrest of certain ex-Legislators by Shri Raj Singh, D.S.P. | The Chief minister made a statement on the 4th February, 1969. |
| 10. | 30-1-69 | Shri Daya | Regarding the alleged | The Chief |

| | | | | |
|-----|--------|--------------------|---|---|
| | | Krishan | damage to the sugarcane crop | minister made a statement on the 6th February, 1969 |
| 11. | 3-2-69 | Rao Birender Singh | Regarding the irregular supply of power to tube-wells during the sowing period | The Irrigation and Power Minister made a statement on 10th February, 1969. |
| 12. | 3-2-69 | Shri Daya Krishan | Regarding the failure of the Government to supply transformers to the Sub-stations | The Irrigation and Power Minister made a statement on 10th February, 1969. |
| 13. | 4-2-69 | Shri Mangal Sein | Regarding the alleged beating of the S.H.O. Police Station, Ratia, District Hissar. | Ruled out of the Order in the House on the 7th February, 1969 as it pertained to an individual case and its remedy lay in a court of law. |
| 14. | 4-2-69 | Rao Birender | Regarding the inordinate delay in the division of Joint | The Chief minister made a statement on the |

| | | | | |
|-----|--------|---------------------|--|---|
| | | Singh | Corporations and institutions under the Punjab Reorganization Act, 1966 | 11th February, 1969 |
| 15. | 4-2-69 | Shri Daya Krishan | Regarding the Non-implementation of the Scheme of Supply of food grains to the laboures out of the World Food Aid Fund | Ruled out of the Order in the House held on 7th February, 1969 as the matter was not of urgent public importance and the Member could forward his proposal to the minister Concerned. |
| 16. | 5-2-69 | Shri Roop Lal Mehta | Regarding the alleged resentment at the announcement of the Land Acquisition Official, Haryana at Ballabgarh for acquiring and two hundred Buildings | Ruled out of the Order in the House held on 7th February, 1969 as the matter was not of urgent public importance and the aggrieved party could seek remedies at |

| | | | | |
|-----|--------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | another form. |
| 17. | 6-2-69 | Shri Daya Krishan | Regarding the sale of certain types of tractors by private agencies at very high prices | Ruled out of order in the meeting of the House held on the 10th February, 1969 as the Government had replied stated question No. 143, on the same Subject. |
| 18. | 6-2-69 | Shri Daya Krishan | Regarding the harassment caused to school children by the denial of free Government buses | Ruled out of order in the meeting of the House held on the 10th February, 1969 as the matter was not of urgent nature. |
| 19. | 8-2-69 | Major Amir Singh Chaudhri | Regarding the poor percentage of tube-wells connections in District Mohindergarh | Ruled out of the Order in the House 11th February, 1969 as the Subject matter of this |

| | | | | |
|-----|--------|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | <p>motion arose out of reply to starred question No. 161 for which other remedies lay under the Rule of Procedure . The Member could also raise a discussion on it during the course of discussion of the Budget.</p> |
| 20. | 9-2-69 | Major Amir Singh Chaudhri | <p>Regarding the laged failure of District Mohindergarh Officials to successfully met the arhitions as also to offer suitable relief condors in the famine effected Districts</p> | <p>Ruled out of order in the meeting of the House held on the 11th February, 1969 as the member will have ample opportunity to raise discussion on this matter during the course of discussion of the</p> |

| | | | | |
|-----|---------|----------------------|---|--|
| | | | | Budget. |
| 21. | 12-2-69 | Shrimati Chandravati | Regarding the reduction in the price of sugarcane | The Chief minister made a statement on 12th February, 1969 (Evening Sitting) |

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTER

| Sr | Date | Made by | Subject Matter |
|----|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1. | 29th January, 1969 | Health minister | Regarding the Strike by the House Surgeon of Medical College Rohtak |
| 2. | 30th January, 1969 | Ditto | Regarding the break out of Malaria epidemic in the State |
| 3. | 4th February, 1969 | Finance Minister | Regarding the settlement of agricultural lands in district Karnal instead of district Hissar |
| 4. | 4th February, 1969 | Chief Minister | Regarding the failure of the Government to honor the assurances held out to Subordinate Services of Haryana. |
| 5. | 4th February, 1969 | Ditto | Regarding the alleged disturbance of Public meeting held at Kaithal on the 24th January, 1969 and arrest of certain ex-Legislators by Shri Raj Singh, D.S.P. |
| 6. | 5th February, | Public Works Minister | Regarding the non-flowing of water in the canals in several parts of |

| | | | |
|-----|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| | 1969 | | Haryana, especially Rohtak. |
| 7. | 6th February, 1969 | Chief Minister | Regarding the failure of the Government to disburse scholarship and stipends to Haryana students belonging to Scheduled castes, Vimukat Jatis and Backward Classes |
| 8. | 6th February, 1969 | Ditto | Regarding the alleged damage to the sugarcane crop |
| 9. | 10th February, 1969 | Irrigation and power Minister | Regarding the irregular supply of power to tube-wells during the sowing period with frequent break down and low voltage. |
| 10. | 10th February, 1969 | Ditto | Regarding the failure of the Government to supply transformer to the Sub-Stations. |
| 11. | 11th February, 1969 (Morning sitting) | Chief Minister | Regarding the inordinate delay in the division of joint corporations and institutions under the Punjab Re-organization Act, 1966. |
| 12. | 12th February, 1969 (Evening sitting) | Ditto | Regarding the disappointment and discouragement caused to the producers of sugarcane as a result of the reduction in price of sugarcane. |

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF SPPECHES MADE AND TIME TAKEN
THEREON.**

| Number of Speeches made by | | | | Time Taken by | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Treasury Benches | | Unattache d Member | Member of Oppositio n | Treasury Benches | | Unattache d Members | Members of Oppositio n |
| Members (excludin g Ministers) | Minister s | | | Members excludin g Minister s | Minister s | | |
| | | | | H.M. | H.M. | H.M. | H.M. |
| 17 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5.42 | 1.37 | 0.51 | 6.23 |
| (47.22%) | (16.67%) | (8.33%) | (27.78%) | (39.18%) | (11.11%) | (5.84%) | (43.87%) |

Note:-

1. Observations/Statements/Rulings etc. by Chair and the time taken thereon are not included in the above statement.
2. Questions-hour has been excluded from the statement.
3. Time taken on Divisions, Adjourned Motions, Call Attention Motions, Privileges Motions, Point of Order, Motions in respect of legislation, Personal Explanation etc. is also excluded from the statement.

FIGURES RELATING TO QUESTIONS

| | | |
|-----|---|-----|
| 1. | Total Number of Sittings of the Sabha | 11 |
| 2. | Number of Questions (Starred and Unstarred) received | 280 |
| 3. | Number of Short notice Question received | 3 |
| 4. | Total number of Sittings at which the Question Hour was dispensed with | 1 |
| 5. | Numbers of members from whom notices were received | 23 |
| 6. | Total Number of Question to which oral answers were given | 149 |
| 7. | Largest Number of Questions orally to which answered were given | 25 |
| 8. | Least number of Questions orally answered on any one day | 6 |
| 9. | Total Number of Unstarred Questions replied to | 70 |
| 10. | Largest number of Questions addressed to any one Minister (Chief Minister) | 92 |
| 11. | Largest numbers of Questions orally answered by any one Minister (Chief Minister) | 88 |
| 12. | Total number of statements supplied to the | 48 |

| | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| | members in reply to their Questions | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|

Questions

Statement

| Questions | No. of notice received | No. Admitted | NO. disallowed | NO. referred back | No. not put | No. answered | No. postponed | No. deemed to have been answered under rule 45 | No. of supplementaries put | Remarks |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| Starred Questions | 280 | 229 | 28 | 20 | 16 | 53 | 5 | 96 | 444 | 1. Add three questions withdrawn by the Hon. |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----|----|---|---|--|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | Members |
| | | | | | | Treasury -24 | | | | 2. Add 17 questions of unseated Member. |
| | | | | | | Oppositi on-29 | | | | 3. Add 42 questions which could not be included in any list as the session adjourned sine-die. |
| Unstar red Questi ons | 90 | 84 | 5 | 1 | | 70 | | | | 1. Replies to 9 unstarred questions were not |

**Statement showing the number of Starred
Questions answered date-wise**

| Date | Treasury | Opposition | Remark | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | | Deemed to have been answered | Extension granted | Not Put |
| 29th January, 1969 | 1 | 6 | 18 | 3 | |
| 30th January, 1969 | 2 | 3 | 17 | | 1 |
| 31st January, 1969 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 2 |
| 3rd February, 1969 | | | 19 | 2 | |
| 4th February, 1969 | 2 | 2 | 8 | | |
| 5th | 2 | 6 | | 1 | |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----|----|----|---|----|
| February, 1969 | | | | | |
| 6th February, 1969 | | | 9 | | |
| 7th February, 1969 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 1 | |
| 10th February, 1969 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 1 | |
| 11th February, 1969 | 3 | | | | 3 |
| 12th February, 1969 | 3 | | | | 6 |
| | 24 | 29 | 96 | 9 | 12 |

N.B.- Out of Nine Questions to which extension was granted, Five Questions were included in the list of postponed Starred Questions for Tuesday, the 11th February, 1969

Out of Which these Five postponed Starred Questions, One Question was further postponed

**Statements showing starred Questions deemed to
have answered under Rule 45 date-wise**

| Date | Treasury | Opposition | Remarks |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| 29th January, 1969 | 8 | 10 | Extension granted for 3 Question |
| 30th January, 1969 | 3 | 14 | |
| 31st January, 1969 | | 11 | Extension granted for One Question |
| 3rd February, 1969 | 4 | 15 | Extension granted for Two Question |
| 4th February, 1969 | 1 | 7 | |
| 5th February, 1969 | | | Extension granted for One Question |
| 6th February, 1969 | 3 | 6 | |
| 7th February, | 2 | 5 | Extension granted for One |

| | | | |
|------------------------|----|----|--|
| 1969 | | | Question |
| 10th February, 1969 | | 7 | Extension granted for One Question |
| 11th February, 1969 | | | |
| 12th February, 1969 | | | |
| | 21 | 75 | |

**Statement showing the number of Starred
Questions in the names of different Ministers, date-
wise**

| Date | Chief Minister | Finance Minister | Labour Minister | Irrigation and Power Minister | Public Works Minister | Health Minister | Develop- ment minister | Remarks |
|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| 29- 1-69 | 10 | 4 | | | 10 | 4 | | |
| 30- 1-69 | 10 | 3 | | | 4 | 6 | | |
| 31- 1-69 | 14 | 3 | | | 3 | 1 | | |
| 3-2- 69 | 10 | 2 | | | 4 | 5 | | |
| 4-2- 69 | 10 | | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| 5-2- 69 | 8 | | | | | 1 | | |
| 6-2- 69 | 3 | | | | 4 | 2 | | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----|----|--|--|----|----|--|--|
| 7-2-69 | 9 | 1 | | | 5 | 3 | | |
| 10-2-69 | 10 | | | | 2 | 2 | | |
| 11-2-69 | 5 | | | | 1 | | | |
| 12-269 | 3 | 3 | | | 2 | 1 | | |
| Total | 92 | 16 | | | 36 | 26 | | |

Starred Questions postponed from various list of Starred Questions were given again included in the list of Postponed Starred Questions as detailed below

| Date | Chief Minister | Minister for Public Works | Minister for Health |
|---------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| 11-2-69 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

N.B. Four Questions were not put one was postponed.

Walk-Out(s)

| Sr. | Date | Member(s) Walking Out | Occasion |
|-----|---------|--------------------------|---|
| 1 | 30-1-69 | Entire Opposition | As a protest against the decision of the Chair for not allowing closure motion. |
| 2 | 30-1-69 | Ditto | Ditto |
| 3 | 7-2-69 | Ditto | As a protest against the attitude of Treasury Benches in not allowing those four members of the House who had been suspended by the House for the remainder of the Session on 5th February, 1969. |
| 4 | 10-2-69 | Chaudhri Banwari Ram | As a protest against the Attitude of the Chief Minister for not paying due attention to the memorandum of Republican Party submitted to the chief minister by him four times. |
| 5 | 10-2-69 | Entire Opposition | As a protest against the procedure adopted by the Government in the presentation of the Budget for the year, 1969- |

| | | | |
|---|---------|-------|---|
| | | | 70. |
| 6 | 11-2-69 | Ditto | As a protest against the attitude of the Government in keeping away those four members of the Opposition who have been suspended by the House for the remainder of the session. |

Division(s)

| Sr. | Date | Recorded/not recorded | Occasion | Result |
|------------|-------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| 1. | 5-2-69 | Not Recorded | On the Motion that Rule 104 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the House be Suspended, etc. | Motion declared carried. |
| 2. | 5-2-69 | Ditto | On the Motion for the Suspension of four Members from the service of the House for the remainder of the Session. | Ditto |

OBSERVATIONS MADE BY THE SPEAKER

Observation by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on 29th January, 1969 regarding the Supply of replies Starred Questions to the Vidhan Sabha Secretariat.

I wish to make certain observations. As already observed by me in the last Session, replies to the questions are not received in time. Out of 28 Starred Questions, replies to 7 questions only were received by the Vidhan Sabha Secretariat by Yesterday evening and replies to 10 more Questions have been received just about $\frac{3}{4}$ hours ago. This cause great inconvenience to the Staff and Members. As already assured by the Leader of the House, replies must reach this secretariat at least 24 hours before the due date. Similarly D.O. letter requesting for postponement of Questions should also be sent well in time to avoid any inconvenience. A request for postponement of some Questions was received by me only a few hours earlier. I would once again stress that Departments concerned should be asked to avoid the recurrence of such lapses.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 5th February, 1969 regarding supply of lengthy Answers to starred Questions to the Members concerned in advance.

I had gone into this matter to a great extent yesterday and I find that some of the hon. Members unnecessarily bring pressure on the Staff of the Vidhan Sabha. Now what I have decided is that-

- (i) Replies from the Government to various questions will reach the Vidhan Sabha Secretariat 24 hours before the commencement of the Sitting of the House for the day they are due for answers:
- (ii) There will be an Officer detailed in the Vidhan Sabha Secretariat, he may be the Secretary, the Deputy Secretary or some other officer/official who will have these things ready half-an-hours before the House is due to meet and the hon. Members concerned can collect, a copy of the necessary answer, from him.

As I have said earlier, I have gone into this matter thoroughly and have found that the practice in Punjab also used to be that answer in relation to those questions, where answer were lengthy, were issued to the Members concerned half-an-hour before the House met.

Since you cannot raise here any question about the Vidhan Sabha staff therefore, I will not like any hon. Members to be involved vis-à-vis the Vidhan Sabha staff here in the House. I have received a number of complaints from my staff where under pressure was brought on them in this connection. It is not correct, and as a result, I have issued orders that a responsible officer will be detailed in the Vidhan Sabha Secretariat who will have all the replies on his table and hon. Members could collect the same from him half-an-hour before the house is due to meet.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 5th February, 1969 regarding disobedience by certain members to comply with the orders of the Chair.

This fact remains that the four members had been requested to leave the House and it is very painful that that was not done. This is what really happened. It is a matter of shame and disgraces that dignity and decorum of the House was not maintained.

I regret to say that members have not complied with the chair's Orders; it will become of our House and its dignity and Decorum; and is also not very healthy for our democracy and since there is non-co-operation from a certain section, I adjourn the House till tomorrow.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 5th February, 1969 regarding withholding of information by the Government in Public interest.

I think Dr. Mangal Sein had asked for my Ruling. I think, I gave a ruling yesterday that if a Minister takes protection under this rule, I cannot help it. Although, I had mentioned that normally such a plea is not taken and if it is taken, it is taken on important points/matters. Therefore, if he claims the privilege, I am afraid, we cannot force them.

I want to make an observation about it. There is no doubt that a Minister is the best judge whether he can seek protection of Public interest. But the point here is that if this is normally said on every question or every second question, you are the judge and I am sure, if somebody takes undue

protection of this clause, the House will take care of itself. There is nothing to worry.

I agree entirely with Ch. Ranbhir Singh that Question hour is the most important hour of the House where the House ensure that the Government is functioning properly. In fact I asked my Secretary and I consulted certain books to find out the definition, Since this is the most important privilege of the hon. Members to ask questions and if any minister attempts to take protection of this clause unnecessarily, the House and the members will look after it themselves, and I am also here to help you al, if need be. I would like to go into separately with the Minister concerned.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 6th February, 1969 regarding the suspension of four Members by the House

Hon. Members, you are well aware of this situation with which we are faced to-day. It is a matter of great sorrow and pain that four hon. Members who were expelled by the House yesterday did not leave the House. They are even in the lobbies not in the House itself, but they are still in the lobbies. They defied the chair on the 4th and the House on the 5th and today, at least I am somewhat happy that they are not in the House. And also feel and it was painful for me that when the four Members did not eave the House on my request and later on the resolution of the house the Group Leaders- the Party Leaders did not persuade their colleagues to comply with the wishes of the Chair and the House. The opposition, therefore, as a whole, has been in the wrong and continues to be in the wrong even today.

Hon. Members, Please remember that we are the elected representatives of the people and, therefore, have a very heavy responsibility to discharge in the regard to the maintenance and proper functioning of democracy and democratic institutions, particularly at this infant stage of democracy in our country. We are bound by the oath we have taken, to withhold the Constitution and other Rules of procedure made there under. It is, therefore, imperative that when the proper functioning of democracy is at stake we should rise above individual and party levels and behave in a manner conducive to the good name of our country, our print, our people and this August House. Here I must warn you all that democracy is not merely the Rule by the majority but the ideal and the underlying idea should be move forward for the progress of the State as far as possible with the collective Co-operation of various parties in the House. I am glad to see that there is the good example of this in our Parliament which we should imbibe. I democracy we must learn to give and take and should be guided by the spirit of mutual respect, regard and co-operation. I must also emphasis that:-

- (a) Discipline and decorum must be maintained at all times. This also includes the implementation of the resolution passed by the House yesterday suspending four members of the House;
- (b) The question raised by the opposition with regard to the abduction of a member, if correct, should be condemned by all and efforts made by the Government to solve this mystery, (Thumping from

the Opposition Benches) All Members of the House will have the protection of the Chair.

(c) Minimum force should be utilized for the functioning of democracy in a smooth and happy manner.

In view of what I have said, I appeal to all the Hon. Members of the House, particularly the leader of the House and the leader of other Parties to ponder over the serious matter confronting us in a spirit of mutual good-will and above all for the proper functioning of democracy in our State. I suggest that the parties meet for half-an-hour or fifteen minutes and then if possible the Leader of the House may call a meeting of the other Leader to settle the matter amongst themselves. If, unfortunately, this is not possible, I will request four members from the Treasury benches and the same number from the opposition parties to meet in my chamber at 3.30 P.M. today with a view to finding out a way out unfortunate dead-lock, Needless to say, I request the Co-operation of you in this Vital-matter.

Hon. Members, I am very glad to say that a meeting was held in my Chamber with the Leader of the House and other three members from the Treasury Benches and leader of the Opposition and the other leader of the parties and I am very glad to inform you that they have very kindly agreed to solve this matter.(Cheers). This is how we should work in the house and in here lies, I think, the power of democracy, mutual respect and regard.

The privilege motion on the alleged abduction of one of the members will be referred to the privileges Committee, The privilege motion decided by the House yesterday regarding 7 members including the leader of the Opposition, the Leader of the House agreed to withdraw, (Cheers) and I am glad to say that I have also discussed the whole matter with the Leader of the house and he has very kindly assured me of his full co-operation with regard to the missing member.

I am very grateful for the Sentiments expressed by the various leaders and, I am sure, that this atmosphere that exists now, will continue, and we will not have anything of the nature that we had earlier. I congratulate all the Leaders that they have through mutual discussion, managed to patch up their differences, As I said, I congratulate them most heartily.

RULING GIVEN BY THE SPEAKER

Ruling given by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on 31st January, 1969 about giving of a personal explanation by Shri Banarsi Dass Gupta, M.L.A., in regard to some remarks made about him by some of the opposition members during the question Hour.

An honorable Member has raised a Point of Order that Shri Banarsi Dass Gupta could not be allowed to have a personal explanation and that too during the question hour, when his name has not been specifically mentioned in the debate in regard to a particular matter.

I think that when, if not by name but by implications has come of an M.L.A. during the debate, it only

fair that he must be allowed a few minutes to explain his position.

As regards allowing Shri banarsi Dass Gupta to speak on personal explanation during the question hour, I draw the attention of the Hon'ble Member to Rule 63 of the Rules of Procedure which reads:-

"Any Member may, with the permission of the speaker, make a personal explanation although there is no question before the Assembly."

Ruling given by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on 31st January, 1969, on the question of privilege given notice of by Shree Mangal Sein, M.L.A., on 29th January, 1969; regarding the shadowing of the Legislators of the Opposition in the M.L.A.'s Hostel by C.I.D. staff

I had reserved my ruling on the 29th January, 1969, on the question of privilege given notice of by Dr. Mangal Sein, M.L.A., regarding the shadowing of the Legislators of the Opposition in the M.L.A.'s Hostel by C.I.D. staff till the comments from the Government in that regard were received.

Now, I have received a reply from the Government according to which the union Territory officials had posted Armed Police outside the new M.L.A.s' Hostel of their own in order to maintain law and order, because the said Hostel falls within the limits of Chandigarh. It has been further that no C.I.D. official was deputed on duty within the said Hostel. Even the C.I.,D. personnel were directed not to enter the Hostel at all. Besides, the hon. Members had not given any

concrete and specific instance as also the names of the C.I.D. personnel. So. I refuse to give my consent to the raising of this question of privilege and disallow the same.

Ruling given by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on 31st January, 1969, on the question of privilege given notice of by Malik Mukhtiar Singh, M.L.A., regarding the alleged Misbehavior shown by the Ch. Raj Singh D.S.P., toward Sardar Piara Singh, M.L.A

I had received my ruling on the 30th January, 1969 on the question of privilege given notice of by Malik Mukhtiar Singh, M.L.A, regarding alleged misbehavior shown by Ch. Raj Singh, D.S.P., towards Sardar Piara Singh, M.L.A, till receipt of the comments from the Government in this regard.

Now, I have received the reply from the Government according to which it is incorrect that the D.S.P. used any abusive language towards Shri piara Singh M.L.A., the meeting between Shri Piar Singh M.L.A., and the D.S.P. took place in the presence of Superintendent of Police Karnal, while he was going around village Siwan alongwith Shri Piara Singh M.L.A. Moreover, Shri Piara Singh M.L.A, also denied the allegation in the House yesterday. Hence, I refuse to give my consent to this question of privilege and disallow the same.

Ruling given by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on 4thn February, 1969 on a point of order as to whether it was open to a minister to withhold the production of certain documents containing information asked for a in a Supplementary to a question in the House .

On the 31st January 1969 I had reserved my ruling on a point of order raised by Dr. Mangal Sein M.L.A. concerning Supplementary to Starred Questions No. 194 , whether it was open to a minister to withhold the production of the document referred to by him in his reply. My ruling is as follows:-

Rule 40 read with Rule 43 of our Rules and procedure and Conduct of Business in the Punjab Legislative Assembly provides that the oral information on a matter of Public Concern within the special cognizance of the Minister can be asked through starred questions. Rule 106 of the said Rules provides that if the Minister quotes in the Assembly a public or other State document which has not been presented to the Assembly he shall lay to any document on the Table provided that a minister can claim privilege to any document in Public interest, if the minister gives in his order words a summary or gist of such document it shall not be necessary to lay the relevant papers on the Table.

Thus it would be clear that under the Rules and the procedure it is a privilege of the minister to see what ought to be disclosed and what ought not to be disclosed. It is for the Minister to refuse to answer a question on the plea of public interest.

I Lok Sabha on July 28, 1956, where a number asked whether the Chair had any voice in determining whether something was in the Public interest or not, the speaker observed:

“Normally, it is the function of the Government to see what ought to be disclosed or what ought not to be disclosed. The Speaker does not sit in the judgment over that, as, except in apparent cases, Government would not claim that privilege.”

In view of what has been stated above I rule that it is open to the Minister whether to answer the question decline to answer it on the grounds of Public interest and that the Chair has no power to compel him to answer question. The same principle applies to the production of documents.

I may, however, point out that if the member wants to arrive at a certain conclusion regarding the death of Late Munshi Ram, whether it is a case of suicide or murder, he should seek his remedies at a proper forum.

Ruling given by the speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 5th February, 1969, regarding Suspension of Rule 104 in its application to the motion regarding suspension of some members

I will answer this question, But before I do that, I will read the privilege motion, It says:

“ Yesterday, the 4th February, 1969, during sitting of the Haryana Vidhan Sabha , sarvshri Jaio Singh Rathi, M.L.A., Fateh Chand Vij, M.L.A, Ganpat Rai, M.L.A., Mahant ganga Sagar, M.L.a., Chand ram M.L.A., Rao Birender Singh M.L.A., and Dr, Mangal Sein M.L.A., raised slogans in the House and created disorder and defied the order of the hon. Speaker. They have committed and breach the privilege of the House, Appropriate action may be taken against them”.

It will be seen that this privilege motions concerns the slogans raised and the disorder created in the house by certain members and not the orders of the Speaker which certain Members defied by refusing to withdraw from the House when asked to do so by the Speaker.

I will just explain this question. As far as I can see from this side (Government Side) they have made two issues. One was that certain Members; the names of whom I have mentioned just now, indulged in Slogans and also disobeyed the orders of the hon. Speaker.

At that time they did not sit down and did not maintain proper order when the question was put to the House. The second issue appears to be that certain hon. Members were named and were ordered to withdraw from the House but they did not leave the House. So this is different issue as far as I can see.

My Ruling is that as I have mentioned these are two separate issues.

This is pertaining to one the hon. Members on this side....(Interruptions)

Anyway let me explain it again. This disobedience relates to these incident slogans while the other matter concerns the withdrawal of the Members from the House. This is the difference as far I can make out.

In this they have made two issues. The first issue is up to the time the Members were named and they were requested to leave the House, but they failed to obey the

orders of the Chairs. This is one thing The other issue relate to the time when question was put from the Chair. At that time some Members got up and started raising slogans and shouting. At that time, of course if you remember, I had said "Order, Order",. There they again refer to the disobedience of the Chair. So, these are two separate issues.

No, please, so I have given my ruling.

I have already said that they are two separate issues and I have admitted

Ruling given by the speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on 10th February, 1969 on a question of privilege regarding the alleged forcible kidnapping of Ch. Joginder Singh, M.L.A., by some C.I.D. Officers on 31st January, 1969

On the 5th February, 1969, I reserved my decision on this notice of Privilege Motion and Called for the comments of the Government. I have now received the comments of the Government. The Government has denied that Shri Joginder Singh, M.L.A., was forcibly kidnapped in a closed car by Inspector (C.I.D.) Zila Singh and Sub-Inspector Shri Nanak Chand Chopra with the help of some persons in the after-noon of Friday, the 31st January, 1969. However, a case F.I.R. No. 80 dated the 3rd February, 1969, under section 365 of the Indian Penal Code has been registered at a central Police Station, Chandigarh, on a complaint of Shri Mange Ram, father-in-law of Shri Joginder Singh, M.L.A. the absence of Shri Joginder Singh M.L.A., caused a stair in the house as a result of which a dead-lock had arisen. To resolve the dead-lock, a meeting was held in my Chamber with the Leader of

the House and the other three Members from the Treasury Benches and the Leader of the Opposition and the other Leader of the Parties. On the 6th February, 1969, as agreed in the meeting, I announced in the House that the Privilege motion on the Alleged abduction of one of the Members will be referred to the Privilege committee. I am of the Opinion that Prima facie case has been made out as the member could not participate in the proceedings of the House and as such discharge his duties as a member of this august House. I, therefore, hold this Motion in order and refer it to the privilege Committee to examine this matter in all its implications subject to the rule of sub-judice and submit its report by 31st March, 1969 (Thumping from the Opposition Benches).

Ruling given by the speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on 10th February, 1969 regarding the manner in which the Budget presented to the House

One of the points raised by the one of the hon. Members was regarding the constitution of the Business Advisory Committee. The Rule of procedure concerning this is that the Speaker may nominate a Committee called 'The Business Advisory Committee' consisting of the Speaker and not more than five other Members. Actually, I would have been only too happy to appoint such a committee, but I honestly say that so far no one excepting Malik Mukhtiar Singh talked to me about it. He also did it only once and that too about a week ago. It would have been to me to appoint a Committee like this if some body had stressed or emphasized about it.

The word is May. It is not obligatory.

As I said earlier I would have been very happy to appoint such Committee, So, there is no question of 'may' or 'shall'. Hence, this question does not arise, really.

I wish this point had been raised. A Business Advisory Committee will be constituted and if this had been pointed out that by any of the hon. Members, particularly from the Opposition, I would have been happy and please to do so.

The Other point raised was whether the Budget could be brought before the house or presented to the House today as it being done. Now, in this regard, I am afraid, the Rules of Procedure or the precedents of the Lok Sabha have mentioned. I would like to know if any hon. Members can cite if there are such rules in any other state. In any case, I would like to stress that we are bound by the Rules that have been prepared by us and which are contained in our Rules of Procedure (Interruptions).

I have been listening most patiently to you all it took more than 40 minutes.

“So, the Conduct of business of this house is guided by this Rules which have been prepared and adopted by this August House. Here in Rule 210 lays down-

“The Annual Financial Statement of the Estimated Receipts and Expenditure of the Government of the State in respect of every Financial year (hereafter referred to as “the Budget”)

shall be presented to the assembly on such day as the Governor may appoint”.

This says that the Budget shall be presented to the assembly on such day as the Governor may appoint. I have an order of the Governor which reads as under:-

“Under Rule 210 of the Rules and the Procedure and conduct Business as applicable to the Haryana Vidhan Sabha, I appoint 10th February, 1969, as the day for presentation of the Budget Estimates, 1969-70, to the Haryana Vidhan Sabha”.

Date? It is issued on the 8th of February, 1969.

Hon. Members, whatever it is, it is regular under this Rules.

Ruling given by the speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on 12th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting) on a Point of Order as to whether a suspension of Rule 104 of the Rules and the Procedure and conduct Business in the House was a regular when no particular Motion regarding the same as required under Rule 121 was before the Assembly.

(On the point of order raised by Ch. Mukhtiar Singh, M.L.A., on the 11th, February, 1969 as to whether the suspension of rule 104 of the Rules and the Procedure and conduct Business in the house was regular when no particular Motion regarding the same as required under Rule 121 was before the Assembly. The Speaker ruled:-

“Chaudhri Mukhtiar Singh, M.L.A., had raised a very important point with regard to the interpretation of Rule 121. Rule 121 of our Rule reads as under_

“Any Member may, with consent of the Speaker, move that any Rule may be suspended in its application to a particular motion before the Assembly and if the motion is carried the Rule in question shall be suspended for the time being’.

This rule requires that two condition should be satisfied:-

1. That the motion can be moved with the consent of the Speaker; and
2. That a Rule may be suspended in its application to a particular motion before the assembly.

On the 4th February, 1969, Ch. Jai Singh Rathi, M.L.A., interrupted the proceedings of the House by raising frivolous points of order. I named him and in spite of my naming him he continued to defy the orders. I ordered him to withdraw from the House under Rule 104 of our Rules and procedure. I directed the Serjeant-at-Arms to carry out my orders. The other Members, namely, Sarvshri Fateh Chand Vij, Mahant Ganga Sagar and Ganpat Rai came to the rescue of Shri Jai Singh Rathi and thus all of them defied the orders of the Chairs. These others three members were also named and were ordered to withdraw from the House, under rule 104. In spite of the orders of the Chair all these four members continued to sit in the House. This was in utter defiance of the orders of the Chair, They committee gross contempt of the House. On the 5th February, 1969, two motions, i.e., one under Rule 121 for suspension of Rule 104 given notice of by Shrimati Chandravati, M.L.A., and other motion for suspending Sarvshri Jai Sinh rathi, Mahant Ganga sagar, Fateh Chand Vij

and ganpat Rai for the rest of the session given notice of by Sarvshri Banarsi Das Gupta and Parbhu Singh were received by me before the commencement of the sitting on that day.

Shrimati Chandravati asked for leave to move the following motion-

“Rule 104 of the Rules and the Procedure and conduct Business in the house be suspended in its application to the motion regarding suspension of Shri jai Singh Rathi ant three others”.

When the motion was moved by Smt. Chandravati, M.L.A, and the member could raise discussion thereon or points of order for decision by the Chair. But no point of order was raised at the moment when this motion was proposed by the chair and question put.

I would like to draw the attention to Rule 113 of our Rules of Procedure. Sub-rule (1) of that Rule provides that a point of order shall relate to the interpretation or enforcement of these Rules or such Articles of Constitutions as regulate the business of the House and shall raise a question which is within the cognizance of the speaker. Sub-rule (2) of that Rule lays down that a point of order may be raised in relation to the business before the House at the moment provided that the Speaker may permit a member to raise a point of order during the interval between the termination of one item of business and the commencement of business before the House. The reading of sub-rule (1) and (2) of Rule 112 clearly indicate that sub-rule (1) limits the scope of raising a point of order and sub-rule (2) specifies the stage at which a point of order can

be raised, i.e., a point of order can be raised in relation to the business before the House at the moment . However, the point of order with regard to the maintenance of order in arrangement of business before the House can be raised during the interval between the termination of one item of business and commencement of another.

The incident narrated by Ch. Mukhtiar Singh, M.L.A., occurred on the 4th February, 1969 and motion was moved on the 5th February, 1969. The point of order was raised by him on the 11th February, 1969. The appropriate time for raising this point of order was when the motion for suspending rule 104 was moved by Smt. Chandravati, M.L.A., As Ch. Mukhtiar Singh did not raise the point of Order in relation to his motion when it was before the House the point of order in now raised by him did not comply with the conditions laid down in Sub-rule (2) of Rule 113. Therefore, I hold that point of order raised by him is out of order.

POINTS OF ORDERS AND DECISION THEREON

1. Whether a motion regarding the suspension of Rule 104 of Rules and the Procedure and conduct Business in the house could be brought when a privilege motion on a similar subject was admitted and referred to the privilege Committee.

It was ruled that it could be as there were two separate issues on involving the raising in the slogans of the House while the other concern the withdrawal of the members from the House.

(On 5th February, 1969, when a motion regarding the suspension of Rule 104 was brought before the House Rao Birender Singh rising on a point of order stated that there was no need of that motion when a privilege motion on similar subject was admitted and referred to the Privileges Committee which would consider that matter in all its contents, hence this ruling)

APPENDIX

BULLETINS

Containing brief record of the meetings of the Third Haryana Vidhan Sabha held during the (January-February), Sessions, 1969

BULLETIN NO. 1

Wednesday, the 29th January, 1969

(From 2.00 p.m. to 6.30 p.m.)

I Questions

1 (Starred)-

| Total no. on the Order Paper | Answered | Not Put | Postponed | No. of Supplementaries |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------------------------|
| 28 | | | | 43 |

Further Supplementaries on starred Questions Nos. 167 and 122 would be taken up to on the 30th January, 1969.

The remaining Starred Questions were deemed to have been answered and replies there to be laid on the Table of the House.

2 Unstarred-44

II Obituary References

The chief Minister made references to the deaths of the late Dr. Sampuranand, former Governor of Rajasthan, Shri S,V, Krishnamooty Rao, former Deputy Speaker of Lok Sabha, Shri Vinayakrao P.Patil, Maharashtra Minister for Cooperation, Baba Sohan Singh Bhakna, Shri manik Lal Verma, Dr. Anup Singh M.P. and shri kasturi Lal, Ex-M.L.A.

The following members made short speeches-

- 1 . Rao Birender Singh;
2. Shri Roop Lal Mehta;
3. Ch. Mukhtiar Singh and;
4. Ch. Banwari Ram.

The speaker associated himself with the sentiments expressed in the House. It was decided to send the message of condolences to the bereaves families.

The House stood in silence for two minutes as a mark of respect to the departed Leaders.

III Adjournment Motions.

Adjournment Motions given notice of by Sarvshri Jai Singh Rathi and Dr, Mangal Sein, M.L.A.s' concerning the

alleged firing and lathi charge at Siwan, District karnal, on the 30th December, 1968 were disallowed by the Speaker on the ground that the Member will get ample opportunity to discuss this matter during the discussion on Governor's Address.

IV Privilege Motions.

- (i) Shri mangal Sein, M.L.A., had given notice for raising a question of privilege alleging that Mahant ganga Sagar, a Member of the Haryana Vidhan Sabha, was arrested at Rohtak in November, 1968, in connection with a false case . he was handcuffed Speaker and paraded through the bazaars of Rohtal and Jhajjar.The Hon'ble Speaker observed that this privilege Motion has been referred to the Government for its comments and he would take a decision on it on receipt of comments from the government.
- (ii) Shri Mangal Sein, M.L.A., had given notice for raising a question of privilege regarding shadowing Opposition Legislators by the C.I.D. Officers in the M.L.As', Hostel. The Speaker also observed that the same was also referred to the Government for comments and he would take a decision on receipts of comments from the Government.

IV. Call Attention Notices,

The Speaker admitted the Call Attention Notice No. 1 given notice of by Shri Mangal Sein, M.L.A. regarding strike by the House Surgeons of Medical College, Rohtak, in connection with their salaries and amenities and requested the Minister concerned to make a statement.

The Health Minister made a statement.

V. Governor's Address.

The Speaker, in pursuance of Rule 18 of the Rules and procedure and Conduct of the Business in the Punjab legislative Assembly reported that the Governor had addressed Members of the Sabha on the 28th January, 1969 under Article 176 (1) of the Constitution.

A copy of the Address was laid on the Table of the House.

VII. Panel of Chairman

The Speaker nominated the following on the Panel of Chairman:-

(1) Ch. Maru Singh malik

(2) Ch. Mukhtiar Singh.

(3) Shri Daya Krishan.

(4) Shri Balwant Rai Tayal.

VIII. Announcements.

(i) By the speaker-

Committee on Petitions-

- (1) Shrimati Lekhwati Jain, Deputy Speaker Ex-officio
Chairman Member.
- (2) Shri Daya Krishan (Panel of Chairmen)
- (3) Ch. Partap Singh (Daultpur).
- (4) Ch. Jaswant Singh Chauhan.
- (5) Dr. Malik Chand Ghambhir.

(ii) By the secretary-

Statement showing the Bills which had been passed by Haryana Legislative Assembly during its Budget Session, 1968 and which had since been assented to by the Governor.

IX. Papers laid on the Table.

By the Chief Minister-

1 The East Punjab Minister's Salaries Haryana Amendment Ordinance 1968 Haryana Ordinance No. 1 of 1968)

2 The Punjab Legislative Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries Haryana Amendment ordinance, 1968. Haryana Ordinance No. 2 of 1968)

By the Finance Minister-

The Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharge) Amendment ordinance, 1968 Haryana Ordinance No. 3 of 1968)

By the Chief Minister-

The Punjab Local Authorities (Aided Schools) Haryana Amendment Ordinance, 1968 Haryana Ordinance No. 4 of 1968)

By the Finance Minister-

The Haryana Official Languages Ordinance, 1968 (Haryana Ordinance No. 5 of 1968)

By the Chief Minister

The Punjab State Legislative (Prevention of disqualification) Haryana Amendment Ordinance, 1968 Haryana Ordinance No. 6 of 1968)

By the Health Minister-

The Punjab Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioner (Haryana Amendment and Validation) Ordinance, 1968 Haryana Ordinance No. 7 of 1968)

By the Chief Minister-

A copy each of the following Notification issued under section 133(3) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939:-

1. No. G.S.R.14/CA-4/39/S.68/Amd. (1)/68, dated the 19th February, 1968
2. No. G.S.R.64/CA-4/39/S.4 Amd. (2)/68, dated the 26th August, 1968
3. No. G.S.R. 94/C.A.4/39/S.68/Amd.(3)/68, dated the 29th October, 1968
4. No. G.S.R.98/C.A./4/39/S.41/Amd.(4)68, dated the November, 1968

By the Finance Minister-

A copy each of the following notification issued under clause 3 of the Haryana Official Language Ordinance, 1968 as required under clause 7 thereof:-

1. No. 454-EDI/Lg.69/2136, dated the 20th January, 1969
2. No. 485-EDI/Lg.-69/2132, dated the 20th January, 1969
3. No. 484-EDI/Lg.-69/2140, dated the 20th January, 1969

X. Discussion on Governor's Address.

Ch. Maru Singh, M.L.A., while moving the motion that an Address be presented to the Governor in the Following terms:-

“That the members of the Haryana Vidhan Sabha assembled in this Session are deeply grateful to the Governor for the Address which he has been pleased to deliver to the House on the 28th January, 1969”, Spoke for 17 minutes.

Shri Daya Krishan, M.L.A., seconded the motion were deemed to have been read and moved.

Rao Birender Singh from the Opposition Benches took part in the discussion speaking for 73 minutes.

Shri roop Lal Mehta, a Member of the Opposition Benches, had spoken for 38 minutes and was still in possession of the House when it adjourned.

Shri Daya Krishan, a Member of the panel of Chairmen, occupied the Chair 3.45 p.m. to 3.50 p.m. The

deputy Speaker occupied the Chair from 3.50 p.m. to 4.50 p.m. and 5.53 p.m. to 6.26 p.m.

The Sabha then adjourned till 2.00 p.m. on Thursday, the 30th January 1969.

BULLETIN NO. 2

Thursday, the 30th January, 1969

(From 2.00 p.m. to 6.30 p.m.)

I. Questions.

Asking of Supplementary Questions on Starred Questions No. 167 and 122 printed pages 1 and 3 of the printed List of Starred Questions for the 29th January, 1969, was resumed and thereafter the printed List of Starred Questions for the 30th January, 1969 was taken up.

(a) Starred-

| Total no. on the Order Paper | Answered | Not Put | Postponed | No. of Supplementaries |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------------------------|
| 23 | 5 | 1 | | 85 |

The remaining Starred Questions were deemed to have been answered and the replies thereto laid on the Table of the House.

(b) Unstarred-12

II. Adjourned Motions.

The Adjourned Motion given notice of by Sarvshri Fateh Chand Vij, Sat Ram Dass Batra, Dr. Malik Chand Ghambhir and Bhagwan Dass Sehgal M.L.A., concerning the alleged abusing of Sardar Piara Singh, M.L.A. and some other

by D.S.P., Kaithal, was disallowed by the Hon'ble Speaker on the ground that it pertains to an ordinary matter of administration and cannot form subject-matte of an Adjourned Motion.

III. Privilege Motion

Ch. Mukhtiar Singh, M.L.A., had given notice for raising a question of Privilege alleging the misbehavior had been shown by

IV. Call attention notice.

- (i) The speaker admitted Call Attention Notice No. 2 given notice of by Shri Daya Krishan M.L.A., regarding the out break of Malaria epidemic in the state and requested the minister concerned to make a statement. The healthy Minister made a statement.
- (ii) The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 4 given notice of by Dr. Mangal Sein, M.L.A., concerning the arrests of certain m.L.As at Kaithal as it related to the Ordinary administration of law.

V. Introduction of Bill.

Shri Daya Krishan M.L.A., moved for leave to introduce the Haryana Family Planning Bill, 1969 with a short Speech.

Three Members from the Treasury Benches and six members from the Opposition Benches raised a objection to its introduction through short speeches. But an Unattached Member supported it.

Shri Daya Krishan withdrew his Bill.

VI. Resolution.

Shri Daya Krishan, M.L.A., moved that-

“This House recommends to the Government to take steps to enact law prohibiting the manufacture and Sale of alcoholic drinks in the State with effect from the 1st April, 1969”,

And Spoke for 11 minutes.

The Resolution was discussed on Thursday, the 30th January, 1969 and remained before the House for 157 minutes.

Nine Members from the Treasury Benches and four members from the Opposition Benches participated in the debate for 112 and 28 minutes respectively.

Shri Ram Saran Chand Mittal, M.L.A., read the amendment given notice of by him.

Shri Om Prakash Garg, M.L.A., from the Treasury Benches had spoken for 6 minutes and was still in possession of the House when it adjourned.

VII. Walk-Out

The entire Opposition staged walk-out twice as a protest against the decision of the Chair for not allowing the closure motion at 5.41 p.m. and 6.00 p.m.

The Sabha then Adjourned till 9.30 a.m. on Friday, the 31st January, 1969.

BULLETIN NO. 3

Thursday, the 31th January, 1969

(From 9.30 a.m. to 1.00 p.m.)

I. Questions.

(a) Starred-

| Total no. on the Order Paper | Answered | Not Put | Postponed | No. of Supplementaries |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------------------------|
| 21 | 7 | 2 | | 71 |

(b) Unstarred-1

II. Point of Order.

In Reply to a Supplementary question put by Shri Jai Singh Rathi M.L.A., the Chief Minister stated that he could not divulge that information on the Floor of the House and that he could place the file before the Hon'ble Speaker if so desired by him. There upon Dr. Mangal Sein raised a point of order and wanted a ruling from the chair as to whether the chief Minister could withhold the information from the House. The Speaker reserved his Ruling.

III. Personal Explanation.

Shri Banarsi Dass Gupta made a personal explanation in regard to some remarks made about him by some of the Opposition Members during the question hour.

IV. Question of Privilege.

- (i) The speaker had reserved his ruling on the 29th January 1969, on the Questions of privilege given notice of by Dr, Mangal Sein, M.L.A.s', regarding the Shadowing of the Legislators of the Opposition in the M.L.A.s' Hostel by C.I.D. staff.

The speaker observed that he had received a reply from the Government according to which the union Territory Officials had posted Armed Police outside the new M.L.A., Hostel of their own in order to maintain law and order because the said Hostel falls within the limits of Chandigarh. It had been further stated that no C.I.D. Official was deputed on duty within the said Hostel. Even the C.I.D. personnel were directed not to enter the Hostel at all.

He further observed that the Hon'ble Member had not given notice any concrete and specific instance as also the names of the C.I.D. personnel. Therefore, he refused it give his consent to the raising of that question of Privilege and disallowed it.

- (ii) The Speaker had reserved his ruling on the 30th January, 1969 on the privilege given notice by Ch. Mukhtiar Singh, M.L.A., regarding the alleged

misbehavior by Ch. Raj Singh D.S.P towards Sardar Singh Piara, M.L.A.

The Speaker observed that he had received the reply from the government, according to which it was incorrect that the D.S.P. had used abusive language towards Shri Piara Singh, M.L.A.. It had been further stated in the reply that the meeting between Shri Piara Singh, M.L.A. and the D.S.P had taken place in the presence of S.P. Karnal, while he was going around village Siwan along with Shri Piara Singh.

He further observed that besides, Shri Piara Singh had also denied the allegation in the House on 30th January, 1969.

Therefore, he refused to give his consent to this question of privilege and disallowed it.

V. Call Attention Notice

The Speaker admitted the Call notice No. 3 given by Dr. Mangal sein, M.L.A., Concerning the failure of the Government to honour the assurances held out to subordinate Services Of Haryana and requested the minister concerned to make a statement

The Chief Minister assured the House to make a statement on the 3rd February, 1969.

- (iii) The Speaker admitted the Call notice No. 3 given by Dr. Mangal sein, M.L.A., regarding the alleged disturbance of Public meeting held at Kaithal on the 24th January, 1969 and the arrest of certain ex-

legislators by Shri Raj Singh, D.S.P. and requested the Minister concerned to make a statement.

The Chief Minister assured the House to make a statement on the 4th February, 1969.

VI. Papers laid on the Table of the House.

(i) The Chief Minister laid a Copy each of the following reports under section 619-A of the companies Act, 1956:-

1. The Second annual Report and Accounts of the Punjab Exports Corporation Ltd. For the year 1964-65;
2. The Third Annual Report and Accounts of the Punjab Export Corporation Ltd., for the year 1965-66;
3. The First Annual Report of the Punjab State Industrial Development Corporation Ltd., for the year 1966-67;
4. The Annual Report of the Punjab State Small Industries Corporation Ltd., for the year 1966-67

(ii) The Chief Minister laid on the Table a copy of the Second annual Report and Accounts of the Land Development and seed corporation Ltd., for the year 1966-67, as required under section 619-A of Companies Act 1956.

(iv) The Chief Minister laid on the Table a copy of the the First Annual Report of the Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation Ltd. For the year 1967-68, as a required under section 619-A of the Companies Act, 1956.

VI. Presentations of Supplementary Estimates.

The Finance Minister presented the Supplementary Estimates for the year 1968-69.

VII. Presentations of the Report of the estimate Committee on the Supplementary Estimates.

The Chairman, Estimates Committee, Presentations of the Report of the estimate Committee on the Supplementary Estimates, Estimates for the year 1968-69

VIII. Resumptions of Discussion on the Governor's Address.

Shri Roop Lal Mehta, who was in possession of the house when it adjourned on the 29th January 1969, while resuming his speech for 25 minutes

One Member from the Treasury benches and One Member from the Opposition Benches took part in the discussion for 33 minutes and 51 minutes respectively.

Shri Banarsi dass Gupta had spoken for 6 minutes and was still in possession of the house when it adjourned.

Chaudhri Maru Singh a member s of the Panel of the Chairman occupied the Chair from 11.06 A.M. to 11.11 A.M. The deputy Speaker occupied Chair from 11.11 A.M. to 11.50 A.M.

The Sabha then adjourned till 2.00 P.M. on Monday, the 3rd February, 1969.

Monday, the 3rd February, 1969

(From 2.00 P.M. to 2.05 P.M.)

I. Obituary Reference.

The Chief Minister made a reference to the death of late Shri C.N. Annadurai, Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu and moved that House be adjourned without transacting any business as a mark of respect to the departed leader. Rao Birender Singh, Leader of the Opposition, supported the motion with a short speech. The Speaker associated himself with the sentiments expressed by the Chief Minister and the Leader of the Opposition and adjourned the House.

The Sabha then adjourned till 2.00 P.M. on Tuesday, the 4th February, 1969.

BULLETIN NO. 5

Tuesday, the 4th February, 1969

(From 2.00 P.M. to 4.55 P.M.)

I. Questions.

(a) Starred.

The List of Starred Question for the 4th February, 1969, was first take up. Thereafter the Kist Questions for the 4th February, 1969 were to be taken up.

| Total no. on the Order Paper | Answered | Not Put | Postponed | No. of Supplementaries |
|------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|------------------------|
| 33 | 4 | | | 46 |

The Remaining Starred Questions were deemed to have been answered and the replies laid on the Table of the house.

(b)Unstarred-7

II. Ruling by the speaker.

On a Point of order raise by Dr. Mangal Sein, ML.A., on the 31st January 1969, as to whether it was open to a Minister to withhold the production of certain documents containing information asked for in a Supplementary Question in the House, the Speaker ruled as follow:-

Rule 40 read with Rule 43 of our Rules and procedure and Conduct of Business in the Punjab Legislative Assembly provides that the oral information on a matter of Public Concern within the special cognizance of the Minister can be asked through starred questions. Rule 106 of the said Rules provides that if the Minister quotes in the Assembly a public or other State document which has not been presented to the Assembly he shall lay to any document on the Table provided that a minister can claim privilege to any document

in Public interest, if the minister gives in his order words a summary or gist of such document it shall not be necessary to lay the relevant papers on the Table.

Thus it would be clear that under the Rules and the procedure it is a privilege of the minister to see what ought to be disclosed and what ought not to be disclosed. It is for the Minister to refuse to answer a question on the plea of public interest.

I Lok Sabha on July 28, 1956, where a number asked whether the Chair had any voice in determining whether something was in the Public interest or not, the speaker observed:

“Normally, it is the function of the Government to see what ought to be disclosed or what ought not to be disclosed. The Speaker does not sit in the judgment over that, as, except in apparent cases, Government would not claim that privilege.”

In view of what has been stated above I rule that it is open to the Minister whether to answer the question decline to answer it on the grounds of Public interest and that the Chair has no power to compel him to answer question. The same principle applies to the production of documents.

I may, however, point out that if the member wants to arrive at a certain conclusion regarding the death of Late

Munshi Ram, whether it is a case of suicide or murder, he should seek his remedies at a proper forum”.

III. Call Attention Notices.

- (i) The Speaker admitted the Call Attention Notice No. 5 given notice of by Shri Ch. Chand ram M.L.A. Regarding the failure of the Government to disburse scholarship and stipends both under the Government of India Scheme as well as the Haryana Government Scheme to the Haryana students belonging to Scheduled castes, Vimukat Jatis and Backward Classes and requested the Minister concerned to make a statement.

The Chief Minister promised to make a statement on the 6th February, 1969

- (ii) The Speaker admitted the Call Attention Notice No. 6 given notice of by Shri Fateh Chand Vij, M.L.A. concerning the orders for the settlement of agricultural lands district Karnal instead of District Hissar and requested the Minister concerned to make a statement.

The Finance Minister made a statement.

IV. Statements.

- (i) The Chief Minister made a statement on the Call Attention Notice no. (3) Given notice of by Dr. Mangal Sein, M.L.A regarding the failure of the Government to honour the assurances held out to the Subordinate Services of Haryana.

- (ii) The chief minister made a statement on the Call Attention Notice No. (9) given notice of by Dr. Mangal Sein M.L.A. regarding the alleged disturbance of public meeting held at Kaithal on the 24th January 1969 and the arrest of certain ex-Legislators by Shri Raj Singh D.S.P.

V. Point of Privilege.

Dr. Mangal Sein M.L.A. wanted to press the Chair to take up his point of privilege regarding the alleged abduction of Shri Joginder Singh M.L.A. The Speaker observed that he had received the motion. It would be examined and given due consideration and after that he would give his ruling

VII. Naming of Members

Shri ganpat Raii, M.L.A. was named by the Speaker, when he persisted to continue making allegations against the Minister list. Therafter, Shri Jai Singh Rathi was named when he frequently tried to rise on a point of order. Though his point of order had been repeatedly held up up by the Speaker as improper and irrelevant and he continued rising in his seat in defiance of speaker's Order for resuming his seat. When the marshal went to the seat of Shri Jai Singh Rathi as ordered by the Chair to remove him from the House, as he had refused to wirthdraw from the House, Sarvshri Fateh Chand Vij, Ganpat Rai and ganga Sagar came to his seat and caused obstruction to his removal by the Marshal. At this stage the speaker named all these four Members and asked them to withdraw.

VI. Adjournment of the House.

As there a great disorder in the House due to the Non-compliance of the orders of the Speaker by four Members to withdraw from the House the Speaker adjournment the House for 15 minutes.

VIII. Observations made by the Speaker.

When Ch. Chand Ram, M.L.A., continued speaking even after he had been asked by the chair to resume his seat, as another Member had risen on a point of order, the Speaker observed:-

“I can observe that from the Behavior of Ch. Chand Ram, M.L.A., who definitely continued to speak, when he was asked to resume his seat. He is not obeying the Chair.

I am constrained to say that whatever you have said is not correct. I am making observations that you, Mr. Chand Ram, have certainly not behaved as a good Member today.

IX. Resumption of Discussion on the Governor's Address

Shri Banarsi Dass Gupta, M.L.A., who was in possession of the House, when it adjourned on the 31st January, 1969 resumed his speech. After he had spoken for less than minute, he had to resume his seat, as thereafter series of points of orders were raised by several members from the Opposition one after the other and he was not allowed to speak.

After the house had resembled at 4.45 P.M. and there was again disorder the Speaker asked the Chief Minister to reply to the debate on the Governor's Address. When Chief Minister was also not allowed to speak by some Members of

the Opposition he moved Clouse motion, which was carried by voice vote.

The amendment given notice of by Shri Roop Lal Mehta, M.L.A. was then put and rejected by a voice vote.

The Motion-

“That the Members of the Haryana Vidhan Sabha assembled in this Session is deeply grateful to the Governor for the Address which he has been pleased to deliver to the House on the 28th January, 1969”.

Was put to the vote of the House and carried by voice vote.

The Sabha then adjourned till 2.00 P.M. on Wednesday, the 5th February, 1969.

BULLETINS NO. 6

Wednesday, the 5th February, 1969

(From 2.00 P.M. to 3.16 P.M.)

I. Questions

(a) Starred

| Total no. on the Order Paper | Answered | Not Put | Postponed | No. of Supplementaries |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------------------------|
| 9 | 8 | | 1 | 42 |

Supplementaries to Starred Questions No. 220 were postponed till 6th February, 1969

(b)Unstarred-2

II. Questions of Privilege

- (i) Shri Mangal Sein, M.L.A, had given notice for raising a question of privilege regarding forcible kidnapping of Shri Joginder Singh M.L.A., by some C.I.D. Officials on the 31st January, 1969. The speaker informed the House that he had referred the same to the Government for their comments to be given within 48 hours and would take a decision on it on receipt of the moments.
- (ii) Shri Banarsi Dass Gupta and Shrimati Chandra Vati, M.L.A have given notice for raising a question of privilege stating that on the 4th February, 1969, during the sitting of the Haryana Vidhan Sabha Sarvshri Jai Singh Rathi, Fateh Chand Vij, Ganpat Rai, Ganga Sagar, Chand Ram, Rao Birender Singhs and Dr. Mangal Sein, M.L.A., had raised slogans in the House and had created disorder and had also defied the orders of the Chair. Thereby they had committed the breach of privilege of the House. They wanted that appropriate action be taken against them. The Speaker held the motion in order, admitted it and referred it to the Privilege Committee for examination and submission of its report by the 5th March, 1969

III. Call Attention Notice

- (i) The Speaker disallowed Call Attention Notice No. 7 given notice of by Dr. Mangal Sein, M.L.A., regarding the absence of any emergency ward in the Civil Hospital, Rohtak, as also non-posting.
- (ii) The Speaker admitted Call Attention Notice No. 8 given notice by Shri Satram Dass Batra, m.L.A., regarding the non-flowing water s in the canals in several parts of Haryana, especially in Tehsil Rohtak and requested the Minister to make a Statement
- (iii) The Speaker admitted Call Attention Notice No. 10 given notice of by Shri Daya Krishan , M.L.A., regarding the alleged damage to sugarcane crop and requested the Minister to make a Statement.

The Chief minister promised to make a statement on the 6th February, 1969.

IV. Suspension of Rule the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in its application to the motion re-Suspension of certain members.

The following motion-

“That Rule 104 of the Rules of Procedure and conduct Business in the House is suspended in its application to the Motion regarding the suspension of Sarvshri Jai Singh Rathi and three others.

Moved by Smt. Chandervati and seconded by Smt. Sharda Rani, M.L.A., was put to vote of the House. The decision of the Chair having been challenged was asked to rise in their seats and on a count having been, the motion was declared carried.

V. Suspension of Members for Remainder of the present Session

“That Yesterdays, the 4th February, 1969, four Members of this house, namely, sarvshri Jai Singh Rathi, Mahant sagar, Fateh Chand vij and ganpat Rai having been named by the Hon’ble Speaker did not withdrawn from the House and continued to defy his orders. They committed gross contempt of the House and breach of privilege. This House suspends them for the rest of the Session and directs the aforesaid Members to absent themselves from the meetings of this house for the remainder of the present session”.

Moved by Shri Banarsi Das Gupta and seconded by Shri Prabhu Singh, M.L.A., was put to vote of the House. The decision of the Chair having been challenged was asked to rise in their seats and on a count having been, the motion was declared carried.

VI. Adjournment of the House.

On a motion having been carried that Sarvshri Jai Singh rathi, Ganpat Rai, Fateh Chan Vij and ganga Sagar, M.L.A., be suspended for the remainder of the Session and the said Members should absent themselves from the meeting of the House for the remainder of the present session, the speaker asked these Members to leave the house which they did not do. The speaker then adjourned the house till tomorrow the 6th February, 1969.

BULLETIN NO. 7

Thursday, the 6th February, 1969

(From 2.00 P.M. to 6.37 P.M.)

I. Observation made by the Speaker.

The Speaker observed that he was pained to say that four members, who had defied the Chair on the 4th and 5th and were expelled by the House yesterday were still in the lobbies though he was somewhat satisfied that they had also not persuaded their colleagues to comply with the wishes of the Chair and the House.

He, therefore, appealed to both sides of the House to rise above individual and party level and work in democracy with a spirit of give and take and should be guided by the spirit of mutual respect, regard and co-operation. I must also emphasize that:-

- (d) Discipline and decorum must be maintained at all times. This also includes the implementation of the resolution passed by the House yesterday suspending four members of the House;
- (e) The question raised by the opposition with regard to the abduction of a member, if correct, should be condemned by all and efforts made by the Government to solve this mystery, (Thumping from the Opposition Benches) All Members of the House will have the protection of the Chair.
- (f) Minimum force should be utilized for the functioning of democracy in a smooth and happy manner.

In view of what I have said, I appeal to all the Hon. Members of the House, particularly the leader of the House and the leader of other Parties to ponder over the serious matter confronting us in a spirit of mutual good-will and above all for the proper functioning of democracy in our State. I suggest that the parties meet for half-an-hour or fifteen minutes and then if possible the Leader of the House may call a meeting of the other Leader to settle the matter amongst themselves. If, unfortunately, this is not possible, I will request four members from the Treasury benches and the same number from the opposition parties to meet in my chamber at 3.30 P.M. today with a view to finding out a way out of the unfortunate dead-lock. He again appealed for the Co-operation of the whole House.

II. Adjournment of the House.

After making some observation, the Speaker adjourned the House till 4.00 P.M. and therefore. The Question fixed for today could not be taken up.

III. Observation made by the Speaker

The speaker observed-

“Hon. Members, I am very glad to say that a meeting was held in my Chamber with the Leader of the House and other three members from the Treasury Benches and leader of the Opposition and the other leader of the parties and I am very glad to inform you that they have very kindly agreed to solve this matter.(Cheers). This is how we should work in the house and in here lies, I think, the power of democracy, mutual respect and regard.

The privilege motion on the alleged abduction of one of the members will be referred to the privileges Committee, The privilege motion decided by the House yesterday regarding 7 members including the leader of the Opposition, the Leader of the House agreed to with draw, (Cheers) and I am glad to say that I have also discussed the whole matter with the Leader of the house and he has very kindly assured me of his full co-operation with regard to the missing member.

IV. Call Attention Notices.

The Speaker admitted the Call Attention Notice No. 11 given notice of by Rao Birender Singh, M.L.A., regarding irregular supply of power of tube wells during the sowing period with frequents breakdowns and low voltage.

The Irrigation and power Minister promised to make a statement on the 10th February, 1969.

- (iii) The Speaker admitted the Call Attention Notice No. 12 given notice of by Shri Daya Krishan, M.L.A., regarding the failure of the Government to supply transformer to the sub-stations and requested the minister concerned to make a statement.

The Irrigation and power Minister promised to make a statement on the 10th February, 1969

V. Statements.

- (i) The Chief Minister made a statement on the Call Attention Notice No. 5 given notice by Ch. Chand Ram

M.L.A., regarding the failure of the government to disburse scholarship and stipends, both under the Government of India Scheme as well as the Haryana Government Scheme, to the Haryana students as well as the belongings to Scheduled castes, Vimukat Jaties and Backward Classes.

- (ii) The Chief Minister made a statement on the Call Attention Notice No. 10 given notice by Shri Daya Krishan, M.L.A, concerning the alleged damaged to the sugarcane crop.

VI. Motion under Rule 30

The Finance Minister moved the motion:-

“That Rule of the Rules and Procedure and conduct of the Business in the Punjab Legislative assembly be suspended and the Government business which was to be transacted on Wednesday, the 5th February, 1969 be transacted today, the 6th February, 1969.”

Was put and carried.

VII. Papers laid on the Table.

By the finance Minister-

- (i) The Appropriation Accounts of the Government of Haryana for the year 1966-67(November, 1966 to March 1967) and Audit Report, 1968
- (ii) The Finance Minister Accounts of the erstwhile Government of Punjab for the year, 1966-67.

VIII. Message from the Governor

The Speaker read out the letter received from the Government expressing this gratitude to the Vidhan Sabha for the Motion of Thanks passed by the Sabha on the 4th February, 1969.

IX. Supplementary Estimates, 1968-69

- (i) Estimates of the Expenditure charged on the Revenues of the State.

There was no discussion as no Member rose to speak.

- (ii). Discussion and voting of the Demands for Supplementary grants.

All the demands were deemed to have been read and moved. The Speaker permitted the discussion on all the Demands but requested to indicate the number of the Demand on which any Member wished to raise discussion.

Three Members from the Treasury Benches and Two members from the Opposition Benches spoke for 34 minutes and 58 minutes, respectively.

The Finance Minister spoke for 20 minutes by way of reply.

Demands were put one by one and carried.

X. Extension of Time.

On a suggestion made, the time of the sitting of house was extended by half-an-hour.

The Deputy Speaker occupied the chair from 4.41 P.M. to 6.37 P.M.

The Sabha adjourned till 9.30 A.M. on Friday, the 7th February, 199.

BULLETIN No. 8

Friday, the 7th February, 1969

(From 9.30 A.M. to 1.00 P.M.)

I. Questions

(a) Starred-

| Total no. on the Order Paper | Answered | Not Put | Postponed | No. of Supplementaries |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------------------------|
| 9 | 8 | | 1 | 42 |

(b) Unstarred-10

II. Call Attention Notices.

- (i) The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 13 given notice of by Dr. Mangal sein, M.L.A., regarding the alleged beating of the S.H.O., Police Station, Ratia, District Hissar, as it pertained to an individual case and its remedy lay in a court of Law.
- (ii) The Speaker admitted the Call Attention Notice No. 14 given notice of by Rao Birender Singh, M.L.A., regarding the inordinate delay in the division of joint Corporation and institution under the Punjab Reorganization Act, 1966, and requested the minister concerned to make a statement.

The Chief Minister promised to make a statement on the 11th February, 1969.

- (iii) The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 15 given notice of by Shri Daya Krishan, M.L.A., regarding the the non-implementation of the Scheme of supply of foodgrains to the laboures out of the World Food Aid Fund as the matter was not of urgent public importance and the Hon'ble Member could farward his proposal to the minister concerned.
- (iv) The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 16 given notice of by Dr. Mangal sein, M.L.A., regarding alleged resentment at the announcement of the Land Acquistion Officer, Haryana, at Ballabhgarh, for acquiring land and 200 bulidings as the matter was not of urgent public importance and the aggrieved could seek remedies at another forum.

III. Personal Explanation

Ch Joginder Singh made a personal eplanation in regard to the sensation created in the House during the last four/five days due to his absence from the House.

IV. Points of order

- (i) Ch. Ranbhir Singh, M.L.A., on a point of order wanted to have a ruling from the Chair on the question as to whether a case, which had already been registered with police, could be referred to the Privilege Committee.

- (ii) Rao Birender Singh M.L.A., on a point of order wanted to have Speake's Ruling on the question as to whether any hon. Member could question the decision already given by the Chair at subsequent stage.

The Speaker reserved his ruling till Monday, the 10th February, 1969.

V. Legislative Business

The Haryana Appropriation Bill, 1969

After the Bill was introduced by the finance Minister, she moved that it be taken into consideration at once.

Two members from the Treasury Benches and three Members from the Opposition Benches took part in the discussion and spoke for 44 minutes and 58 minutes respectively.

VI. Walk-out

The entire Opposition staged a walk-out as a protest against the attitude of the Treasury benches in not allowing those four Members of the Opposition to come in the House who had been suspended by the House, for the remainder of the Session on the 5th February, 1969.

The Finance Minister while replying to the debate spoke for 1 minute.

All the clauses of the Bill were carried.

On the motion moved by the Finance Minister that bill be passed three Members from the Treasury Benches

spoke for 12 minutes and one. Independent Member Spoke for 6 minutes.

The Chief Minister also spoke for one minute.

The Bill was then passed.

The Deputy Speaker occupied the chair from 10.50 A.M. to 12.23 P.M.

The Sabha then adjourned till 2.00 P.M. on Monday, the 10th February, 1969.

BULLETIN NO. 9

Monday, the 10th February, 1969

(From 2.00 P.M. to 4.30 P.M.)

I. Questions.

(a) Starred

Replies to two supplementaries on starred Question No. 188 printed at page of the printed list of starred Question for 7th February, 1969 were postponed till 11th February 1969. Asking of Supplementaries on Starred Question No. 268 printed at page 3 of the same list was resumed and thereafter the printed list Starred Questions for the 10th February, 1969, was taken up.

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Total no. on the Order | Answered | Not Put | Postponed | No. of Supplementaries |
|------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------------------------|

| | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|----|
| Paper | | | | |
| 14 | 6 | | | 64 |

(b)Unstarred-1

II. Ruling given by the Speaker.

On the point of order raised by Chaudhri Ranbir Singh, M.L.A., on the 7th February, 1969 as to whether a Privilege Motion could be brought with regard to the cases which would go to the Court Law, the Speaker ruled-

“I refer to a ruling given in Mysore Legislative Assembly in March, 1958. Shri S.R. Kanthi, The Speaker, had observed that if the matter goes to the court of Law tomorrow it cannot be s sub-judi today.

In the light of the aforesaid ruling, I hold that matter which are not Sub-judice today can be referred to the committee of privileges.’

(iv) On a point of order raised by Rao Birender Singh, M.L.A. on the 7th February, 1969 as to whether the ruling given by the Chair could be questioned by a Member, the speaker ruled-

“I observe that it is a well established parliamentary convention that Speaker’s ruling cannot questioned on a substantive motion.”

III. Ruling given by the Speaker

On a question of privilege raised Dr. Mangal sein, M.L.A., regarding the alleged forcible kidnapping of Shri Joginder Singh M.L.A., by some C.I.D. Officials on the 31st January, 199, the Speaker ruled-

On the 5th February, 1969, I reserved my decision on this notice of Privilege Motion and Called for the comments of the Government. I have now received the comments of the Government. The Government has denied that Shri Joginder Singh, M.L.A., was forcibly kidnapped in a closed car by Inspector (C.I.D.) Zila Singh and Sub-Inspector Shri Nanak Chand Chopra with the help of some persons in the after-noon of Friday, the 31st January, 1969. However, a case F.I.R. No. 80 dated the 3rd February, 1969, under section 365 of the Indian Penal Code has been registered at a central Police Station, Chandigarh, on a complaint of Shri Mange Ram, father-in-law of Shri Joginder Singh, M.L.A. the absence of Shri Joginder Singh M.L.A., caused a stair in the house as a result of which a dead-lock had arisen. To resolve the dead-lock, a meeting was held in my Chamber with the Leader of the House and the other three Members from the Treasury Benches and the Leader of the Opposition and the other Leader of the Parties. On the 6th February, 1969, as a agreed in the meeting, I announced in the House that the Privilege motion on the Alleged abduction of one of the Members will be referred to the Privilege committee. I am of the Opinion that Prima facie case has been made out as the member could not participate in the proceedings of the House and as such discharge his duties as a member of this august House. I, therefore, hold this Motion in order and refer it to the privilege Committee to examine this matter in all its implications

subject to the rule of sub-judice and submit its report by 31st March, 1969.

IV. Call Attention Notices.

The speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 17 given notice of by Shri Daya Krishan, M.L.A., regarding the sale of certain types of Tractors by private agencies at very high prices.

The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 18 given notice of by Shri Daya Krishan, M.L.A., regarding the Harassment caused to school children by the denial of free lift in the Government buses.

V. Statement.

The Irrigation and power Minister made a statement s on the Call Attention Notice No. 11 given notice of by Rao Birender Singh, M.L.A., regarding irregular supply of power of tube wells during the sowing period with frequents breakdowns and low voltage.

The Irrigation and power Minister made a statement s on the Call Attention Notice No. 12 given notice of by Shri Daya Krishan, M.L.A., regarding the failure of the Government to supply transformer to the sub-stations.

VI. Ruling given by the Speaker.

One of the points raised by the one of the hon. Members was regarding the constitution of the Business Advisory Committee. The Rule of procedure concerning this is that the Speaker may nominate a Committee called 'The

Business Advisory Committee' consisting of the Speaker and not more than five other Members. Actually, I would have been only too happy to appoint such a committee, but I honestly say that so far no one excepting Malik Mukhtiar Singh talked to me about it. He also did it only once and that too about a week ago. It would have been to me to appoint a Committee like this if some body had stressed or emphasized about it.

I wish this point had been raised. A Business Advisory Committee will be constituted and if this had been pointed out that by any of the hon. Members, particularly from the Opposition, I would have been happy and please to do so.

The Other point raised was whether the Budget could be brought before the house or presented to the House today as it being done. Now, in this regard, I am afraid, the Rules of Procedure or the precedents of the Lok Sabha have mentioned. I would like to know if any hon. Members can cite if there are such rules in any other state. In any case, I would like to stress that we are bound by the Rules that have been prepared by us and which are contained in our Rules of Procedure (Interruptions).

I have been listening most patiently to you all it took more than 40 minutes.

“So, the Conduct of business of this house is guided by this Rules which have been prepared and adopted by this August House. Here in Rule 210 lays down-

“The Annual Financial Statement of the Estimated Receipts and Expenditure of the Government of the State in respect of every financial year (hereafter referred to as “the

Budget”) shall be present to the assembly on such day as the Governor may appoint”.

This says that the Budget shall be presented to the assembly on such day as the Governor may appoint. I have an order of the Governor which reads as under:-

“Under Rule 210 of the Rules and the Procedure and conduct Business as applicable to the Haryana Vidhan Sabha, I appoint 10th February, 1969, as the day for presentation of the Budget Estimates, 1969-70, to the Haryana Vidhan Sabha”.

VII. Walk-Out

- (i) Ch. Banwari Ram, M.L.A. staged a walk out in protest to the attitude of the Chief Minister for not paying due attention to the Memorandum of Republican Party, submitted to the Minister by him four times.
- (ii) The entire Opposition staged a walk-out in protest against the procedure adopted by the Government in the presentation of the Budget for the year, 1969-70.

VIII. Presentation of the Budget of the year, 1969-70.

The Finance Minister presented the Budget for the year, 1969-70.

The Deputy Speaker occupied the Chair from 3.57 P.M. to 4.30 P.M.

The Sabha then adjourned till 9.30 A.M. on Tuesday, the 11th February.

BULLETIN NO. 10

Thursday, the 11th January, 1969

(From 9.30 a.m. to 11.36 a.m.)

I. Question

(b) Starred-

Replies of two Supplementaries on Starred Questions No. 188 printed at page 1 of the printed list of starred Questions for 7th February, 1969, were given and thereafter

| Total No. of Order Paper | On the | Answered | Not put | Postponed | No. of Supplementaries |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|---------|-----------|------------------------|
| List of 11th February, 1969 | 6 | 3 | 3 | | 5 |
| List of Postponed Questions | 5 | | 4 | 1 | |

the printed list of starred Questions for the 11th February, 1969 and then the list of postponed Questions was taken up.

(c) Unstarred-

Nil.

II. Questions of Privilege

Shri Mangal Sein M.L.A., had given a notice for raising a question of privilege regarding the alleged leakage of Budget Estimates for 1969-70 on account of the Publication of a new item in an Urdu "Daily Partap" dated 10th February, 1969, before its presentation in the Vidhan Sabha.

The speaker Ruled-

"The Newspaper has published that in Haryana taxes worth rupees two crores have been stated that there would in para 61 and 62 of the Budget Speech it has been stated that there would be deficit of Rs. 774 lakhs which has, for the present been left uncovered. The news published in the 'Partap' dated the 10th February, 199. is merely based on conjectures. There is. Therefore, no prima-facie case made-out. I therefore, disallow this notice of Privilege Motion."

III. Call Attention Notice

- (i) The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 19 given notice of by Major Amir Singh Chaudhari,, M.L.A., regarding the poor percentage of tube-well connections in district Mohindergarh as the Subject matter of this Call Attention Notice rose out of the reply to starred Question No. 161 for which other remedies lay under the Rules of Procedure. The Hon'ble Member could also raise a discussion on it during the course of discussion of the Budget .
- (j) The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 20 given notice of by Major Amir Singh Chaudhari, M.L.A., regarding the alleged failure of District Mohindergarh Officials to successfully meet the famine condition as

also offer suitable relief measure in the famine affected districts the Hon'ble Member will have ample opportunity to raise discussion on this matter during the course of discussion of the Budget.

IV. Statement

The Chief minister made a statement on the Call Attention Notice No. 14 given notice of by Rao Birender Singh, M.L.A., regarding the inordinate delay in the division of joint Corporation and institution under the Punjab Reorganization Act, 1966

V. Points of Order.

(a) Chudhari mukhtiar Singh, M.L.A., on a point of order wanted to have a ruling from the chair on the question as to whether the suspension of Rule 104 of the rules and conduct business in the House was regular when no particular motion regarding the suspension of the Rule as required under Rule 121 was before the Assembly

(b) Shri Banarsi Dass Gupta, M.L.A., on a point of order wanted to have ruling from the Chair on the question as to whether any discussion could be permitted on a motion already passed and implemented by the House.

The Speaker reserved the Ruling on both these points of order.

VI. Walk-Out.

The entire opposition staged a walk-out in protest against the attitude of the Government in keeping away those four members of the Opposition who have been suspended by the House for the remainder of the session.

VII. Papers laid on the table.

By the Chief Minister

A copy of the First annual Report and Accounts of the Haryana Agro-Industries Corporation Ltd., For the year 1967-68, as required under section 619-A of the Companies Act, 1956

VIII. Official Resolution.

(i) The Resolution moved by the Chief Minister

“Whereas, the Punjab Agricultural University constituted under the Punjab Agricultural University Act, 1961, is serving the needs of the successor States within the meaning of Section 72 of the Punjab Re-organization Act, 1966;

And whereas, it is intended that the provision should be made by law to dissolve the operation of the Punjab Agricultural University in the State of Haryana, to set up a separate Agricultural University for the State of Haryana, for vesting the rights and liabilities of the dissolved University in the University to be set up and for all other matters connected therewith or incidental thereto;

And, Whereas, legislation for the purpose mentioned above is relatable to matters enumerated in entries 11 and 32 of List II in Seventh Schedule to the Constitution of India with respect to which parliament has no power to make a law for the State except as provided in Articles 249 and 250 thereto;

And whereas, it appears to this Assembly to be desirable that such legislation should be undertaken by Parliament;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of clause (1) Article 252 of the constitution in India, this Assembly hereby resolves that Parliament shall by law make provision for the dissolution of the aforesaid Punjab Agricultural University in relation to the State of Haryana for setting up a separate Agricultural University for the State of Haryana, for vesting the rights and liabilities of the University so dissolved in the University to be set up and for all other matters connected therewith or incidental thereto;

No member rose to speak. The resolution was put to vote of the House and carried.

(ii) The Resolution moved by the Chief Minister-

“Whereas it is expedient to provide by law for the prevention of water pollution and the maintaining or restoring of wholesomeness of water, for the establishment, with a view to carrying out the purposes aforesaid, of water pollution Prevention Boards for conferring on such Boards functions relating thereto and for matters connected therewith;

And whereas it is desirable and necessary to have uniform law in this behalf in all the States,

And whereas the Subject-matter of such a law is relatable to entries 6 and 17 of List II in the Seventh Schedule to the Constitution of India;

And whereas Parliament has no power to make laws for the states with respect to any of the matter aforesaid except as provided in Articles 249 and 250 of the constitution;

And whereas it appears to this Assembly to be desirable that such a law should be enacted by Parliament;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of clause (1) of Articles 252 of the Constitution of India, this assembly hereby resolves that Parliament should enact a law providing for the prevention of water pollution and the maintaining or restoring of wholesomeness of Water for the establishment, with a view to carrying out the purposes, on such Boards functions relating thereto and for matters connected therewith”

No members rose to speak, this resolution was put to vote of the House and carried.

IX. Legislative Business

1. The East Punjab Minister's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969.

After introducing the bill, the Chief Minister moved-

“That the east Punjab Minister’s Salaries (Haryana Amendment Bill be taken consideration at once”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Chief Minister moved-

“That Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

2. The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker’s and Deputy Speaker’s Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969

After introducing the bill, the Chief Minister moved-

“That the Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker’s and Deputy Speaker’s Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, Bill be taken consideration at once”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Chief Minister moved-

“That Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

3. The Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharge) Bill, 1969.

After introducing the bill, the Finance Minister moved-

“That the Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharge) , Bill be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“That Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

4. The Punjab Local Authorities (Aided Schools) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969

After introducing the bill, the Chief Minister moved-

“That Punjab Local Authorities (Aided Schools) Haryana Amendment the Bill be taken consideration at once”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Chief Minister moved-

“that Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried

5. The Punjab Legislature (prevention of Disqualification) Haryana Amendment Bill 1969.

After introducing the bill, the Chief Minister moved-

“That Punjab Legislature (prevention of Disqualification) Haryana Amendment Bill be taken consideration at once”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Chief Minister moved-

“that Bill be passed.”

One Member spoke for one minute.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried

6. The Punjab Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioner (Haryana Amendment and Validation Bill, 1969

After introducing the bill, the Health Minister moved-

“that Punjab Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioner (Haryana Amendment and Validation Bill, be taken consideration at once”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Health Minister moved-

“That Bill be passed.” Punjab Local Authorities (Aided Schools) Haryana Amendment Bill,

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried

7. The Haryana Official Language Bill, 1969 (introduced on 11th February, 1969.

After introducing the bill, the Finance Minister moved-

“That The Haryana Official Language Bill, be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“That the Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

Three Members from the Treasury Benches spoke for 29 minutes. The Finance Minister spoke for 1 minute, by way of reply.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried

**8. The Indian Stamp (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969
(Introduced on 11th February, 1969)**

After introducing the bill, the Finance Minister moved-

“that Indian Stamp (Haryana Amendment) Bill be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“that Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

9. The Punjab Cinema Regulation Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969.

After introducing the bill, the Finance Minister moved-

“that Punjab Cinema Regulation Haryana Amendment Bill be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“that Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

10. Punjab Urban Property tax (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969

After introducing the bill, the Finance Minister moved-

“That Punjab Urban Property tax (Haryana Amendment) Bill be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“that Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

11. The Punjab Passengers and Good Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969.

After introducing the bill, the Finance Minister moved-

“That the Punjab Passengers and Good Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Bill, be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“That Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

12. The Punjab Motor (taxation of sales) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969.

After introducing the bill, the Finance Minister moved-

“That the Punjab Motor (taxation of sales) (Haryana Amendment) Bill, be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“That Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

13. The Punjab Entertainment Tax (Cinematograph Shows) Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969

After introducing the bill, the Finance Minister moved-

“That the Punjab Entertainment (Cinematograph shows) (Haryana Amendment) Bill, be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“That Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

14. The Punjab Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1969.

After introducing the bill, the Chief Minister moved-

“That the Punjab Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property (Haryana Amendment) Bill, be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Chief Minister moved-

“that Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

15. The east Punjab War Awards (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969.

After introducing the bill, the Finance Minister moved-

“that the Punjab War Awards (Haryana Amendment) Bill, be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“That Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

16. The Punjab State Legislature Officers, Minister's and members (medical Facilities Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969.

After introducing the bill, the Chief Minister moved-

“That the Punjab State Legislators Officers, Ministers, Members (Medical facilities) (Haryana Amendment) Bill, be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Chief Minister moved-

“That Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

17. The Punjab Security of the State Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969.

After introducing the bill, the Chief Minister moved-

“That the Punjab Security of the State (Haryana Amendment) Bill, be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Chief Minister moved-

“That Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

18. The Punjab Municipal Haryana Octroi Surcharge Validation Bill, 1969.

After introducing the bill, the Health Minister moved-

“That the Punjab Municipal Haryana Octroi Surcharge Validation Bill, be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Health Minister moved-

“That Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

19. The Haryana Cattle Fairs Bill, 1969.

After introducing the Bill, the Health Minister moved-

“That the Haryana Cattle fairs Bill, 1969,’be referred to select Committee consisting of 17 members of the House.

The motion was carried.

20. The Punjab General tax (Haryana Amendment and validation) Bill, 1969.

After introducing the bill, the Finance Minister moved-

“That the Punjab General Tax (Haryana Amendment and Validation) Bill, be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“That Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

21. The Haryana Board of School Education Bill, 1969.

After introducing the bill, the Chief Minister moved-

“That the Haryana board of School Education Bill be taken consideration at once”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The bill was then considered by clause and all the clauses of the Bill were carried.

The Chief Minister moved-

“That Bill be passed.”

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was put to the vote of the House and carried.

The Deputy Speaker Occupied the Chair from 10.47 A.M. to 11.08 A.M.

The Sabha then adjourned till 2-30 P.M. on Tuesday, the 11th February, 1969 (Afternoon Sitting).

BULLETIN NO. 11

Tuesday, 11th February, 1969 (Evening Sitting)

(From 2.30 P.M. to 6.30 P.M.)

I. General Discussion on the Budget for the Year 1969-70.

12 Members from Treasury Benches took part in the discussion speaking for 214 minutes and one uncommitted Member spoke for 26 minutes.

II. Extension of Sitting.

On a Suggestion a made, the time of the Sitting of the House was extended for half-an-hour after obtaining the sense of the House.

The Deputy Speaker occupied the Chair from 3.30 P.M. to

6.30 P.M.

The Sabha then Adjourned till 10.00 A.M. on Wednesday, the 12th February, 1969.

BULLETIN NO. 12

Wednesday, the 12th February, 1969 (Morning Sitting)

(From 10.00 A.M. to 1.18 P.M.)

a. Questions.

(a) Starred.

| Total no. on the Order Paper | Answered | Not Put | Postponed | No. of Supplementaries |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|---------------------------|
| 9 | 3 | 6 | | 15 |

(b) Unstarred-1

II. Ruling by the Speaker.

On the point of order raised by Ch. Mukhtiar Singh, M.L.A., on the 11th, February, 1969 as to whether the suspension of rule 104 of the Rules and the Procedure and conduct Business in the house was regular when no particular Motion regarding the same as required under Rule 121 was before the Assembly. The Speaker ruled:-

“ Chaudhri Mukhtiar Singh, M.L.A., had raised a very important point with regard to the interpretation of Rule 121. Rule 121 of our Rule reads as under_

“Any Member may, with consent of the Speaker, move that any Rule may be suspended in its application to a particular motion before the Assembly and if the motion is carried the Rule in question shall be suspended for the time being’.

This rule requires that two conditions should be satisfied:-

3. That the motion can be moved with the consent of the Speaker; and
4. That a Rule may be suspended in its application to a particular motion before the assembly.

On the 4th February, 1969, Ch. Jai Singh Rathi, M.L.A., interrupted the proceedings of the House by raising frivolous points of order. I named him and in spite of my naming him he continued to defy the orders. I ordered him to withdraw from the House under Rule 104 of our Rules and procedure. I directed the Serjeant-at-Arms to carry out my orders. The other Members, namely, Sarvshri Fateh Chand Vij, Mahant Ganga Sagar and Ganpat Rai came to the rescue of Shri Jai Singh Rathi and thus all of them defied the orders of the Chairs. These others three members were also named and were ordered to withdraw from the House, under rule 104. In spite of the orders of the Chair all these four members continued to sit in the House. This was in utter defiance of the orders of the Chair, They committed gross contempt of the House. On the 5th February, 1969, two motions, i.e., one under Rule 121 for suspension of Rule 104 given notice of by Shrimati Chandravati, M.L.A., and other motion for suspending Sarvshri Jai Sinh rathi, Mahant Ganga sagar, Fateh Chand Vij and ganpat Rai for the rest of the session given notice of by Sarvshri Banarsi Das Gupta and Parbhu

Singh were received by me before the commencement of the sitting on that day.

Shrimati Chandravati asked for leave to move the following motion-

“Rule 104 of the Rules and the Procedure and conduct Business in the house be suspended in its application to the motion regarding suspension of Shri jai Singh Rathi ant three others”.

When the motion was moved by Smt. Chandravati, M.L.A, the member could raise discussion thereon or points of order for decision by the Chair. But no point of order was raised at the moment when this motion was proposed by the chair and question put.

I would like to draw the attention to Rule 113 of our Rules of Procedure. Sub-rule (1) of that Rule provides that a point of order shall relate to the interpretation or enforcement of these Rules or such Articles of Constitutions as regulate the business of the House and shall raise a question which is within the cognizance of the speaker. Sub-rule (2) of that Rule lays down that a point of order may be raised in relation to the business before the House at the moment provided that the Speaker may permit a member to raise a point of order during the interval between the termination of one item of business and the commencement of business before the House. The reading of sub-rule (1) and (2) of Rule 112 clearly indicate that sub-rule (1) limits the scope of raising a point of order and sub-rule (2) specifies the stage at which a point of order can

be raised, i.e., a point of order can be raised in relation to the business before the House at the moment . However, the point of order with regard to the maintenance of order in arrangement of business before the House can be raised during the interval between the termination of one item of business and commencement of another.

The incident narrated by Ch. Mukhtiar Singh, M.L.A., occurred on the 4th February, 1969 and motion was moved on the 5th February, 1969. The point of order was raised by him on the 11th February, 1969. The appropriate time for raising this point of order was when the motion for suspending rule 104 was moved by Smt. Chandravati, M.L.A., As Ch. Mukhtiar Singh did not raise the point of Order in relation to his motion when it was before the House the point of order in now raised by him did not comply with the conditions laid down in Sub-rule (2) of Rule 113. Therefore, I hold that point of order raised by him is out of order.

III. Demands for grants.

All the demands were deemed to have been read and moved.

7 Members from the Treasury Benches took part in the discussion and spoke for 125 minutes and one Unattached Member spoke for 12 minutes.

The Minister for Finance by way of reply spoke for 44 minutes.

Demands Nos. 1 to 51 were put to the vote of the House and carried.

V. Extension of Sitting.

After getting the sense of the house the Speaker extended the time of the sitting by half-an-hour .

The Deputy speaker occupied the chair from 10.44 A.M. to 11.52 A. M. February, 1969.

BULLETIN NO. 13

**Wednesday, the 12th February, 1969 (Evening
Sitting)**

(From 3.00 P.M. to 6.56 P.M.)

I. Call attention Notice.

The Speaker admitted Call notice No. 21, given notice of by shrimati Chanderwati M.L.A., regarding the disappointment and discouragement caused to the procedures of Sugarcane as a result of the reduction in the price of Sugarcane and requested the Minister concerned to make a statement.

The Chief Minister made a statement.

II. Presentation of Reports.

- (i) By the Chairman, Committee on Subordinate Legislation.-

“First Report of the committee on Subordinate Legislation for the year 1968-69”

(ii) By a Member of the Committee on Government Assurances-

“First Report of the Committee on Government Assurances for the year 1968-69”

III. Motion under Rule 16.

The Motion moved by the Chief minister-

“That the assembly at this day shall stand adjourned sine-die” was carried.

IV. Legislative business.

The Haryana Appropriation (No. 2), Bill, 1969

After introducing the Bill, the Finance Minister moved-

“that the Haryana Appropriation (No. 2) Bill be taken into consideration at once.”

14 members from the Treasury benches took part in the discussion and spoke for 200 minutes.

The Chief Minister, by way of reply spoke for 21 minutes.

All the clauses of the Bill were carried and the Bill was passed.

V. Extension of Time.

After taking the sense of the House the speaker in the First instance extended the sitting for half-an-hour and again 26 minutes.

The Deputy Speaker occupied the Chair from 4.00 P.M. to 6.123 P.M.

The Sabha, then adjourned sine-die.

SPECIAL BULLETIN NO. 1

Discussion on Governor's Address

Discussion on the Motion of Thanks to the Governor for his Address took place on the 29th and 31st January, and on the 4th February, 1969, lasting for 4 hours and 41 minutes.

(Time spent on putting the motion and amendments to the vote of the House by the Speaker are not included).

| No. of members who participated in the discussion | Time taken |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Treasury Benches-5 | One hour and thirty-four minutes |
| Opposition Benches-3 | Three hours and seven minutes |

Note-Time taken by the Treasury Benches includes the time taken by the Mover and Secondary and by the Chief Minister.

SPECIAL BULLETIN NO. 2

Discussion on Budget for the year, 1969-70

Discussion on Budget for the year, 1969-70 took place on the 11th February, 1969, lasting for four hours.

| No. of members who participated in the discussion | Time taken |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Treasury Benches-12 | Three hours and thirty-four minutes |
| Uncommitted-1 | 26 minutes |

INDEX

TO

RESUME AND BULLETINS

(January-February Session, 1969)

| “A” | Pages | -Considered and passed- | Pages |
|--|--------------|---|--------------|
| Adjournment- | | | |
| of the Assembly/Sabha sine-die | 1,101,102 | | |
| Bills— -Introduced- | | -The East Punjab Minister’s Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 | 89 |
| 1-The East Punjab Minister’s Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 | 89 | 2-The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker’s and Deputy Speaker’s Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 | 90 |
| 2-The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker’s and Deputy Speaker’s Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 | | | |
| 3-The Haryana Land | | | |

| | | | |
|---|-------|---|-------|
| Revenue (Additional Surcharges) Bill, 1969. | | 3-The Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharges) Bill, 1969. | |
| 4-The Punjab Local Authorities (Aided Schools) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969. | | 4-The Punjab Local Authorities (Aided Schools) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969. | |
| 5-The Punjab State Legislature (Prevention of disqualification) | 90 | 5-The Punjab State Legislature (Prevention of disqualification) | 90-91 |
| -Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969. | | -Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969. | |
| 6-The Punjab Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioner (Haryana Amendment and Validation bill, 1969. | 90-91 | 6-The Punjab Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioner (Haryana Amendment and Validation bill, 1969. | 91 |
| 7-The Haryana Official Language Bill, 1969 | | 7-The Haryana Official Language Bill, 1969 | |
| 8-The Indian Stamp (Haryana Amendment) bill, 1969 | 91 | 8-The Indian Stamp (Haryana Amendment) bill, 1969 | |
| 9-The Punjab Cinemas (Regulation) Haryana Amendment bill, 1969 | | 9-The Punjab Cinemas (Regulation) Haryana Amendment bill, 1969. | |
| 10-The Punjab Immovable Property Tax (Haryana | | 10-The Punjab Immovable Property Tax (Haryana | |

| | | | |
|---|-------|--|-------|
| Amendment) Bill, 1969 | | 8-The Indian Stamp | |
| 11-The Punjab Passengers and Goods Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 | 91 | (Haryana Amendment) bill, 1969 | |
| 12-The Punjab Motor Spirit (Taxation of Sales) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969 | | 9-The Punjab Cinemas (Regulation) Haryana Amendment bill, 1969 | 92 |
| 13-The Punjab entertainment Tax (Cinematograph Shows) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969 | 92 | 10-The Punjab Immovable Property Tax (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 | |
| 14-The Punjab Requisitioning and Acquisition of immovable Property (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 | 92 | 11-The Punjab Passengers and Goods Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 | |
| 15-The East Punjab Awards (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 | 92-93 | 12-The Punjab Motor Spirit (Taxation of Sales) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969 | 92 |
| 16-The Punjab State Legislature Officers, ministers and Members (Medical facilities) Haryana | | | 92-93 |

| | | | |
|--|-------|---|-------|
| Amendment Bill, 1969 | | 13-The Punjab entertainment Tax (Cinematograph Shows) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969 | |
| 17-The Punjab Security of the State (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 | 93 | | 93 |
| 18-The Punjab Municipal (Haryana Octroi Surcharge Validation) Bill, 1969 | | 14-The Punjab Requisitioning and Acquisition of immovable Property (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 | |
| 17-Introduction Of the Haryana Cattle Fairs Bill, 1969 and its reference to the select committee. | 93-94 | | 93-94 |
| 19-The Punjab General Sales Tax (Haryana Amendment and Validation) bill, 1969 | | 15-The East Punjab Awards (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969 | |
| 20-The Haryana Board of school education bill, 1969 | 94 | 16-The Punjab State Legislature Officers, ministers and Members (Medical facilities) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1969 | 94 |
| 21-The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1969. | | 17-The Punjab Security of the State (Haryana | |
| 22. The Haryana Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1969 | | | |
| 23. The Haryana | 94 | | |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| <p>Appropriation Bill, 1969</p> | | <p>Amendment) Bill, 1969</p> <p>18-The Punjab Municipal (Haryana Octroi Surcharge Validation) Bill, 1969</p> <p>17-Introduction Of the Haryana Cattle Fairs Bill, 1969 and its reference to the select committee.</p> <p>19-The Punjab General Sales Tax (Haryana Amendment and Validation) bill, 1969</p> <p>20-The Haryana Board of school education bill, 1969</p> <p>21-The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill,</p> | <p>94</p> <p>94</p> <p>94</p> <p>95</p> <p>95</p> |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|

| | | | |
|--|----|---|----|
| | 96 | <p>1969.</p> <p>22. The Haryana Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1969</p> <p>23. The Haryana Appropriation</p> | 95 |
| | 96 | | 96 |