

HARYANA VIDHAN SABHA

RESUME

OF

BUSINESS TRANSACTED

BY THE

HARYANA VIDHAN SABHA

DURING

THE FOURTH (FEBRUARY) SESSIONS, 1970

WITH

APPENDIX CONTAINING DAILY BULLETINS

HARYANA VIDHAN SABHA SECRETARIAT,

CHANDIGARH

JULY, 1971

PREFACE

The following pages contain Resume of Business transacted by the Third Vidhan Sabha during its fourth Session, held in February, 1970 together with the bulletins issued daily after the adjournment of each sitting of the Sabha.

It is hoped that the publication would be found useful by Members and other who may wish to know in detail what work was done each day by the Third Sabha in the fourth Session.

Any Suggestion for the further improvement of this publication in future would be very greatly welcomed.

Chandigarh

RAJ KUMAR MALHOTRA

The 25th July, 1970

Secretary

TABLE OF CONTENT

Sr. No.	Subject	Page
1	Summoning, Sittings and Prorogation	1
2	Programme observed	2
3	Panel of Chairman	6
4	Committees	7
5	Governor's Address	11
6	References	12
7	Financial Business	13
8	Legislation	15
9	Synopsis of the Bills passed by the Haryana Vidhan Sabha	19
10	Resolutions- (i) Official (ii) Non-Official	22 23
11	Resolution Moved	24
12	Papers Presented/Laid	

		25
13	Reports Presented/Laid	27
14	Adjournment Motion	28
15	Questions of Privilege	29
16	Questions of Privilege	30
17	Call Attention Notice	31
18	No Confidence Motion	35
19	Statement(s) by Minister(s)	37
20	Comparative Statement of speeches and time taken there on	38
21	Figures relating to Questions	39
22	Questions Statements	40
23	Starred Questions answered date-wise	41
24	Starred Questions deemed to have answered	42
25	Starred Questions in the name of different Ministers, date-wise	43
26	Walk-Out(s)	44
27	Unparliamentarily/Undesirable Expressions	45
28	Observations made by the Speaker	46

29	Ruling given by the Speaker	53
30	Point of Order and decision thereon	55
APPENDIX		
1.	Bulletins-January-February	56-86
2.	Index	i-iv

SUMMONING, SITTINGS AND PROROGATING OF THE HARYANA VIDHAN SABHA

The Haryana Vidhan Sabha was summoned to meet for its 4th Sessions in the Hall of the Haryana Vidhan Sabha, Vidhan Bhawan, Chandigarh, on the 13th February, 1970 by an order of the Governor dated the 31st January, 1970, and continued to meet till the 27th February 1970, when it was adjourned sine die. It was later prorogued by an order of the Governor, dated the 28th February, 1970

During this Session, The Sabha held 12 sittings as may be seen from the programme, excluding the one relating to Governor's Address to the Assembly. The Sabha held two sittings (morning and Evening) both on the 27th February, 1970

**PROGRAMME OBSERVED BY THE THIRD HARYANA
VIDHAN SABHA DURING ITS SECOND SESSION HELD
FROM THE 13TH JANUARY TO THE 27TH FEBRUARY,
1970**

Date	Programme
13th February, 1970 (2.00 p.m.)	Governor's Address to the Haryana Vidhan Sabha
13th February, 1970 (3.30 p.m. to 5.47 p.m.)	<p>References to the deaths of the late</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Shri P.H. Patwardhan, a veteran freedom fighter of Ahmednagar. (ii) Shri P.V. Cherian, former Governor of Maharashtra. (iii) Sardar Gurmukh Nihal Singh, former governor of Rajasthan. (iv) Prof. D.C. Sharma, M.P., Punjab. (v) Shri Roop Lal Mehta, M.L.A., Haryana. (vi) Prof. Humayun Kabir, Member of Parliament and former Union Minister. (vii) Smt. Violet Alva, ex-Deputy

	<p>Chairman of Rajya Sabha.</p> <p>(viii) Sardar Darshan Singh Pheruman.</p> <p>And passing of the following Resolutions:-</p>
14th February, 1970	Off-day.
15th February, 1970	Holiday.
16th February, 1970 (2.00 p.m. to 6.30 p.m.)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Presentation of supplementary Estimates (Second Installment, 1969-70). 2. Presentation of the Report of the committee on Estimates on the Supplementary Estimates (Second Installment, 1969-70). 3. Discussion on the Governor's Address (continued on the 17th February, 1970).
17th February, 1970 (2.00 p.m. to 7.00 p.m.)	Resumption of Discussion on the Governor's Address (continued on the 18th February, 1970)
18th February, 1970 (2.00 p.m. to 7.12 p.m.)	Resumption of Discussion on the Governor's Address (Concluded)

<p>19th February, 1970 (2.00 p.m. 6.30 p.m.)</p>	<p>1. Non-Official Bill-</p> <p>Introduction of the Punjab Pre-emption (Haryana Repeal) Bill, 1970.</p> <p>2. Non-Official resolution:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“In View of the Industrial backwardness of Haryana, this House recommends to the Government to approach the Central Government that the following concessions should be allowed to al the Industries coming up during the five year period commencing from April, 1970.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Relief from Income Tax; 2. Relief in the Central Sales Tax; 3. Placing of Sufficient funds at the disposal of the State by the central Government for loan to the Industries; 4. Easy availability of raw material at reasonable rates; and <p>Priority for amenities required for the</p>
--	---

	industries
20th February, 1970 (9.30 a.m. to 12.51 p.m.)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discussion and Voting on the Supplementary Estimates (Second Installment), 1969-70. 2. References to death of late Mohammad Yasin Khan.
21st February, 1970	Off-day.
22nd February, 1970	Holiday
23rd February, 1970 (2.00 p.m. to 6.14 p.m.)	The Haryana Appropriation Bill, 1970.
24th February, 1970 (10.00 a.m. to 12.10 p.m.)	Presentation of the Budget for the year 1970-71.
25th February, 1970 (2.00 p.m. to 7.00 p.m.)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Motion under Rule 30 regarding transaction of Government business on Thursday, the 26th February, 1970. 2. General discussion the Budget for the year 1970-71 (continued on the 26th February, 1970)
26th February, 1970 (2.00 p.m. to 6.57)	Resumption of General discussion of the -71 (Concluded).

<p>27th February, 1970 (9.30 a.m. to 12.38 p.m.) (Morning Sitting)</p>	<p>Discussion and voting of the Demands for Grants.</p>
<p>27th February, 1970 (2.30 p.m. to 6.08 p.m.) (Evening Sitting)</p>	<p>(1) No-Confidence Motion against the Ministry as a whole (Not discussed).</p> <p>(2) Motion under rule 15- “That the proceedings on the items of business fixed for today be exempted at this day’s sitting from the provision of the rule ‘Sittings of the Assembly indefinitely.”</p> <p>(3) Motion regarding Adjournment of the House sine-die.</p> <p>(4) Presentation of the Second Report of the public Accounts Committee of the year 1969-70.</p> <p>(5) Presentation of the Second Report of the Committee on Estimates on budget Estimate for the year 1969-70</p> <p>(6) Presentation of the Second Report of the Committee on Subordinate Legislation for the year 1969-70.</p> <p>(7) Presentation of the Second Report of the Committee on Government</p>

	<p>Assurances for the year 1969-70.</p> <p>(8) Legislative Business-</p> <p>(a) Bills-</p> <p>(1) The Haryana Appropriation (No.2) bill, 1970.</p> <p>(2) The Punjab State Legislature (Prevention of disqualification) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1970</p> <p>(3) The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970</p> <p>(4) The Punjab Legislative Assembly(allowances of members (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970</p> <p>(5)The Haryana Salaries Allowances of ministers Bill, 1970.</p> <p>(6) The Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharges) Bill, 1970</p> <p>(7)The Punjab Town Improvement (Haryana Amendment and Validation) Bill, 1970</p> <p>(8)The Motor Vehicle (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970</p> <p>(9) The Punjab Passengers and Goods</p>
--	--

Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970

(10) The Punjab Co-operative Societies (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970.

(11) The Haryana Board of School Education Bill, 1970.

(12) The Punjab Motor Vehicle Taxation (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970.

(13) The Punjab professional, Trades, Callings and Employment Taxation (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970.

(14) The Punjab Instrument (Control of Noises) Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970

(15) The Punjab General Sales Tax (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970.

(b) Official Resolutions-

“This House Approves, under sub-section (3) of Section 65 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (Central Act 54 of 1948), fixation by the State Government of a higher maximum amount of thirty crores of rupees which the Haryana State electricity Board may at any time have on loan under sub-section (1) of that section.”

PANEL OF CHAIRMAN

On the 29th January, 1969, by the Speaker nominated the following on the Panel of Chairman, under Rule 13(1):-

- (1) Shri Ram Saran Chand Mittal
- (2) Malik Mukhtiar singh;
- (3) Ch. Sarup Singh;
- (4) Lala Balwant Rai Tayal;

COMMITTEES

BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

(i) Rules Committee (Nominated on the 9th February, 1970 under Rule 33(1) and (3)):-

- (1) Brig. Ran Singh- Ex-Officio Chairman.
- (2) Shri bansi lal, Chief Minister;
- (3) Shrimati Om Prabha jain, Finance Minister;
- (4) Shri Ram Saran Chand Mittal; Members
- (5) Rao Birender Singh, Member
- (6) Malik Mukhtiar Singh, Member

LIBRARY COMMITTEE

Nominated on the 23rd March, 1970 under rule 266

1. Sardar Harpal Singh Chairman
2. Ch. Abdul Razzaq Khan Member
3. Shri Bhagwan Dass Sehagal Do
4. Ch. Narian Singh Do
5. Dr. Rameswar Dass Gupta Do.
6. Shri Shyam Chan Do.

RULES COMMITTEE

Nominated on the 23rd March, 1970 under rule 254

- i. Brig Ran Singh, speaker-Ex-officio Chairman
- ii. Shri Bansi lal Chief Minister
- iii. Smt. Om Prabha Jain, Finance Minister
- iv. Rao Birender Singh Member
- v. Ch. Chand Ram Do
- vi. Malik Muhtiar Singh Do
- vii. Ch. Ranbhir Singh Do.
- viii. Shri Shanti Parshad Jaiswal. Do.

Special Invitees

- a. Smt. Lekhwati Jain, Deputy speaker
- b. Mahant Ganga Sagar, M.L.A.
- c. Ch. Sarup Singh, M.L.A.

COMMITTEE ON SUBORDINATE LEGISLATION

Nominated on the 23rd March, 1970 under rule 265-B

- | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| 1. Ch. Chand Ram | Chairman |
| 2. Shri Daya Krishan | Member |
| 3. Chaudhri Jai Singh Rathi | Do. |
| 4. Smt. Parssani Devi | Do |
| 5. Ch Raj Singh Dalal | Do |
| 6. Smt. Shakuntala | Do |
| 7. Smt Sharda Rani Kanwar | Do |
| 8. Advocate General | Do. |

COMMITTEE ON PRIVILEGES

- (1) Shri Ram Saran Chand metal, Chairman.
- (2) Shri Banarsi Dass Guopta, Member.

- (3) Rao Birender Singh, Member
- (4) Shri Fateh Chand Vij, Member
- (5) Mahant Ganga sagar ,Member
- (6) Subedar Prabhu Singh, Member
- (7) Ch Ranbhir Singh, Member
- (8) Rajkumari Sumitra Devi, Member
- (9) Ch Surjit Singh, Member

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

Nominated on the 23rd March, 1970 under rule 261

1. Lala Balwant Rai Tayal, Chairman.
2. Ch. Bhajan Lal, member.
3. Shri Hari Singh Yadav, Member
4. Shri Kishori Lal, Member
5. Shri Mangal Sein, Member
6. Ch. Narian Singh, Member
7. Ch. Partap sing (Daltpur), Member
8. Ch. Pokar Ram Godara, Member
9. Ch Sarup singh, Member

2. Elected by the Sabha

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE

(On the 4th March, 1970 under rule 250)

1. Abdul Razzaq Gaffar Khan, Chairman
2. Rao Dalip Singh, Member
3. Ch Harkishan Lal Kamboj, Member
4. Shri Kartar Singh Chohokar, Member
5. Dr. Malik Chand Ghambhir, Member
6. Shri Om Parkash garg, Member
7. Ch raj Singh Dalal, Member
8. Shri Satya Narian Syngol, Member
9. Shri SP. Jaiswal, member

COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES

(On the 4th March, 1970 under rule 251)

1. Smt Chandervati, Chairman
2. Ch. Amir chand Kakkar, Member
3. Major Amir Singh, Member
4. Ch. Hari singh Saini, Member
5. Shri Kamal dev Kapil, Member

6. Ch. Prabhu Ram, member
7. Shri prem such Dass, Member
8. Ch. Rajinder Singh, Member
9. Malik Satram dass Batra., Member

GOVERNOR'S ADDRESS

The Governor addressed the Vidhan Sabha on Thursday the 13th February, 1970 at 2.00 P.M.

The Speaker reported this fact and laid a copy of the Address before the Sabha at its meeting held on the 13th February, 1970 at 3.30 P.M.

The Motion of thanks on the Governor's address was also moved on the 16th February, 1970, by Chaudhri Ranbhir Singh and seconded by Shri Ram Saran Chand Mital.

The Motion was discussed-

On the 16th February, 1970: for 187 minutes
(Treasury 119 minutes and Opposition 68 minutes)

On the 17th February, 1970: for 198 minutes
(Treasury 85 minutes and Opposition 113minutes)

On the 18th February, 1970: for 250 minutes
(Treasury 156 minutes and Opposition 94 minute)

Eighteen Members took part in the discussion 9 from the Treasury and 9 From the Opposition Benches, speaking for 360 minutes and 275 minutes respectively.

Two amendments from the Opposition Benches was moved to the Motion. The amendment was lost and the motion was carried on the 18th February, 1970.

It was communicated by the Speaker to the Governor the same day, whose acknowledgement was read out to the House on the 20th February, 1970.

REFERENCES

1. References to the deaths of the late Shri P.H. Patwardhan, a veteran freedom-fighter of Ahmednagar, Shri P.V. Cherian, former Governor of Maharashtra, Sardar Gurmukh Nihal Singh, former governor of Rajasthan Prof. D.C. Sharma, M.P., Punjab Shri Roop Lal Mehta, M.L.A., Haryana Prof. Humayun Kabir, Member of Parliament and former Union Minister Smt. Violet Alva, ex-Deputy Chairman of Rajya Sabha. Sardar Darshan Singh Pheruman was made on the 13th February, 1970 by the Leader of the House, Leader of the Opposition and the representative of the various groups. The Speaker also associated himself with the sentiments expressed. All the members stood in silence for one minute as a mark of respect to the Memory of the deceased. The Chief Minister also moved the following resolution:-

2. On the 20th February, 1970, reference to the death of late Ch. Mohammad Yasin Khan, ex-M.L.A. was made by the Leader of the House and Member of Various parties. The Speaker stood in silence for one minute as a mark of respect to the memory of the deceased.

Message of condolence and Sympathy on behalf of the Vidhan Sabha were sent as under:-

Sr.	Name of the deceased	Name of Person/ authority whom the message of condolence was sent
1	References to the deaths of the late Shri P.H. Patwardhan, a veteran freedom fighter of Ahmednagar.	The Chief Secretary to Government, Gujarat, Ahmadabad
2	Shri P.V. Cherian, former Governor of Maharashtra	The Chief Secretary to Government, Maharashtra, Bombay
3	Sardar Gurmukh Nihal Singh, former governor of Rajasthan	Sardar Gurmukh Nihal Singh, C, 193, Defence Colony, New Delhi
4	Prof. D.C. Sharma, M.P., Punjab	The Chief Secretary to Government, Punjab, Chandigarh
5	Shri Roop Lal Mehta, M.L.A., Haryana	Mrs Roop Lal Mehta, Mehta Bhawan, Palwal, District Gurgaon
6	Prof. Humayun Kabir, Member of Parliament and former Union Minister	Prof. Humayun Kabir, 54-Ganesh Chandra Avenue, Calcutta-13
7	Smt. Violet Alva, ex-Deputy Chairman of	The Secretary Rajya Sabha Parliament House, New Delhi

	Rajya Sabha.	
8	Sardar Darshan Singh Pheruman	The Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar
9	Chaudhru Mohammad Yasin Khan	The Deputy Commissioner, Gurgaon

FINANCIAL BUSSINESS

SUPPLEMENTRY ESTIMATES (SECOND INSTALLMENT) 1969-70

- (i) Supplementary Estimates Second installment) 1969-70 were presented to the Sabha on 16th February, 1970 and discussed and voted upon on the 20 February, 1970

There were 22 demands in all totaling a sum Rupees 6,00,61,767.

As desired by the House a general discussion was permitted on the Supplementary Estimates.

All the demands were carried after guillotine. Two members from the Opposition and two members from the Treasury Benches including the Finance Minister participated in the debate speaking for 90 minutes and 25 minutes respectively.

- (ii) The Haryana Appropriation Bill, 1970- The Haryana Appropriation Bill, 1970 (Bill No. 22-HLA of 1970), in respect of the Supplementary Demands granted by the Sabha on the 20th February, 1970, was introduced on the 23rd February, 1970

It was before the House for 180 minutes, 11 members including the Finance Minister took part in the discussion, 6 from the Opposition benches and 5 from the Treasury Benches, speaking for 100 minutes and 80 minutes respectively.

The Bill was passed.

I. BUDGET

The Budget Estimates for the year 1970-71 were represented by the Finance Minister on the 24th February, 1970.

1. General Discussion

The General Discussion on the Budget took place on the 25th

And 26th February, 1970 for 159 minutes (Treasury 56 minutes and opposition 103 minutes) and 186 minutes. (Treasury 115 minutes and Opposition 71 minutes) respectively

The total time taken by the Treasury Benches including the Finance Minister was 171 minutes and by Opposition 174 minutes. The total of members who

participated was 12. (6 from the Treasury Benches 6 from the Opposition).

2. Demands for Grants

There were in all 49 demands for Grants totaling a sum of Rs. 2,24,15,72,238.

All the demands were deemed to have read and moved in the House on the 27th February, 1970 (Morning Sitting). The Speaker permitted the discussion on all the Demands for Grants and requested the Members to indicate the number of Demands on which any Member wished to raise discussion.

Four members from the Opposition and 4 from the Treasury benches (including the Labour Minister) spoke for 57 minutes and 58 minutes respectively.

Guillotine was applied at 12.30 p.m. and thereafter all the demands were put by one and carried.

3. The Haryana Appropriation (no. 2) Bill, 1969.

The Haryana Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1970 (Bill No. 15 -HLA of 1970) respect of the demands voted by the Sabha on the 27th February 1969, (Morning Sitting) was introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting)

It was before the House for 109 minutes, Five Members from the Treasury Benches included the Finance Minister, participated in the debate speaking for 109 minutes.

The Bill was passed.

LEGISLATION

The Sabha considered Legislative Business on the 23rd February, 1970, 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting) 12th February, 1969 (Evening Sitting). the Sabha passed 16 Bills including two Appropriation Bills in respect of the Supplementary Estimates Second Installment for the year 1969-70, and the Budget for the year 1970-71.

One Non-Official Bill, namely, the Punjab pre-emption (Haryana Repeal) Bill 1970, was introduced on the 19th February, 1970. This Bill could not be taken up and, therefore, is pending. (See Table II).

Table

S r	Title of the Bill	Minis ter intro ducin g	Date of Intro ducti on.	Date of Consi derati on	Nu mbe r of Spe ech es	Ti me tak en in mi nut es		Amen dmen ts propo sed	Amen dmen ts not move d	Amen dmen ts carri ed	Tot al tim e tak en for the disp osal in min ute s incl udi ng the tim e	Dat e of pas sin g
--------	-------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	--	--	------------------------------------	--	-----------------------------------	---	--------------------------------

															tak en for stat ing the mot ions	
					T	O	T	O	T	O	T	O	T	O		
1	The Haryan a approp riation bill, 1970	Finan ce Minis ter	23-2- 70	23-2- 70	5	6	80	1							180	23- 2- 70
2	The Haryan a Approp	Finan ce Minis	27-2- 70 (Even ing	27-2- 70 (Eveni ng	5		10 9								109	27- 2- 70 (Ev

	riation (No. 2) Bill, 1970	ter	Sittin g)	Sittin g)											eni ng Sitt ing)
3) The Punjab State Legisla ture (Preven tion of disqual ificatio n) Haryan a Amend ment Bill, 1970	Finan ce Minis ter	27-2- 70 (Even ing Sittin g	27-2- 70 (Eveni ng Sittin g					9		9			2	27- 2- 70 (Ev eni ng Sitt ing

4	The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970	Finance Minister	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting)	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting)					2			2	2	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting)
5	The Punjab	Finance	27-2-70	27-2-70	1		1		1			1	3	27-2-

	Legislative Assembly(allowances of members (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970	Minister	(Evening Sitting	(Evening Sitting										70 (Evening Sitting
6	The Haryana Salaries Allowan	Finance Minister	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting					5		5		2	27-2-70 (Evening

	Bill, 1970													
10	The Punjab Passengers and Goods Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970	Finance Minister	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting)	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting)									2	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting)
11	The Punjab Co-operative	Health and Development	27-2-70 (Evening)	27-2-70 (Evening)				12		12			2	27-2-70 (Ev

	e Societies (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970.	nt Minister	Sitting	Sitting											eni ng Sitting
1 2	The Haryana a Board of School Education Bill, 1970	Health and Development Minister	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting				26		26				2	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting

13	The Punjab Motor Vehicle Taxation (Haryana amendment Bill, 1970.	Finance Minister	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting									2	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting
14	The Punjab professional, Trades, Callings and	Finance Minister	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting				11		11			2	27-2-70 (Evening Sitting

	Amend ment) Bill, 1970													
1 6	The Punjab General Sales Tax (Haryana a Amend ment) Bill, 1970.	Finan ce Minis ter	27-2- 70 (Even ing Sittin g	27-2- 70 (Eveni ng Sittin g									2	27- 2- 70 (Ev eni ng Sitt ing

TABLE II

Sr. No	Title of the Bill	Date of introduction	Stage of the Bill
1	The Punjab Pre-emption (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970	19th February, 1970	Not yet considered.

**SYNOPSIS OF BILLS PASSED BY THE HARYANA
VIDHAN SABHA DURING THE BUDGET SESSIONS,
1970**

**1. The Haryana Appropriation Bill, 1970.
(Introduced on the 23rd February, 1970; passed on the
23rd February, 1970)**

This bill authorizes payment and appropriation of certain further sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Haryana for the services of the financial year ending on the thirty first day of March, 1970.

**2. The Haryana Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1970.
(Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting);
passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting))**

This Bill provides for Appropriation of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Haryana for the financial year ending on the thirty-first day of March, 1971

**3. The Punjab State Legislature (Prevention of
disqualification) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1970.**

**(Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting);
passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting))**

This Bill replaces the ordinance which was promulgated to amend the principal Act to declare that the office of the Chairman of Minor Irrigation Works (Tube wells) Corporation and the office of the Chairman of Agri-Industries Corporation, held by a member of the Haryana State Legislative Assembly in so far as it is an office of profit under the Government of the Haryana, shall not disqualify the holder thereof for being chosen as, or for being, a member of the Haryana State Legislature.

4. The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970 (Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting); passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting)).

This Bill replaces the ordinance which was promulgated to amend the Principal Act so as to enhance conveyance allowance payable to the Deputy Speaker from two thousand and four hundred rupees to six hundred rupees per annum or, in lieu thereof, a State car, the expenses on the maintenance and propulsion of which shall be borne by the State Government irrespective of the said pecuniary limit. The Bill also provides that the Salaries and allowances of the

Speaker and Deputy speaker shall be exclusive of income-tax which (tax) shall be borne by the State Government.

5. The Punjab Legislative Assembly (allowances of members (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970 (Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting); passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting))

This Bill replaces the ordinance which was promulgated to amend the parent Act to entitle the Leader of the Opposition to draw salary of one thousand rupees per mensem in addition to other allowances payable under the parent Act and to provide him with a free furnished at Chandigarh or an allowance of three hundred rupees per mensem in lieu thereof and a personal assistant and a peon.

6. The Haryana Salaries Allowances of ministers Bill, 1970. (Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting); passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting))

This Bill replaces the ordinance which was promulgated to consolidate the provisions of the East Punjab Ministers Salaries Act, 1947 and the Salaries Allowances of Deputy minister, Punjab Act, 1956 and to provide further facility to the minister and the Deputy Minister who have been

allowed salary and allowances, free furnished house and a state car allowance in lieu thereof, exclusive of income tax which shall be borne by the State Government.

7. The Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharges) Bill, 1970 (Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting); passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting))

This Bill replaces the ordinance which was promulgated to empower the State Government for the purpose of levying and collecting an additional surcharge at the rate of 50 per cent of the Land Revenue payable by a land owner for a period of the 5 year from Kharif, 1969.

8. The Punjab Town Improvement (Haryana Amendment and Validation) Bill, 1970 (Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting); passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting))

This Bill replaces the ordinance which was promulgated to amend the principal Act for the purpose of reconstitution of defunct improvement trusts in the State of the Haryana.

9. The Motor Vehicle (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970(Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting); passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting)

This Bill replaces the ordinance which was promulgated to authorize the Regional Transport Authority to issue temporary permits of the routes specified in the schemes relating to the nationalization of passengers road transport services published under section 68-C of the Motor Vehicle act, 1939, and to empower the State Government to delegate the powers exercisable by it under Chapter IV of the said Act to any authority or Gazetted officer subordinate to it.

10. The Punjab Passengers and Goods Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970 (Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting); passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting)

This Bill replaces the ordinance which was promulgated to amend the principal Act to enhance the rate of passengers and good tax from 35 percent to 40 per cent. It also amends sub-section (2) of Section 14A of the parent Act so as to provide that, instead of the Excise and Taxation Officer, an Officer not below in rank than Assistant Excise and Taxation Officer shall be Competent to impose the penalty under sub-section (1) of the Section.

11. The Punjab Co-operative Societies (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970. (Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting); passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting))

This Bill replaces the ordinance which was promulgated to amend the principal Act to empower the registrar to constitute committees for each of such societies with a maximum strength of eleven, out of whom not less than one-third were to be the shareholder of such societies. The Committee, if constituted by the Registrar, was to function for a period of one year or till such period a committee was constituted in accordance with the provisions of the said Act, Rules and Bye-laws whichever expired earlier. The State Government was also empowered to extend the period of one year to two years.

12. The Haryana Board of School Education Bill, 1970. (Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting); passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting))

This Bill replaces the ordinance which was promulgated to amend the principal act to provide for the dissolution of the previous Board and for establishing a new Board consisting of ex-officio and nominated members instead of elected ones and empowering the State Government to appoint a Secretary of the Board, etc.

13. The Punjab Motor Vehicle Taxation (Haryana amendment Bill, 1970. (Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting); passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting))

This Bill amends the principal Act to allow the owners of vehicles a rebate at the rate of five per centum on the aggregate amount of the tax payable.

14. The Punjab professional, Trades, Callings and Employment Taxation (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970. (Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting); passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting))

This Bill replaces the ordinance which was promulgated to exempt every person from liability to pay the tax under the principal act who, in any local area is liable to pay a similar tax local authority to the extent of his liability to pay such tax to such authority.

15. The Punjab Instrument (Control of Noises) Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970 (Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting); passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting))

This Bill amends the principal Act to increase the fee for obtaining permission to operate an instrument from five rupees to seven and fifty paise per day or part thereof.

16. The Punjab General Sales Tax (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970. (Introduced on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting); passed on 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting))

This Bill replaces the ordinance which was promulgated to amend the principal Act to make certain charges in the stage of taxation on cotton and cotton seeds for the convenience of the trade and administration. It also empower the State Government to fix headquarters of the Tribunal at such place as the State Government may by notification and make slight modification in the qualifications for appointments as Member of the Tribunal.

RESOLUTIONS

OFFICIAL

On the 27th February, the following Resolution was moved by the Irrigation and Power minister and was carried:-

“This House Approves, under sub-section (3) of Section 65 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (Central Act 54 of 1948), fixation by the State Government of a higher

maximum amount of thirty crores of rupees which the Haryana State electricity Board may at any time have on loan under sub-section (1) of that section.”

NON-OFFICIAL

1.	Name of notices received	Treasury Benches	1
		Opposition Benches	13
2.	Number admitted	Treasury Benches	NIL
		Opposition Benches	12
3.	Number disallowed	Treasury Benches	NIL
		Opposition Benches	Nil
4.	Number referred back	Treasury benches	1
		Opposition Benches	1
5.	Number renewed	Treasury Benches	4
		Opposition Benches	Nil

6.	Number of resolution not dealt with because of the decision that not more than one Resolution of a member shall be admitted for one Non-official day		Nil
7.	Total Number of Resolution for ballot	Treasury Benches	NIL
		Opposition Benches	16
8.	Number of ballots held		2
9.	Dates on which ballots held		
		26 th February, 1970	
10.	Dates on which business other than Government Business was transacted		

Notes:- Resolutions balloted for the 26th February, 1970 could not be taken up in view of the motion moved by the chief Ministers and carried in the house that Rule 30 of the Rules and Procedure and Conduct of the Business be suspended and Government Business be transacted on Thursday, on the 26th February, 1970.

RESOLUTIONS

On Thursday, the 19th February, 1970 Shri Fateh Chand Vij M.L.A., moved that-

“In View of the Industrial backwardness of Haryana, this House recommends to the Government to approach the Central Government that the following concessions should be allowed to all the Industries coming up during the five year period commencing from April, 1970.

5. Relief from Income Tax;
6. Relief in the Central Sales Tax;
7. Placing of Sufficient funds at the disposal of the State by the central Government for loan to the Industries;
8. Easy availability of raw material at reasonable rates; and
9. Priority for amenities required for the industries.

The Resolution was discussed by the House for 175 minutes

Five members from the opposition and four members from the Treasury Benches, including the mover took part in the

discussion and spoke for 122 minutes and 51 minutes respectively.

The Resolution was talked out.

PAPERS PRESENTED/LAID/RE-LAID

Sr.N o.	By whom presented / laid	Date on which presented/l aid	Subject
1	Speaker	13th February, 1970	Governor's Address under rule 18 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct business in the Punjab Legislative Assembly.
2	Secretary	16th February, 1970	Statement showing the Bills which were passed by the Haryana Legislative during its (Budget) Session, August 1969 and which had since been assented to by the Governor/President.
3	Chief minister	Ditto	The East Punjab Minister's Salaries Haryana Amendment Ordinance 1969. (Haryana Ordinance No. 6 of 1969
4	Chief minister	Ditto	The Punjab Legislative Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries Haryana

			Amendment ordinance, 1969. (Haryana Ordinance No. 7 of 1969)
5	Finance minister	Ditto	The Punjab Passenger and Goods Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Ordinance, 1969 (Haryana Ordinance No. 8 of 1969)
6	Finance minister	Ditto	The Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharge) Amendment ordinance, 1969. (Haryana Ordinance No. 9 of 1969)
7	Health and Development minister	Ditto	The Punjab Co-operative Societies (Haryana Second amendment) Ordinance, 1969 (Haryana Ordinance No. 10 of 1969)
8	Finance minister	Ditto	The Punjab General Sales Tax (Haryana Amendment) Ordinance, 1969 (Haryana Ordinance No. 11 of 1969)
9	Chief ministers	Ditto	The Punjab State Legislature (Prevention of disqualification) (Haryana Amendment) Ordinance,

			1969 (Haryana Ordinance No. 12 of 1969)
10	Irrigation and Power minister	Ditto	The Motor Vehicles, (Haryana Amendment) Ordinance, 1969 (Haryana Ordinance No. 13 of 1969)
11	Health and Development minister	Ditto	The Punjab Town Improvement (Haryana Amendment) and validation Ordinance, 1970 (Haryana Ordinance No. 1 of 1970)
12	Chief minister	Ditto	The Punjab Legislative Assembly (Allowances of Member (Haryana Amendment) Ordinance, 1970 (Haryana Ordinance No. 2 of 1970)
13	Chief minister	16th February, 1970	The Haryana Salaries and Allowances of Minister Ordinance, 1970 (Haryana Ordinance No. 3 of 1970)
14	Health and Development minister	Ditto	The Haryana Board Of School Education (Haryana Amendment) Ordinance, 1970 (Haryana Ordinance No. 4 of 1970)

15	Finance minister	Ditto	<p>A copy each of the following notification issued under section 7 of the Haryana Official Language Act, 1969:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No. 454-EDI/Lg.69/2136, dated the 20th January, 1969 2. No. 485-EDI/Lg.-69/2132, dated the 20th January, 1969 3. No. 484-EDI/Lg.-69/2140, dated the 20th January, 1969 4. No. 11087-Ed-I/Lg/69/21532, dated the 9th September, 1969 5. No. 10649-Ed-I/Lg-69/28230, dated 18th November, 1969 6. No. 10562-Ed-I/Lg/69/21584, dated the 2nd September, 1969 7. No. 55-Ed-I/Lg/69/301, dated the 12th January, 1969
----	------------------	-------	---

			<p>8. No. 1981-Ed-I/Lg/69/719, dated the 12th March 1969</p> <p>9. No. 55-Ed-I/Lg/70/2724, dated the 29th January, 1970</p>
16	Irrigation and Power minister	16th February, 1970	The Annual Financial Statement (Budget Estimates) of the Haryana State Electricity Board for the year, 1969-70 as required under section 61 of the electricity (Supply) Act, 1948.
17	Labour ministers	Ditto	The Sixth Annual Report of the Punjab Agricultural University Ludhiana, for the year, 1967-68.
18	Finance minister	Ditto	The Finance Account of the Government of Haryana for the year, 1967-68.
19	Irrigation and Power minister	Ditto	(i) Notification No. G.S.R.6/C.A.4/39/S S.24, 41 and 133/Amd, (1)/69, dated the 10th January, 1969, and;

			(ii) Notification No. G.S.R.20/C.A.4/39/S.21/Amd, (1)/69, dated the 4th February, 1969, and
20	Chief minister	Ditto	the annual Report on Working of the Punjab Steel and Alloy Castings Ltd., Chandigarh, for the year, 1966, 1967, 1968 and 1969 respectively as required under section 619 A of the Companies Act, 1956
21	Finance minister	Ditto	Supplementary Estimates (Second Installment) for the year 1969-70.
22	Finance minister	20th February, 1970	The Appropriation Accounts of the Government of Haryana for the year 1968 and Audit Report, 1970
23	Finance minister	20th February, 1970	The Finance Accounts of the Government of Haryana for the year 1968-69
24	Finance minister	24th February, 1970	The Budget for the year 1970-71

25	Chief minister	25th February, 1970	The Annual Report of the Punjab State Small industries Corporation Ltd., for the year 1967-68
----	-------------------	---------------------------	--

REPORTS PRESENTED/LAID

Sr.	By Whom presented	Date on which presented/laid	Subject
1	Chairman Committee on Estimate	16th February, 1970	Report of the Estimates Committee on the Supplementary Estimates (2nd Installment) for the year 1969-70
2	Finance Minister	16th February, 1970	The Finance Accounts of the Government of Haryana for the year 1967-68
3	Finance Minister	20th February, 1970	The Appropriation Accounts of the Government of Haryana for the year 1968 and Audit Report, 1970
4	Finance Ministers	27th February, 1970	The Finance Accounts of the Government of Haryana for the year 1968-69
5	Chairman Public Accounts	27th February, 1970 (Evening)	2nd Report of the Public Accounts Committee for the year 1969-70, on the Appropriate Accounts of

	Committee	Sitting)	the committee Punjab Government for the year 1964-65 and 1965-66 and the Audit Reports, 1966 and 1967, in so far as these relate to areas now for forming part of the Haryana State and Appropriation Accounts of the Haryana Government for the year 1966-67 and 1967-68 and the Audit Reports, 1968 and 1969.
6	Pandit Ram Dhari Gaur	27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting)	Second Report of the Committee on governor assurances for the year 1969-70
7	Chairman Committee on estimates	27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting)	Second Report of the Committee on Estimates on the Budget Estimates for the year 1969-70
8	Chaudhri Chand Ram	27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting)	Second Report of the Committee on Subordinate Legislation.

ADJOURNMENT MOTION

Notice of one Adjournment Motion was received on the 4th February, 1970 and same was disallowed and a reference for disallowing the said motion was made in the sitting of the house dated the 16th February, 1970

Sr	Date of the Motion	Date when taken up	Member giving notice	Subject	Decision
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	4th February, 1970		Shri Mangal Sein	Lathi charge and firing by the Haryana Government on demon strators against the Centre's decision on Chandigarh.	The Speaker disallowed the motion on the 16th February, 1970 on the ground that the firing by police, use of lathi or tear gas, arrest of leaders of strike etc. for the maintenance of peace tranquility does not form the subject-matter of an

					<p>adjournment Motion.</p> <p>Moreover, Member will have ample opportunity to raise discussion during discussion on Governor's Address and General discussion on Budget etc.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

QUESTIONS OF PRIVILEGE

Sr	Date of the Motion	Subject Matter	Member/Members giving notice	Remarks
1	20th February, 1970	Regarding two alleged contradictory statements made by the chief	Shri Mangal Sein, M.L.A.	On the 24th February, 1970, the hon. Speaker refused to give his consent to the question of Privilege being raised on the ground that there was no

		minister.		contradiction between the two Statements.
2	26th February, 1970	Regarding the alleged posting of Haryana C.I.D. People by the Government in the new M.L.A.s' Hostel, Vidhan Sabha, etc.	Shri Mangal Sein, M.L.A.	The Speaker observed that he would examine the matter. On the 27th February, 1970, the Speaker observed that the matter had been referred by him to the Government to ascertain the exact position in this regard to enable him to arrive at a decision and on hearing from the government he would give his decision on this notice.
3	26th February, 1970	Regarding the alleged arrest person caught red-handed while attempting to steal certain	Shri Jai Singh Rathi, M.L.A.	The Speaker deferred his ruling as the matter was yet under his examination. On the 27th February, 1970 the Speaker refused to give his consent on the ground that the intruder

		confidential papers from the room occupied by Shri Jai Singh Rathi, M.L.A., in his brother's house.		had already been arrested by the Police and the law will take its own course.
4	26th February, 1970	Regarding the alleged arrest of two persons from within the very precincts' of the Haryana Vidhan Sabha on 25th February, 1970 when the House had pardoned them for throwing leaflets in the Vidhan Sabha from the visitors' Gallery and for raising	Mahant Ganga Sagar, M.L.A.	The Speaker observed that he would himself enquire into the matter and let the House know the result of his enquiry. He also requested the chief Minister to find from his side whether they had been arrested, if so, for what reasons. On the 27th February, 1970 the speaker informed the House that it would not be possible for him to give his ruling without the receipt of the information from the Leader of

		Slogans.		the House.
--	--	----------	--	------------

OTHER MOTIONS

Sr	Minister/Member moving	Moved	Discussed on	Subject-matter	Decision/Remarks
1	Ch. Ranbir Singh	16th February, 1970	16th, 17th, 18th February, 1970	Motion of Thanks for the address delivered by the Governor on the 13th February, 1970	Carried on 18th February, 1970
2	Chief Minister	25th February, 1970	25th February, 1970	Suspension of rule 30 and transaction of Government business on Thursday, the 26th February,	Carried

				1970	
3	Chief Minister	27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting	27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting	Motion under Rule 15 that the proceedings on the items of business fixed for 27th February, 1970 be exempted at that day's sitting from the provisions of the Rule Sittings of the Assembly indefinitely.	Carried
4	Chief Minister	27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting	27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting	Adjournment of the Sabha Sine-die.	Carried

CALL ATTENTION NOTICE UNDER RULE 73

Sr	Members/Members calling attention	Date on which received	Subject matter	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5
1	Shri Mangal Sein, Shri Ganga Sagar Mahant, Lala Balwant Rai Tayal	16th February, 1970	Regarding arrest of distinguished persons on the 15th February, 1970 at Sonapat who were holding a meeting peacefully	The Chief minister made a statement on 19th February, 1970
2	Shri Daya Krishan	16th February, 1970	Regarding State-wide disturbances consequent upon the announcement of the decision on Chandigarh and the failure of the Government in not making timely and suitable arrangements for the maintenance of law and order	The Chief minister made a statement on 19th February, 1970

			in the state.	
3	Shri Daya Krishan	16th February, 1970	Regarding dissatisfaction among the teachers in the State consequently adversely affecting the students and the administration.	The Health and development minister made a statement on 19th February, 1970
4	Major Amir Singh Chaudhri	16th February, 1970	Regarding alleged beating of the members of the Gram Panchayat including the Sarpanch and some elders of Sohuwas Tehsil Dadri.	Ruled out of order on the 18th February, 1970 on the ground that a magisterial inquiry in the matter is in progress and the matter is sub-judice.
5	Shri Fateh Chand Vij	16th February, 1970	Regarding the non-compliance of the provisions of Minimum Wage Act by the Khadi ashram, Panipat and the consequent of hunger-	The Labour minister made a statement on 19th February, 1970

			strike.	
6	Shri Mangal Sein	17th February, 1970	Regarding the alleged imposition of 80 per cent cut in the Staff of the Languages Department.	Ruled out of the order on the 18th February, 1970 on the ground that it relates purely to the terms and condition of the service of the employees and further the Hon'ble Member will have ample opportunity for debating this issue during the course of discussion on Governor's Address and the Budget.
7	Shri Mangal Sein, Shri Makik Chand	18th February, 1970	Regarding arrest of leaders and students of Haryana at	The Chief minister stated that a

	Ghambhir		Sonepat on the 15th February, 1970 after their release on bail from Rohtak Jail.	magisterial enquiry had already been ordered and the Hon'ble member felt satisfied with this statement.. However, the chief minister agreed to make an enquiry
8	Shri Makik Chand Ghambhir	18th February, 1970	Regarding an alleged incident of firing at Jagadhri.	Ruled out of order on the 23rd February, 1970 on the ground that a magisterial enquiry has already been ordered by the Government into the incident.
9	Shri Daya Krishan	18th February, 1970	Regarding the non supply of diet to indoor patients at	The Health and development

			Ranbir Hospital, Jind and also for the non-posting of a qualified Surgeon.	minister made a statement on the 23rd February, 1970.
10	Shri Randhir Singh	19th February, 1970	Regarding the absence of any provision regarding the allotment of the quarters to dais, Health Inspector and Sanitary Inspectors working in the family Planning Scheme.	Ruled out of order on the 20th February, 1970 on the ground that the matter was not of recent occurrence and moreover it was matter on continuing nature.
11	Shri Mangal Sein	19th February, 1970	Regarding the restlessness amongst the traders on accounts of mal-treatment of the Haryana Government.	Ruled out of the order 20th February, 1970 as the matter was not of urgent nature.
12	Shri Makik Chand	20th February,	Regarding the vacancies of 37 posts of Assistant Medical	The minister for Health and

	Ghambhir	1970	Officers and 103 Posts of Lady Doctor in different dispensaries.	development minister made a statement on 25th February, 1970
13	Shri Makik Chand Ghambhir	20th February, 1970	Regarding the alleged incident of firing resorted to by the police on 31st January, 1970 at Jagadhri.	Ruled out of an order on 23rd February, 1970 on the ground that a magisterial inquiry has been ordered into the incident.
14	Rao Birender Singh	20th February, 1970	Regarding the alleged involvement of some ministers of Haryana Government Chars Scandal.	After ascertaining the position from the Government, the Speaker ruled the motion out of the order on 27th February, 1970 on that ground that the neither any case of

				alleged chars Scandal stood registered in the State of Haryana against any Minister nor had any information regarding the involvement of any Haryana minister in Chars Scandal been received by the State Government from any other Government of agency out side the State.
15	Major Amir Singh Chaudhri	23rd February, 1970	Regarding winding up of all social welfare Centers set up in Mohindergarh District.	Ruled out of the order 25th February, 1970 on the ground that it

				did not relate to a matter of urgent public importance and the member would have ample opportunity to raise this matter during the general discussion on budget.
16	Shri Daya Krishan	23rd February, 1970	Regarding general strike in the cities and towns of Haryana on 21st February, 1970 against the anti-Bseopar policy of the Government.	Ruled out of the order 25th February, 1970 on the ground that it did not relate to a matter of urgent public importance and the member would have ample opportunity to raise this matter during

				the general discussion on budget.
17	Shri Mangal Sein, Shri Malik Chand Ghambhir, Shri Bhagwan Das Sehgal	23rd February, 1970	Regarding the alleged irregularities in the recruitment of the Staff by the Market Committees and the Non-holding of the elections to the Market Committee by the Government.	Ruled out of the order 26th February, 1970 on the ground that it did not relate to a matter of urgent public importance and concerned the day-to-day working of the market Committee.
18	Shri Mangal Sein	23rd February, 1970	Regarding the alleged involvement of some Minister of Haryana Government in a Charas Scandal.	After ascertaining the position from the Government, the Speaker ruled the motion out of the order on 27th

				<p>February, 1970 on that ground that the neither any case of alleged chars Scandal stood registered in the State of Haryana against any Minister nor had any information regarding the involvement of any Haryana minister in Chars Scandal been received by the State Government from any other Government of agency out side the State.</p>
--	--	--	--	--

19	Shri Daya Krishan	25th February, 1970	Regarding the alleged illegal transfer of panchayat land through collusive decrees.	Ruled out of the order 26th February, 1970 on the ground that it did not pertain to a matter of urgent Public importance but is of continuing nature.
20	Shri Mangal Sein	25th February, 1970	Regarding alleged hunger strike by some detenus in District Jail, Rohtak against the revengeful behavior of the Jail authority	Ruled out of the order 27th February, 1970 on the ground that it did not pertain to a matter of urgent nature. Moreover the Hon'ble member to raise discussion on the matter during the discussion on demands relating to

				Jails.
21	Shri Mangal Sein	27th February, 1970	Regarding the restlessness amongst the traders on account of mal-treatment of the Haryana Government.	The notice lapsed on the prorogation of the House on 28th February, 1970

NO-CONFIDENCE MOTION

1. On the 4th February, 1970, a notice of No-Confidence motion was received from Mangal Sein in the following form:-

“The Bansi Lal Government has betrayed the public of Haryana by accepting the decision of the Centre on the Chandigarh issue. Moreover, it has shown extreme barbarity by resorting to lathi charge and firing on the public protesting against its condemnable crime of the said betrayal. Therefore, this House expresses want of confidence in the Council of Ministers headed by Bansi Lal”.

But the above said No-Confidence Motion was admitted by the Honourable Speaker in the following form:-

“This House expresses want of confidence in the Council of Ministers headed by Shri Bansi Lal.”

On the 16th February, 1970, Dr. Mangal Sein withdrew the above said No-Confidence Motion.

2. On the 6th February, 1970, a notice of No-Confidence Motion was received from Sarvshri S.P.Jaiswal, Balwant Rai Tayal, Partap Singh, Joginder Singh and Dr. Mangal Sein in the following form:-

“This House expresses want of confidence in the Council of Ministers headed by Shri Bansi Lal.”

1. Shri Bansi Lal and his Cabinet has failed to comply with the resolution of this House to get Chandigarh for Haryana and obtain full implementation of the Sabha Commission Report.
2. The Chief Minister and his Cabinet has failed to take adequate precautions for the Safety of public property and life in Haryana.
3. That the Chief Minister and his Cabinet is responsible for the want to and killing of students and Janta and use of excessive and indiscriminate force against the peace-loving brave people of Haryana.
4. That the Chief Minister and his Government has let loose a reign of terror, repression and victimization against the people of Haryana and the Members of this August House.
5. The Chief Minister and his Cabinet has lost Confidence of the people and this House”.

On the 16th February, 1970 all members withdrew the above said No-Confidence Motion.

3. On the 27th February, 1970 a No-Confidence Motion was received from Shri Ganga Sagar Mahant and Rao Birender Singh in the following form:-

“That this House expresses its want of Confidence in the Ministry as a whole headed by Shri Bansi Lal.”

The Speaker asked those Members who were in favour of leave being granted to move the motion, to rise in their places. As not less than eighteen members rose, the Speaker declared that the leave had been granted and fixed 3rd March, 1970, as the date for its discussion.

All Members of the opposition staged a walk-out as a protest against the motion ‘that the Assembly at its rising this day shall stand adjourned sine-die’ having been carried particularly when the No-Confidence Motion against the Ministry as a whole had been admitted and fixed for discussion on the 3rd March, 1970.

Thereafter the notice of No-Confidence Motion lapsed on the propagation of the House on the 28th February, 1970.

STATEMENTS(S) BY THE MINISTER(S)

Sr	Date	Made by	Subject matter
1	19th February, 1970	Chief Minister	Arrest of Ch. Rizaq Ram, Babu Jai Kishan etc. at Sonepat
2	19th February, 1970	Chief Minister	Failure of Government in maintaining the law and order in the State after the announcement of the decision on Chandigarh.
3	19th February, 1970	Health and Development minister	Grievances of teachers.
4	19th February, 1970	Agriculture and Labour Minister	Implementation of the provision of the Minimum Wages Act by the management of Khadi Ashram, Panipat.
5	23rd February, 1970	Health and Development minister	Upgrading of Civil Hospital, Jind and supply of diet to indoor patients in the above mentioned hospital.
6	25th	Ditto	Difficulty of public

	February, 1970		caused by the failure of Government to fill 37 posts of Medical Officers and 103 Lady Doctors in Haryana State.
7	25th February, 1970	Irrigation and Power minister.	Electricity rates for agriculturists and Industrial Consumers and Agricultural Tarrif.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENTS OF SPEECHES MADE AND TIME TAKEN THEREON

Number of speeches made by			Time taken by		
Treasury benches		Members of Opposition	Treasury Benches		Members of Opposition
Members (excluding Ministers)	Ministers		Members (excluding Ministers)	Ministers	
31	8	39	H.M. 12.42	H.M. 2.39	H.M. 13.34
39.74%	10.26%	50%	43.92%	9.17%	46.91%

Note:-

1. Observations/Statements/Rulings etc. by Chair and the time taken thereon are not included in the above statement.
2. Questions-hour has been excluded from the statement.
3. Time taken on Divisions, Adjourned Motions, Call Attention Motions, Privileges Motions, Point of Order, Motions in respect of legislation, Personal Explanation etc. is also excluded from the statement.

FIGURES RELATING TO QUESTIONS

1.	Total Number of Sittings of the Sabha	12
2.	Number of Questions (Starred and Unstarred) received	451
3.	Number of Short notice Question received	9
4.	Total number of Sittings at which the Question Hour was dispensed with	Nil
5.	Numbers of members from whom notices were received	23
6.	Total Number of Question to which oral answers were given	@179
7.	Largest Number of Questions orally to which answered were given	9
8.	Least number of Questions orally answered on any one day	1
9.	Total Number of Unstarred Questions replied to	100
10.	Largest number of Questions addressed to any one Minister (Chief Minister)	
11.	Largest numbers of Questions orally answered by any one Minister (Chief Minister)	14
12.	Total number of statements supplied to the	50

	members in reply to their Questions	
--	-------------------------------------	--

QUESTIONS STATEMENT

Quest ions	No. of notic e recei ved	No. Admi tted	NO. disallo wed	NO. of noti ces laps ed	NO. refer red back	N o. not put	No. answ ered	No. postpo ned	No. deem ed to have been answ ered under rule 45	No. of suppleme ntaries put	Remar ks
Starre d	299	262	22	11	4	2	48	18	131	522	
							Tr...1 0		Tr...2 9		
							Opp.. 102		Opp.. 102		

Unstarred	**15 2	147	4		1		100	45			
							Tr..45		Tr..19		
							Opp.. 55		Opp.. 26		
Short Notices	9	3		2	4	3					Including Two unstarred questions which could not be included in any list as the

											sessio ns adjour ned Sine- die on the 27th Feb., 1970
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**Statement showing the number of Starred
Questions answered date-wise**

Date	Treasury	Opposition	Deemed to have been answered under rule 45	Extension granted	Not put
16th February, 1970	2	4	17	3	
17th February, 1970	2	2	17	2	
18th February, 1970	2	7	15	1	
19th February, 1970	1	2	20	2	1
20th February, 1970		4	15	3	
23rd February,	1	4	18	1	1

1970					
24th February, 1970		1	13	1	
25th February, 1970		1	10	2	
26th February, 1970		8	3	1	
27th February, 1970	2	5	3	2	
Total	10	38	131	18	2

**Statements showing starred Questions deemed to
have answered under Rule 45 date-wise**

Date	Treasury	Opposition	Remarks
16th February, 1970	2	15	Extension granted for 3 Question

17th 1970	February,	4	13	Extension granted for two Question
18th 1970	February,	4	11	Extension granted for One Question
19th 1970	February,	6	14	Extension granted for Two Question
20th 1970	February,	5	10	Extension granted for 3 Question
23rd 1970	February,	2	16	Extension granted for One Question
24th 1970	February,	3	10	Extension granted for One Question
25th 1970	February,	2	8	Extension granted for Two Question
26th 1970	February,		3	Extension granted for one Question
27th	February,	1	2	Extension

1970			granted for two Question
Total	29	102	
Grand Total	131	75	

**Statement showing the number of Starred Questions in the
names of different Ministers, date-wise**

Date	Chief Minister	Finance Minister	Irrigation and Power Minister	Health and Development Minister	Agriculture and Labour Minister	Remarks
16th February, 1970	5	6	5	7	3	
17th February, 1970	4	6	2	2	9	
18th February, 1970	5	2	8	6	4	
19th February, 1970	5	4	6	6	5	
20th February, 1970	6	3	4	2	7	
23rd February, 1970	8	5	5	2	5	

24th February, 1970	4	1	1	3	6	
25th February, 1970	6	2		5		
26th February, 1970	1	2	1	5	3	
27th February, 1970	3		3	5	1	
Total	47	31	35	43	43	
Grand Total	199					

Walk-Out(s)

Sr.	Date	Member(s) Walking Out	Occasion
1	16 February, 1970	The entire Opposition	As a protest against the action of the Police at Sonapat on 15th February, 1970

2	18th February, 1970	The entire Opposition	As a protest against the denial of the C.M. for giving assurance to hold judicial enquiry into the firing cases in Haryana.
3	27th February, 1970	The entire opposition excepting Ch. Chand Ram who followed them a few minutes later.	As a protest against the Ruling of the Speaker about the acceptance of motion of adjournment of the house sine-die.

UNPARLIAMENTARY/UNDERSIRABLE EXPRESSIONS

Sr. No.	Expression	Debate Dated
1		13-2-70
2		17-2-70
3		17-2-70
4		17-2-70
5		17-2-70
6		17-2-70
7		19-2-70

OBSERVATIONS MADE BY THE SPEAKER

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 16th February, 1970 in regard to asking of Supplementary Questions.

I think, we have lost lot of time. The loss is entirely yours. If you keep on asking unreasonable supplementaries you will be losing your time and the valuable information that you would be able to get from the Government on various matters. Let us go to the next question.

I personally agree entirely with this suggestion a very good suggestion. I would like to take the sense of the House. We can fix three or six supplementaries to every question.

You may have instead of three.

This will adversely affect the questions which will come on the fixed day. What we will decide is: geneally speaking three questions or at the most five from each s member and then, on important questions, as suggested by Ch. Ranbir singh, the matter rests with me.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 16th February, 1970 in regard to making statements by the Ministers in reply to Call Attention Notices.

For the information of the honorable Members, I read the relevant Rule:

“A Member may, with the previous permission of the speaker, call the attention of a minister to any matter of urgent public importance and the minister may make a brief statement or ask for time to make a statement at a later hour or date.”

So, now on your behalf, I am prepared to ask the Government to make a statement too early as possible. It will however be up to the Minister concerned to decide how soon he can make a statement.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 17th February, 1970 in regarding asking of Supplementary Questions.

You are only going to lessen the weight of what I was going to say. I am asking not only them but to you all also.

For half the time, you members ask reasonable questions. if you carefully on Your Supplementaries, you save the time of the house and if you put better questions, answers

will be given. But if you try to pull their legs, they will also likewise. It takes us nowhere.

The hon. Ministers should come not fully prepared with the questions and hon. Members be reasonable to ask supplementaries and that too a few.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 17th February, 1970 in regarding Language of the answers to the questions.

I think this is a reasonable request.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 16th February, 1970 in regarding the Questions and Answers thereto.

Let me make the position clear. I am quite sure that the fault lies on either side. Firstly, the Questions must be thoroughly prepared and fully answers must be given. Secondly, no lengthy supplementary should be put and only a few really necessary supplementaries should be put. This is what exactly should be done.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 17th February, 1970 in regarding withdrawal of motion to suspend Hon. Members from the rest of the Sittings of the House.

I just want to say one or two things. I have also been apprised of what was said in the House. There is no doubt whatsoever that the manner in which the three words were said, were unparliamentarily. On the one hand, the pitch and the state of mind, in which House is at present, is extremely serious. On the other hand, we are chosen representatives of the people, all seasoned and wise. Most of us have got experience, at least a good number of us. So I would request that let us know say things with fully responsibility and with a view to bringing back the normal atmosphere which we have been having in this House. I am glad to say that during the last three sessions, this being the fourth one; generally speaking the conduct of this House has been an example to the rest of India. So, I would request the hon. Members, who would now like to speak, to brief, not to incite or say anything which will arouse anger of another honorable member, but with a view to sorting this thing out, I am also glad that the leader of the House has said something very reasonable.

I think I must express my gratitude to a number of our hon. Member firstly, the Leader of the House and number two to the hon. Members who moved the motion and withdraw

it, Ch. Ranbir Singh and Ch. Mukhtiar Singh. I really express my thanks for the accommodation they showed to the other colleagues. I am glad that this projected matter ended however. I will advise and request every one of you to be very careful for the words you use on the floor of the House because you have the freedom of speech and you speak and today if you use these words about your colleagues on this side, they will do that tomorrow. We do not have this mugs game.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 18th February, 1970 as to who is to decide whether the disclosure of certain information is in public interest or not.

As to who should decide whether the disclosure thing is in public interest or not is the responsibilities id the Minister concerned. This point wa raised last year also. Secondly, I think deployment of forces is normally kept secret, whether it is Border Security Force or army. In fact, the State may not know either.

It is for the chief minister or the Minister to decide whether a certain thing is in public interest because they know the reason.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 19th February, 1970 about a question relating two departments.

I think this a question which relates to two matters. Firstly, the teachers are under the Minister for education and secondly about their pay, they are dealt with by the finance Department. So, such matters should be dealt with by both the ministers. I think, in this case, it was the duty of the Education Minister to consult the Finance Minister before giving the answer to the question. Mind you, I have no objection whatsoever if such questions are separated. The main thing is that the hon. Members should be able to get their replies to their questions, whether they are given by two ministers or one minister.

That point is that supposing there is question by an honorable member and we have sent it to the Finance Minister. But, the Finance minister thinks that the it is a question which relates to Irrigation and Power Minister In that case , the question should be passed on to the Minister concerned. I know that there have been 4/5 such cases where two departments were mixed up. In that case, I had to split up the two questions and send them to the two ministers concerned. Here, we have to ensure that the hon. Members get proper replies to their questions and also see to the convenience of the Government in giving proper answers. So, there should not be any difficulty on that accounts

Whenever the question of pay of teachers is discussed and decided upon, it is first taken up by the minister concerned with the Finance Minister. Eventually, they both agree. So, actually the basis for a certain pay structure has to be agreed upon both by the finance minister and minister concerned. On the other hand we want a cohesive Government and when a Minister wishes to discuss consult his colleagues, he will of course that. I also agree that if we can facilitate the Government or the various ministers by sending separate question, we should do it.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 20th February, 1970 in regarding the supply of printed answers to members 24 hours before the commencement of the Sitting of the Vidhan Sabha.

I will read out something to you about the decision taken in the Parliament. The matter was considered a number of times and it was decided that the importance of the Question Hour would disappear if printed answer were distributed before hand.

Our rule 55 says-

“Answer to questions which Ministers propose to give in the house shall not be released for publication until the answers have actually been given on the Floor of the House or laid on the Table.”

Now, this point is, it is House that has decided. I am quite sure that there is question of these answers being given hour earlier or even a day earlier. I am only expressing my personal views. A member, when he puts a question, is naturally concerned about it. He knows everything about it but also asks that questions from the Ministers concerned for certain reasons. For example, Major Amir Singh puts questions. His idea is to get certain assurances, etc. from the Ministers. So he is well in picture as to what out of a question. The Minister comes, gets up and gives reply. He knows exactly whether wants to ask. I am afraid the argument that you need the reply 24 hours earlier to ask reasonable supplementaries is not valid. However I don't mind if the House wants it. If you want 6 hours, 2 hours or 24 hours it is for you all the decide.

At the Moment I can assure that I shall follow the practice what we have been doing, i.e. half an hour before. But if the Leader of the House or others ask me to go into it again as the leader of the Opposition has done, I am prepared to find it out from other State legislatures. But I assure you that I shall certainly maintain the practices that we have been following in Punjab and here.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 23rd February, 1970 on the request made by an Hon. Member that reply to a question be read out in the house instead of laying it on the Table.

Incidentally before we proceed further I want to clarify the position about it, i.e. about the oral answers. In fact these should always be read. There is no need to request even. It has happened a number of times that whenever there is such a demand there is a prompt response. Normally when such questions are asked, the answers are read in the House.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 25th February, 1970 about the Authenticity of the statement being made by the chief minister.

He is the leader of the House who is making the statement with full responsibility. On the other side, he is a hon. Member, who is also making a statement. How do I say who is right and who is wrong? After all I must believe both and also say that whatever the Leader of the House has made a statement it must be taken as correct unless the hon. Member can prove to the contrary.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 25th February, 1970 in regarding the right of the members to ask questions on the statements made by the Ministers.

We are governed by two things firstly our rules and secondly, convention we have not allowed any discussion or supplementaries, as far as I remember during the last two years and in Punjab Also, I am told, it is the same.. In any case the rules are being revised and we will follow the same procedure as approved by the house

It appears to me that the rule of our House is also the copy of the Lok Sabha rule. I will read out ok Sabha rule first, which is-

“There shall be no debate on such statement at the time it is made”

Then there is an amendment to it, which is-

“But each s member in whose name the item stands in the list of business may, with the permission of the Speaker, ask a question.”

One question. Now this was amended later. Ours was also a copy of same but has not been amended. I think amendment will come.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 26th February, 1970 in regard to asking the supplementaries.

On the other hand, you are doing my job. So let me please do my own job, But I think it is not a fair a question. The Minister concerned with all the responsibility at her command has assured that she did not find the justification for retaining so many people on various jobs. Secondly, supplementaries are meant elicit information, and not for any suggestions, other things or comments.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 26th February, 1970 in regarding the alleged arrest of two persons whom the House had pardoned for throwing leaflets in the Vidhan Sabha from the Visitor's Gallery.

I have made enquires about this matter and I have been assured in fact I know it, that these two boys who were released from here and they had left this place, were arrested out of 150 yards of the Haryana Vidhan Sabha premises'.

I thin, what I will do is that I will first make sure myself.

Now, as I have already said, this is new aspect and I will go into it. If I have the slightest suspicion, I will have no hesitation to order an enquiry.

Let me please tell the honorable member that no question pertaining to the staff house can be raised on the floor of the House. If the Hon. Members has to say something, he may please come to my Chamber.

I have assured you that I will go into the matter.

I will look into it, if I have the slightest doubt.

Well, you have given me this Chair and the responsibility. I will certainly try my best to carry out that responsibility.

Let me make a preliminary investigation into the matter first.

I think, let me make another suggestion. Here, I can see that of the Hon. Members are quite exercised on this issue because of certain allegations having been made. So, I think two things ought to be done: one I will make enquiry if these boys were arrested by somebody else within the precincts of the House and after satisfying who has done it I shall order some enquiry. Secondly, the leader of the House may find out from his side whether these people have been arrested. If they have been arrested, for what reasons so that the hon. Members will feel some relief.

I have said that we have discussed this point enough. I will make enquiries from my own staff and I will also request the Leader of the House to get information on this as to what was the matter. Then we will know something more about it and I can assure you that whatever is correct will be done in this regard. As was suggested by Rao Sahib, I would welcome in my enquiry whatever evidence is given by any hon. Member from the Opposition or otherwise.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 26th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting) on objections raised by the Opposition on Motion under Rule 15 moved by the Leader of the House.

I will say only this much. My name has also been brought into this matter that in the Business Advisory Committee there was also this question of Non-Confidence Motion, because it had been received by me then. And, at that time, the Leader of the House had said that he would rather take that first and then deal with any other business. That much part is true. But, unfortunately or fortunately, the Non-Confidence Motion was later withdrawn.

Correct. The programme on that day was up to 5th.

Now the point is, Ch. Sahib that it is not the Speaker, but the House that is supreme, The House is the master of itself. I am only like a reference or an umpire here and I make sure that the proceedings of this House are conducted as per Rules provided for the House. So, I will say in these matters you have heard on one side there were certain assertions made by the Leader of the Opposition and by certain other hon. Members and on the other side by the Leader of the House. Well I don not want Comment on it. You have heard yourself that there was an assertion of the Leader of the House that he wanted to deal with the no-confidence Motion first. But unfortunately it was withdrawn. On other hand there was another assertion which has been made by Ch. Mukhtiar Singh and Rao Birender Singh, but I only want to stress that it is the house that is supreme and it is the house that is master of itself. I merely sit here to see that the proceedings are conducted as per the Rules.

The point is that when this notice brought to me, I consulted the Rules and hundreds of precedents were brought to my notice when the House was required to work as is being done today. So it is not only that this is being but there are hundreds I can quote.

But since these things are made, after all, I have certain responsibility? And I have to bring certain facts to your notice.

The point here is that the house is supreme, not the Speaker. The House is the master of itself and I assure you and those of you have any doubt left that the same problem was posed by me to various colleagues in the Vidhan Sabha as in India as well as to the Institute the constitutional and Parliamentary and the advice is that this is the procedure and I am following. I have given a date. Then they have certain privileges. That has brought a motion. The House is the judge and the master, not myself.

There is a Supreme Court ruling about this. It is not readily available. But can assure you that the word 'consultation' does not mean permission or agreement.

Before concluding I may repeat again that consultation does not mean agreement or permission.

Naturally it depends upon individual. I will satisfy you. I have asked for the ruling of the Supreme Court in this regard. Surely consultation does not mean permission or agreement.

Observations made by the Speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on the 27th February, 1970 (Evening Sitting) When Motion of No- Confidence and motion to Adjournment the House sine-die came before the House.

As I said before, I had consulted the various Vidhan Sabah's as well as the Institute of the constitutional and Parliamentary Studies who are experts on this matter and this very question posed that what happens if these two motions come before the house the same day i.e., the motion of no-confidence as well as the adjournment motion sine-die. So, the view which I have been informed or told or the advice is that in such a case the no-confidence motion will take precedence for consideration, at the House, as I said earlier on, the member and not the Speaker. The House is really entitled to take a decision of its own to govern its conduct or sittings or so on. I am quite clear that it was my judgment. It was my decision because I am empowered to fix the time and date for the discussion of the no-confidence motion. On the other hand, in adjournment motion sine-die, it is the Leader of the house who can move this motion in consultation with the Speaker, mind you, but not with agreement. He can consult

me. But then he can take his decision, Then it the house that is the master.

Now, since you are bringing these things repeatedly I will have to mention things. What does democracy means? It is the Rule by majority. After all brought the no-confidence motion. It was being accepted as a challenge but it later withdrawn. Then you have been meeting for the last 10 days and today suasions are being made. I did not want to say all this. I had finished the Whole earlier.

RULINGS GIVEN BY THE SPEAKER

Ruling given by the speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on 20th February, 1970 regarding grant of one day's leave to hon. Member.

Yesterday Chaudhri Sarup Singh, M.L.A. applied to me grant leave of absence from the Assembly sitting for the 19th February, 1970 as he was unable to move from bed. sub-rule (1) of Rule 59 of the Rules of procedure and Conduct of business of this House provides that if a member finds at any time that he would be unable to attend the sittings of the Assembly for a period of sixty consecutive days as computed in the manner provided in Article 190(4) of the constitution he shall apply for permission of the Assembly.

According to the provisions of clause (4) of Article 190 of the constitution if for a period of sixty days a member of House of the Legislature of a state is, without permission of the House, absent from all meetings thereof, the House may declare his seat vacant: Provided that in computing the said period of sixty days no account shall be taken of any period during which the House is prorogued or is adjourned for more than four consecutive days.

As the application was only for a day's absence and it did not require the permission of the Assembly for such an absence it was not necessary to bring it before the House, Though the House already taken a decision on it, I feel that it is the sense of the House that it should not form a precedent for future.

Ruling given by the speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on 25th February, 1970, regarding taking action against two young visitors who threw leaflets in the House and raised slogans from the visitors Gallery

Hon. Members, as you know, something, rather very unfortunate and serious, occurred today in the house. I am sure that all sections of the house disapprove of such actions or such means or methods. I have seen those two young men in my chamber. I have also consulted the various leaders. As it was the first case in the history of this House, we thought

that we will take a rather lenient view this time. But I wish to assure that we want to maintain the decorum, dignity and the respect of this House and, in future, we shall take drastic action against in that no body shall go out after having done such things without getting rigorous imprisonment. So, these two young men have tendered their apologies which I shall read to the House now.

“Respected Sir,

We express heart-felt regret for our behavior in the visitor’s gallery in the Vidhan Sabha today. We are sorry that we were swayed by intensity of our feelings; however, we tender unqualified apologies for our misconduct. We meant no disrespect either to the Hon’ble Speaker or to the august House. We pray that we may kindly be excused as all this has happened for want of knowledge about Assembly Rules and Regulations.”

So, I request that we may not have any discussion on this matter and, this time, I suggest, we leave them after their having apologies. But, as I said earlier, we shall not tolerate this sort of misconduct in future.

Ruling given by the speaker (Brig. Ran Singh) on 27th February, 1970 (Evening sitting) regarding notice of Resolution for the removal of the Speaker

Hon. Members, today, at about 3.30 p.m. the Hon. Speaker was handed over in the House the notice of resolution for the removal of the speaker under Rule 11 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct business in the Vidhan Sabha.

According to Rule 74, every notice required by the Rules shall be given in writing addressed to the Secretary and shall be delivered at the assembly office between 10.00 a.m. and 3.00 p.m. If it is delivered at any time it shall be treated as delivered on the next day. Thus, it will be quite clear that the manner of tabling this notice was against the spirit of Rule 74. According to this rule, this notice could only be taken up for the next day. As you are all aware the house has already decided to adjourn sine-die at its rising today. So, for all intents and purposes the notice was not in order. Moreover, the conference of Presiding Officers held at Delhi in April, 1968 had also passed a Resolution as under:-

“It appeals to all Members of Legislature and more particularly to all the Political parties to ensure that due respect and cooperation are extended to the Presiding Office are not tabled without due deliberation and adequate grounds.”

In view of the above, I earnestly feel that this was most unfortunate and I therefore, order that all the references

to the tabling of the notice be expunged from the proceedings of the House.

Ruling given by the speaker (Brig. Ran Singh), on 20th February, 1970 (Evening sitting) on the point as to whether the No-Confidence Motion could come before the House in a sitting having no question hour

This rule as was read pertains to normal functioning of a house, that is, when we have only one sitting. But today we are having two sittings and therefore, I feel that the Rule applies generally to the House when it meets normally, having one sitting in a day. Secondly, I had also visualized this difficulty last year and written to various Assemblies as well as to the Parliament as also to the Institute of Constitutional and Parliamentary Studies and they have given their expert opinion that if proper notice for both adjournment Motion and No-Confidence motion are received in time and the Speaker thinks them both in order, the Motion. So, I agree there is no question hour today. But, as I said, why is not today? Because you are having second sitting otherwise when there is one sitting invariably the other business of the House is preceded by a question hour. Then once a thing has been found in order then the No-Confidence Motion receives priority over any other business including the adjournment Motion.

Points of Order and Decision thereon

3. Whether a sitting of the House could be held under the rules after the Governor had delivered his address on the same day?
4. Whether the period of six months had not intervened between the last Sessions of the Sabha held on 14th August, 1969 and the date appointed for the first sitting of the present Session as the sitting being held on 13th February, 1970 was not valid sitting?

The Speaker ruled that where our rules were silent the Rules/practices of Parliament were followed. as there was a practice in the Parliament to hold sittings of the House after an hour or so of the s President's Address, therefore, the Second sitting of Haryana Vidhan Sabha was valid.

The Speaker further ruled that the Session of the assembly was being held within six months and is legal and is in Order. (The point of Order were raised by Sarvshri S.P. Jaiswal and Ch. Chand Ram on 13th February, 1970 when the second sitting of the House was held after the Governor's address).

5. Whether a member could withdraw a notice of No-Confidence Motion before the same is put before the House?

The Speaker ruled that it could be under the rules. (The above point of order was raised by the Chief Minister when Shri mangal seinm who had given notice of No-Confidence motion against the Ministry as a whole withdrew the notice.)

6. Whether permission of the House was required to grant one day's leave to a hon. Member of the house?

The speaker ruled that under the rules it was necessary to seek permission of the house for one day's leave of absence. (This point of order was raised by Dr. Mangal Sein on 19th February, 1970 when the application sent by Ch. Sarup Singh, M.L.A., requesting for leave of absence from the sitting of the House to be held on 19th February, 1970 was put before the house for the grant of leave.)

APPENDIX

BULLETINS

Containing brief record of the proceedings of the meetings of the 3rd Vidhan Sabha held during the February, 1970 Session.

BULLETIN NO. 1

Friday, the 13th February, 1970

(From 3.30 p.m. to 5.47 p.m.)

I. Points of order Raised by-

- (i) Shri S.P. Jaiswal regarding the validity of holdings the sitting of the Haryana Vidhan Sabha after the governor's Address on the same date;
- (ii) Ch. Chand Ram regarding the continuance of the present Haryana Vidhan Sabha when according to him it was holding its session after an expiry of six months.
- (iii) Speaker ruled both the points out of order.

II. Announcement by the Speaker-

- (i) The Speaker in pursuance of rule 18 of the Rules of procedure and Conduct of Business in the Punjab legislative Assembly, reported that the Governor had addressed the members of the Haryana Legislative Assembly on the 13th February, 1970 under Article 176(1) of the Constitution.

A Copy of the Address was laid on the Table of the House.

(ii) Panel of Chairmen:

1. Shri Ram Saran Chand Mittal
2. Malik Mukhtiar Singh;
3. Ch. Sarup Singh;
4. Lala Balwant Rai Tayal;

(iii) Committee on Petitions:-

Shri Lekhwati Jain, Deputy speaker-Ex-officio Chairman.

Lala Balwant Rai Tayal Member

Ch. Hemraj Sehrawat Do

Ch. Lal Singh

Do

Smt. Sakuntala

Do

- (iv) The Speaker informed the House that Shri roop Lal Mehta, a member of this Sabha representing the Palwal Assembly Constituency of Gurgaon District died on the 30th January, 1970.

III. **Obituary References-**

- (i) Shri P.H. Patwardhan, a veteran freedom fighter of Ahmednagar.
- (ii) Shri P.V. Cherian, former Governor of Maharashtra.
- (iii) Sardar Gurmukh Nihal Singh, former governor of Rajasthan.
- (iv) Prof. D.C. Sharma, M.P., Punjab.
- (v) Shri Roop Lal Mehta, M.L.A., Haryana.
- (vi) Prof. Humayun Kabir, Member of Parliament and former Union Minister.
- (vii) Smt. Violet Alva, ex-Deputy Chairman of Rajya Sabha.
- (viii) Sardar Darshan Singh Pheruman

“ The Resolution moved by him that-

The following members:-

1. Rao Birender Singh;
2. Ch. Mukhtiar Singh;
3. Shri Ganga Sagar Mahant;
4. Ch. Chand Ram;
5. Shri Balwant Rai Tayal;
6. Shri Jai Singh Rathi;

Made some observations.

The Speaker associated himself with the sentiments expressed in the house.

The House stood for one minute as a mark of respect to the memory of the deceased. It was decided to send message of condolence to the bereaved families.

The Deputy Speaker the Chair from 5.15 p.m. to 5.40 p. m.

The Sabha then adjourned till 2.00 p. m. on Monday, the 16th February, 1970.

BULLETIN NO. 2

Monday, the 16th February, 1970

(From 2.00 p.m. to 6.30 p.m.)

I. Questions

(a) **Starred-**

Total no. on the Order Paper	Answered	Not Put	Postponed	No. of Supplementaries
26	6			49

Supplementaries to Starred question No. 167 were postponed to the 17th February, 1970

The Remaining Starred Questions were deemed to have been answered and replies thereto were laid on the Table of the House.

II. Adjournment motion.

The Speaker disallowed the adjournment motion given notice of by Shri Mangal Sein, M.L.A. concerning the sense of indignation prevalent in the state on account of the lathi charge and firing by the Haryana Government on demonstrators against the Centre's decision on Chandigarh., as:-

- (i) Firing by Police, use lathi charge or tear gas, arrest of leaders of strike, etc., by the Police for the maintenance of peace and tranquility does not form the Subject matter of adjournment Motion.
- (ii) Moreover, member will have ample opportunity to raise discussion in the matter during the discussion of Governor's Address, General discussion on Budget, etc.,

III. **Announcement.**

By the Secretary-

I beg to lay on the table of the House a statement showing the bills which were passed by the Haryana Legislative Assembly during its session held in August 1969 and assented to by the Governor/President

IV. **Call Attention Notice.**

The Speaker admitted the Call Attention Notice No. 1 given notice by Sarvshri Mangal Sein, Ganga Sagar Mahant

and Balwant Rai Tayal, M.L.A. regarding the action taken by the police with a revengeful spirit by using tear gas, making lathi charge and arresting distinguished persons on 15th February, 1970 at Sonapat who were a meeting peacefully and requested the Minister concerned to make a statement.

The Chief minister to make a statement on the 19th February, 1970.

V. Walk-Out-

The entire opposition staged a walk-out as a protest against the action of the Police at Sonapat on the 25th February, 1970 at the time when the motion of Thanks to the Governor for the Address which he had ben pleased to deliever to the House on the 13th February 1970 was being moved.

VI. Papers laid on the Table.

By the Chief Minister-

(i) The East Punjab Minister's Salaries Haryana Amendment Ordinance 1969. (Haryana Ordinance No. 6 of 1969)

(ii) The Punjab Legislative Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries Haryana Amendment ordinance, 1969. (Haryana Ordinance No. 7 of 1969)

By the Finance Minister-

(ii) The Punjab Passenger and Goods Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Ordinance, 1969 (Haryana Ordinance No. 8 of 1969.

(iii) The Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharge) Amendment ordinance, 1969. (Haryana Ordinance No. 9 of 1969

By the Health and Development Minister-

(iv) The Punjab Co-operative Societies (Haryana Second amendment) Ordinance, 1969 (Haryana Ordinance No. 10 of 1969

(v) The Punjab General Sales Tax (Haryana Amendment) Ordinance, 1969 (Haryana Ordinance No. 11 of 1969

By the Chief Minister-

(vi) The Punjab State Legislature (Prevention of disqualification) (Haryana Amendment) Ordinance, 1969 (Haryana Ordinance No. 12 of 1969)

By the irrigation and Power Minister-

(vii) The Motor Vehicles, (Haryana Amendment) Ordinance, 1969 (Haryana Ordinance No. 13 of 1969)

By the Health and Development Minister -

(viii) The Punjab Town Improvement (Haryana Amendment) and validation Ordinance, 1970 (Haryana Ordinance No. 1 of 1970)

By the Chief Minister-

(ix) The Punjab Legislative Assembly (Allowances of Member (Haryana Amendment) Ordinance, 1970 (Haryana Ordinance No. 2 of 1970)

(x) The Haryana Salaries and Allowances of Minister Ordinance, 1970 (Haryana Ordinance No. 3 of 1970)

By the Health and Development Minister -

(xi) The Haryana Board of School Education (Haryana Amendment) Ordinance, 1970 (Haryana Ordinance No. 4 of 1970)

By the finance Minister-

A copy each of the following notification issued under section 7 of the Haryana Official Language Act, 1969:-

1. No. 454-EDI/Lg.69/2136, dated the 20th January, 1969
2. No. 485-EDI/Lg.-69/2132, dated the 20th January, 1969
3. No. 484-EDI/Lg.-69/2140, dated the 20th January, 1969
4. No. 11087-Ed-I/Lg/69/21532, dated the 9th September, 1969
5. No. 10649-Ed-I/Lg-69/28230, dated 18th November, 1969
6. No. 10562-Ed-I/Lg/69/21584, dated the 2nd September, 1969
7. No. 55-Ed-I/Lg/69/301, dated the 12th January, 1969
8. No. 1981-Ed-I/Lg/69/719, dated the 12th March 1969
9. No. 55-Ed-I/Lg/70/2724, dated the 29th January, 1970

By the Irrigation and Power Minister-

The Annual Financial Statement (Budget Estimates) of the Haryana State Electricity Board for the year, 1969-70 as required under section 61 of the electricity (Supply) Act, 1948.

By the Labour Ministers-

A copy of Sixth Annual Report of the Punjab Agricultural University Ludhiana, for the year, 1967-68

By the Finance Minister-

The Finance Account of the Government of Haryana for the year, 1967-68.

By the Irrigation and Power Minister-

(iii) Notification No. G.S.R.6/C.A.4/39/SS.24, 41 and 133/Amd, (1)/69, dated the 10th January, 1969, and;

(iv) Notification No. G.S.R.20/C.A.4/39/S.21/Amd, (1)/69, dated the 4th February, 1969, and

By the Chief Minister-

A copy of each of the annual Report on Working of the Punjab Steel and Alloy Castings Ltd., Chandigarh, for the

year, 1966, 1967, 1968 and 1969 respectively as required under section 619 A of the Companies Act, 1956

VII. Presentations on the Supplementary Estimates-

The Finance Minister presented

The Supplementary Estimates (Second Installment) for the year, 1969-71

VIII. Presentation of Report.

The Chairman of the estimates Committee presented the Report of the Committee on Estimates on the Supplementary Estimates (Second Installment) for the Year, 1969-70.

IX. Discussion on Governor's Address's

Chaudhri Ranbir Singh, M.L.A., while moving the motion that an Address be presented to the Governor in the following terms:-

Shri Ram Saran Chand Mital, m.L.A., seconded the motion with speech lasting for 41 minutes.

All the amendments given notice of this motion were deemed to have been read and moved.

One member from Opposition Benches took part in the discussion speaking for 68 minutes.

Shri Banarsi Das Gupta, a Member from Treasury Benches, had spoken for 6 minutes and was still in possession of the House when it adjourned.

The Deputy speaker occupied the Chair from 3.20 p.m. to 5.07 p. m. and from 5.56 p. m. to 6.30 p. m., and Shri Ram Saran Chand Mital, a member of the Panel of chairmen occupied the chair from 5.37 p. m. to 5.56 p.m.

The Sabha then adjourned till 2.00 p. m. on Tuesday, the 17th February, 1970.

BULLETIN NO. 3

Tuesday, the 17th February, 1970

(From 2.00 p.m. to 7.00 p.m.)

I. Questions

Asking of Supplementary question on Stared Question No. 617, printed at page 2 of the printed list of the Starred Questions for the 16th February, 1970 was taken up.

(a)Starred

Total no. on the Order Paper	Answered	Not Put	Postponed	No. of Supplementaries
23	4			39

The Remaining Starred Questions were deemed to have been answered and replies thereto were laid on the Table of the House.

(b)Unstarred-2

II. Call Attention Notices.

- (i) The Speaker admitted the Call Attention Notice No. 2 given notice of by Shri Daya Krishan, M.L.A., regarding the state wide disturbances consequent upon the announcement of the decision on Chandigarh and the failure of the Government in not making timely and suitable arrangement for the maintenance of law and order in the State, and requested the Chief minister to make a statement.

The Chief Minister promised to make a statement on the 19th February, 1970

- (ii) The Speaker admitted the Call Attention Notice No. 3 given notice of by Shri Daya Krishan, M.L.A., regarding the dissatisfaction among the teachers in the State consequently adversely affecting the students and the administration, and requested the minister to make a statement.

The Health and Development Minister promised to make a statement on the 19th February, 1970

III. Resumption of Discussion on the Governor's Address.

Shri Banarsi Das Gupta, who was in possession of the House when it adjourned on the 16th February, 1970, while resuming his speech spoke for 45 minutes.

Three Members from the Opposition and one member from the Treasury Benches took part in the discussion speaking for 113 minutes and 31 minutes respectively.

Substantive Motion Sought to be moved

Shri Ram Chand Mital, M.L.A. moved that Malik Mukhtiar Singh, M.L.A. be suspended from the Sitting of the

House for having used abusive and unparliamentarily language against another Hon. Member of the House, which he later on withdrew since Malik Mukhtiar Singh had withdrawn those unparliamentarily words and tendered an unconditional apology to the House.

Extension of Time

The Speaker extended the time of the Sitting by half an hour after having the sense of the House.

Ch. Neki Ram, a Member from the treasury Benches had spoken for 9 minutes and was in possession of the House, when it adjourned.

Shri Balwant Rai Tayal, shri Ram Saran Chand Mital and Malik Mukhtiar Singh all Members of the Panel of Chairmen occupied the Chair from 3.23 p.m. to 3.55 p.m. , from 5.20 p.m. to 5.45 p. m. and from 6.32 p.m. to 6.51 p.m. respectively. Deputy Speaker occupied the Chair from 4.26 p. m. to 5.01 p. m. from 5.45 p. m. to 6.05 p. m. and from 6.51 p. m. to 7.00 p. m.

The Sabha adjourned till 2.00 p. m. on Wednesday, the 18th February, 1970

BULLETIN NO. 4

Wednesday, the 18th February, 1970

(From 2.00 p.m. to 7.12 p.m.)

I. Questions.

Asking of Supplementary question on Stared Question No. 617, printed at page 2 of the printed list of the Starred Questions for the 16th February, 1970 was taken up.

(a) Starred

Total no. on the Order Paper	Answered	Not Put	Postponed	No. of Supplementaries
25	9			48

Supplementaries on Starred Question No. 629, Starred Question No. 631 and Starred Question No. 644 were postponed till some other date.

The Remaining Starred Questions were deemed to have been answered and replies thereto were laid on the Table of the House.

(b) Unstarred-18

II. Call Attention Notices.

- (i) The Speaker Disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 4 given notice of by Major Amir singh Chaudhry, M.L.A. Regarding alleged beating of the members of the Gram Panchayat including the Sarpanch and some elders of Sohuwas Tehsil Dadri, District Mohindergarh as the Government has intimated that a magisterial enquiry in the matter is in progress and the matter is subjudice.
- (ii) The Speaker admitted the Call Attention Notice No. 5 given notice of by Shri Fateh Chand Vij, concerning the non-compliance of the provisions of Minimum Wage Act by the Khadi ashram, Panipat and the consequent of hunger-strike, and requested the minister concerned to make statement.

The Labour Minister promised to make a statement on the 19th February, 1970

- (iii) The Speaker Disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 6 regarding the alleged imposition of 80 per cent in the staff of the Languages Department on the grounds that-

- (i) it relates purely to the terms and condition of the service of the employees and further
- (ii) The Hon'ble Member will have ample opportunity for debating this issue during the course of discussion on Governor's Address and the Budget.

IV. Resumptions of Discussion on Governor's Address.

Ch. Neki Ram, who was in possession of the House when it adjourned on the 17th February, 1970, while resuming his speech spoke for 14 minutes.

Five Members from the Opposition and three members from the Treasury Benches took part in the discussion speaking for 94 minutes and 89 minutes respectively.

Extension of Time

The Deputy speaker extended the time of the sitting by half an hour after having sense of the House. She again extended it for 15 minutes

Walk-Out

SPECIAL BULLETIN NO. 1

Discussion on the motions of Thanks to the Governor's Address took place on the 16th, 17th and 18th February, 1970, lasting for 10 hours and 35 minutes.

(Time spent on putting the motion and amendments to vote of the House by the Speaker is not included)

No. of Members who participated in the discussion	Time taken
Treasury Benches-9	Six hour
Opposition Benches-9	Four hours and thirty five minutes

Note:- Time taken by the Treasury Benches includes the time taken by the Mover and the seconder of the motion and by Chief Minister.

BULLETIN NO. 5

Thursday, the 19th February, 1970

(From 2.00 p.m. to 6.30 p.m.)

I. Questions

(a) Starred-

Total no. on the Order Paper	Answered	Not Put	Postponed	No. of Supplementaries
26	3	1		48

The Remaining Starred Questions were deemed to have been answered and replies thereto were laid on the Table of the House.

(b) Unstarred-18

II. Call Attention Notices.

The Speaker admitted the Call Attention Notice No. 5 given notice of by Shri Daya Krishan, M.L.A., Regarding the non supply of diet to indoor patients at Ranbir Hospital, Jind and also for the non-posting of a qualified Surgeon and requested the minister concerned to make statement.

The Health and Development Minister promised to make a statement on the 23rd February, 1970

III. Statements

1. The Chief Minister made a statement on Call Attention Notice No. 1 given notice by Shri Mangal sein, M.L.A., regarding the s action taken by the Police with a revengeful spirit by using tear gas, making lathi charge and arresting certain distinguished persons on 15th February, 1970 at Sonapat, who were holding a meeting peacefully.
2. The Chief Minister made a statement on Call Attention Notice No. 2 given notice by Shri Daya Krishan M.L.A., Regarding State-wide disturbances consequent upon the announcement of the decision on Chandigarh and the failure of the Government in not making timely and suitable arrangements for the maintenance of law and order in the State
3. The Health and Development Minister made a statement on Call Attention Notice No. 3 given notice by Shri Daya Krishan M.L.A., Regarding dissatisfaction among the teachers in the State consequently adversely affecting the students and the administration
4. The Health and Development Minister made a statement on Call Attention Notice No. 5 given notice by Shri Daya Krishan M.L.A.,

Regarding the non-compliance of the provisions of Minimum Wage Act by the Khadi ashram, Panipat and the consequent of hunger-strikes

V. Leave of Absence

Shri Ram Saran Mital, a member of the Panel of Chairmen, from the chair, read out the application sent by Ch. Sarup singh, M.L.A., requesting for leave of absence from today's sitting of the Vidhan Sabha. Leave asked for was granted by the Sabha.

VI. Introduction of Bill

After leave to introduce the Punjab pre-Emption (Haryana Repeal) bill, 1970 was granted by the Sabha, the said Bill was introduced by shri Daya Krishan.

VII. Resolution-

Shri Fateh Chand Vij, M.L.A., moved that-

“In View of the Industrial backwardness of Haryana, this House recommends to the Government to approach the Central Government that the following concessions should be

allowed to all the Industries coming up during the five year period commencing from April, 1970.

1. Relief from Income Tax;
2. Relief in the Central Sales Tax;
3. Placing of Sufficient funds at the disposal of the State by the central Government for loan to the Industries;
4. Easy availability of raw material at reasonable rates; and
5. Priority for amenities required for the industries.

Spoke for 27 minutes.

Four members from the opposition and three members from the Treasury Members, had spoke for 47 minutes and 95 minutes respectively.

Shri Kanwar Singh Dhaiya, a Member of the Panel of Chairmen occupied the Chair from 3.07 p.m. to 3.40 p.m. and from 6.03 p. m. to 6.13 p.m.

The Deputy Speaker occupied the Chair from 3.40 tom 6.03 P.M and from 6.13 p.m. to 6.30 p.m.

The Sabha then adjourned till 9.30 a. m. on Friday, the 20th February, 1970.

BULLETIN NO. 6

Friday, the 20th February, 1970

(From 9.30 p.m. to 12.51 p.m.)

II. Questions

(a) Starred-

Total no. on the Order Paper	Answered	Not Put	Postponed	No. of Supplementaries
22	4		1	45

Supplementaries to Starred Question No. 689 were postponed till 23rd February, 1970.

The Remaining Starred Questions were deemed to have been answered and replies thereto were laid on the Table of the House.

(b) Unstarred-31

III. MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR

The Speaker read out the letter received from the Governor expressing his gratitude to the Vidhan Sabha for the Motion of Thanks passed by the Sabha on the 18th February, 1970.

IV. RULING BY THE SPEAKER REGARDING GRANT OF LEAVE OF ABSENCE FROM THE ASSEMBLY SITTING FOR ONE DAY TO A MEMBER

Sub-rule (1) of Rule 59 of the Rules of procedure and Conduct of business of this House provides that if a member finds at any time that he would be unable to attend the sittings of the Assembly for a period of sixty consecutive days as computed in the manner provided in Article 190(4) of the constitution he shall apply for permission of the Assembly.

According to the provisions of clause (4) of Article 190 of the constitution if for a period of sixty days a member of House of the Legislature of a state is, without permission of the House, absent from all meetings thereof, the House may declare his seat vacant: Provided that in computing the said period of sixty days no account shall be taken of any period during which the House is prorogued or is adjourned for more than four consecutive days.

As the application was only for a day's absence and it did not require the permission of the Assembly for such an absence it was not necessary to bring it before the House,

Though the House already taken a decision on it, I feel that it is the sense of the House that it should not form a precedent for future.

V. CALL ATTENTION NOTICES

1. The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 10 given notice of by Shri Randhir Singh, M.L.A., regarding the absence of any provision regarding the allotment of the quarters to dais, Health Inspector and Sanitary Inspectors working in the family Planning Scheme as it is not matter of recent occurrence, rather it is a matter of continuing nature.
2. The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 11 given notice of by Shri Mangal Sein, M.L.A., regarding the restlessness amongst the traders on accounts of maltreatment of the Haryana Government as the mater is not of urgent nature.

VI. PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

By the finance Minister-

- (i) the appropriation Account of the Haryana Government for the year 1968-69 and Audit Report, 1970

- (ii) the Finance Account of the Government of Haryana for the year 1968-69.

VII. SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATES, 1969-70

1. Estimates of the expenditure charged on the revenue of the state.

There was no discussion as no member rose to speak.

2. Discussion and Voting of the demands for Supplementary grants.

All the demands were deemed to have been read and moved. The speaker permitted the discussion on all the demands but requested the members to indicate the number of the demand on which they wished to raise discussion.

Two members from the opposition and one from Treasury Benches spoke for 90 minutes and 15 minutes respectively.

The Finance Minister spoke for 10 minutes, by way of reply.

At 12.39 p. m. Guillotine was applied. The demands were put one by one and carried.

VIII. OBITUARY REFERENCES

The following members made some observations:-

1. Khan Abdual Ghaffar Khan
2. Ch. Ranbir Singh.
3. Major Amir Chand Chaudhri.
4. Ch. Neki Ram
5. The Speaker also associated himself with the sentiments expressed in the House.

The House stood in silence for one minute as a mark of respect to the memory of the deceased.

It was decided to send message of condolence to the bereaved family.

The Deputy Speaker occupied the Chair from 10.45 A. M. to 12.31 P.M.

The Sabha then adjourned till 2.00 p.m. on Monday, the 23rd February, 1970.

BULLETIN NO. 7

Monday, the 23rd February, 1970

(From 2.00 p.m. to 6.14 p.m.)

I. QUESTIONS

Asking of Supplementary questions on Starred Question No. 689, printed at page 2 of the printed list of Starred Questions for Friday, the 20th February, 1970 was resumed and thereafter the printed list of Starred Questions for the 23rd February, 1970 was taken up.

(a) Starred-

Total no. on the Order Paper	Answered	Not Put	Postponed	No. of Supplementaries
25	5	1		57

Supplementaries to Starred Question No. 708 Will continue on 24th February, 1970 for 10 minutes.

The Remaining Starred Questions were deemed to have been answered and replies thereto were laid on the Table of the House.

(b) Unstarred-Nil.

II. CALL ATTENTION NOTICES

1. The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 8 given notice of by Dr. Malik Chand Ghambhir, M.L.A., regarding an alleged incident of firing at Jagadhri as according to the information received from the Government in this regard a magisterial inquiry has already been ordered by the Government to enquire into the incident
2. The Speaker admitted the Call Attention Notice No. 12 given notice of by Dr. Malik Chand Ghambhir, M.L.A., regarding the vacancies of 37 posts of Assistant Medical Officers and 103 Posts of Lady Doctor in different dispensaries for a long time in the State and the consequent suffering and hardship to the Public, and requested the minister concerned to make a statement.

The Health and Development Minister promised to make a statement on the 25th February, 1970

3. The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 13 given notice of by Dr. Malik Chand Ghambhir, M.L.A., regarding the alleged incident of firing resorted to by the police on 31st January, 1970 at Jagadhri as he had informed by the Government that a magisterial inquiry has been ordered into the incident

III. STATEMENT

The Health and Development Minister made a statement on Call Attention Notice No. 9 given notice by Shri Daya Krishan M.L.A., regarding the non supply of diet to indoor patients at Ranbir Hospital, Jind and also for the non-posting of a qualified Surgeon.

IV. LEGISLATIVE BUSINESS.

The Haryana appropriation Bill, 1970

After leave to introduce Bill was granted by the Sabha, the Finance Minister moved that-

“the Bill taken into consideration at once.”

Four Members from the Treasury Benches and six from the Opposition benches took parting the discussion and spoke for 66 minutes and 100 minutes respectively.

The Finance minister replying to the debate spoke for 14 minutes.

All the clauses of the Bill were carried.

On the Motion moved by the Finance minister-

‘the Bill be passed.’

No member rose to speak. The Bill was then passed.

Malik Mukhtiar Singh, a member of the Panel of Chairmen occupied Chair from 3.12 p.m. to 3.29 p.m. and from 5.35 p.m. to 5.47 p.m. and Shri Balwant Rai Tayal also a member of the Panel of Chairmen occupied the Chair from 3.29 p.m. to 5.35 p.m.

The Sabha then adjourned till 10.00 a.m. on Tuesday, the 24th February, 1970

BULLETIN NO. 8

Tuesday, the 24th February, 1970

(From 10.00 a.m. to 12.10 p.m.)

I. Questions

Asking of Supplementary question on Starred Question No. 708, printed at page 2 of the printed list of the Starred Questions for Monday the 23rd February, 1970 was postponed till 25th February, 1970.

(a) Starred-

Total no. on the Order Paper	Answered	Not Put	Postponed	No. of Supplementaries
15	1			57

Replies to some supplementaries on Starred Question No. 547 will be given on 25th February, 1970.

The Remaining Starred Questions were deemed to have been answered and replies thereto were laid on the Table of the House.

(b) Unstarred-Nil

II. Presentation of the Budget for the year, 1970-71

The Finance Minister presented the Budget for the year, 1970-71.

The Sabha then adjourned till 2.00 a.m. on Wednesday, the 25th February, 1970.

BULLETIN NO. 9

Wednesday, the 25th February, 1970.

(From 2.00 a.m. to 7.00 p.m.)

I. Questions

Giving replies to supplementaries questions on Starred Questions No. 547 printed at page 1 of the printed list of Starred Question for Tuesday, the 24th February, 1970, was first resumed, thereafter asking of Supplementary Questions on Starred Question Nos. 629 and 631 appearing at page 2 of the printed list of Starred Questions for Wednesday, the 18th February, 1970 and No. 708 appearing at page 2 of the printed list of Starred Questions for Monday, the 23rd February, 1970, was resumed. After that the printed list of Starred Questions for the 25th February, 1970 was taken up.

(a) Starred-

Total no. on the Order Paper	Answered	Not Put	Postponed	No. of Supplementaries
13	1			41

Supplementaries on Starred Question No. 599 printed at page of the printed list of Starred questions for Wednesday, the 25th February, 1970, were postponed on 26th February, 1970.

The Remaining Starred Questions were deemed to have been answered and replies thereto were laid on the Table of the House.

(b)Unstarred-Nil

II. Call Attention Notice.

1. The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 15 given notice of by Major Amir Singh Chaudhri, M.L.A., concerning winding up of all social welfare Centers set up in Mohindergarh District as it did not relate to a matter of urgent public importance and the member would have ample opportunity to raise this matter during the general discussion on budget
2. The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 16 given notice of by Major Amir Singh Chaudhri, M.L.A., Regarding general strike in the

cities and towns of Haryana on 21st February, 1970 against the anti-Bseopar policy of the Government as it did not relate to a matter of urgent public importance and the member would have ample opportunity to raise this matter during the general discussion on budget.

III. Statement

The Health and Development Minister made a statement on Call Attention Notice No. 12 given notice by Dr. Malik Chand Ghambhir M.L.A., regarding the vacancies of 37 posts of Assistant Medical Officers and 103 Posts of Lady Doctor in different dispensaries for a long time in the State and the consequent suffering and hardship to the Public.

IV. Motion under Rule 30

The Chief Minister moved the motion-

“that Rule 30 of the Rules of Procedure and conduct Business in the Punjab Legislative Assembly be suspended and Government Business be transacted on Thursday the 26th February, 1970”

Four Members from the Opposition opposed the motion while making some observations and the Chief Minister spoke for two minutes by way of reply. The motion was put to

the vote of the House; the opinion of the Speaker having been challenged it was put again; again his opinion was challenged; member were asked to raise in their places and on a count having been taken, the motion was declared carried.

V. Paper laid on the Table.

The Chief Minister:-

The Annual Report of the Punjab State Small Industries Corporation Ltd., for the year 1967-68.

VI. General Discussion on the Budget for the year, 1970-71.

The House proceeded to discuss generally the Budget year, 1970-71.

One Minister from the Opposition and Two from the Treasury Benches took part in the discussion speaking for 103 minutes and 56 minutes respectively.

VII. Observation made by the Speaker.

With regard to the raising of slogans and throwing of leaflets in the House earlier from the Visitors' gallery by two persons the Speaker made the following observations:-

Hon. Members, as you know, something, rather very unfortunate and serious, occurred today in the house. I am sure that all sections of the house disapprove of such actions or such means or methods. I have seen those two young men in my chamber. I have also consulted the various leaders. As it was the first case in the history of this House, we thought that we will take a rather lenient view this time. But I wish to assure that we want to maintain the decorum, dignity and the respect of this House and, in future, we shall take drastic action against in that no body shall go out after having done such things without getting rigorous imprisonment. So, these two young men have tendered their apologies which I shall read to the House now.

“Respected Sir,

We express heart-felt regret for our behavior in the visitor’s gallery in the Vidhan Sabha today. We are sorry that we were swayed by intensity of our feelings; however, we tender unqualified apologies for our misconduct. We meant no disrespect either to the Hon’ble Speaker or to the august House. We pray that we may kindly be excused as all this has happened for want of knowledge about Assembly Rules and Regulations.”

So, I request that we may not have any discussion on this matter and, this time, I suggest, we leave them after their having apologies. But, as I said earlier, we shall not tolerate this sort of misconduct in future.

So I will request the hon. Members to close this matter first and, as I said earlier-give an assurance-we shall not tolerate such action in future. They will not get away without rigorous imprisonment.

VIII. Half an Hour Discussion.

Half an hour discussion was raised by Major Amir Singh Chaudhri, M.L.A., on matters arising out of the answer to Starred Question No. 571 and while doing so spoke for 6 minutes. One member from the Treasury Benches who also took part in the discussion spoke for 8 minutes. The Minister for Irrigation and power, by way of reply spoke for 12 minutes.

The Deputy speaker occupied the chair from 3.46 p.m. to 4.13 p.m. , from 5.32 p.m. to 6.18 p.m. and from 6.31 p.m. to 7.00 p.m. Sarvshri Mukhtiar Singh Malik and Ram Chand Malik, both Member of the Panrl of Chairmen occupied the Chair from 4.13 p.m. to 5.04 p.m. and from 5.29 p.m. to 5.32 p.m. respectively

BULLETIN NO. 10

Thursday, the 26th February, 1970

(From 2.00 p.m. to 6.57 p.m.)

I. Questions

Asking of Supplementary question on Starred Question No. 599, printed at page 1 of the printed list of the Starred Questions for Wednesday the 25th February, was resumed. After that the printed list of Starred Questions for the 26th February, 1970 was taken up.

(a) Starred-

Total no. on the Order Paper	Answered	Not Put	Postponed	No. of Supplementaries
12	8		1	69

Replies to some supplementaries on Starred Question No. 547 will be given on 25th February, 1970.

The Remaining Starred Questions were deemed to have been answered and replies thereto were laid on the Table of the House.

(c) Unstarred- Nil.

(d) Short notice-3. Not Put

II. Question of privilege.

1. On a question of Privilege sought to be raise by Shri Mangal Sein, regarding the posting of Haryana C.I.D. people by the Government everywhere in the New M.L.A.s, Hostel Staff, Vidhan Bhawan etc, the Speaker observed that he would examine the matter.
2. On a question of Privilege sought to be raise by Shri Mahant Ganga sager regarding the arrest of two persons from within the very precincts' of the Haryana Vidhan Sabha on 25th February, 1970 when the House had pardoned them for throwing leaf-lets in the Vidhan Sabha from the visitors' Gallery and for raising Slogans and had ordered their release, the Speaker observed that he would himself enquire into the matter He further observed that he would welcome any evidence if produced by any Hon member from the Opposition in this respect. He also requested the chief Minister to find from his side whether they had been arrested, if so, for what reasons.

III. Call Attention Notices.

1. The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 17 given notice of by Sarvshri Mangal Sein, Malik Chand Ghambhir and Bhagwan Dass Sehagal, M.L.A.s,

Regarding the alleged irregularities in the recruitment of the Staff by the Market Committees and the Non-holding of the elections to the Market Committee by the Government as it did not relate to a matter of urgent public importance and concerned the day-to-day working of the market Committee.

2. The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 19 given notice of by Major Shri Daya Krishan, M.L.A., Regarding the alleged illegal transfer of panchayat land through collusive decrees as it did not pertain to a matter of urgent Public importance but is of continuing nature.

Personal Explanation

At 3.35 p.m. the Minister for Irrigation and Power (Shri Kanhiya Lal Poswal) made a personal explanation in regard to certain allegations about him by Rao Birender Singh, M.L.A., on the 25th February, 1970 during general discussion of the Budget

IV. Resumption of general Discussion of the Budget for the year, 1970-71

Five members from the Opposition and three Members from the Treasury Benches took part in the discussion and spoke for 71 minutes and 68 minutes respectively.

The Minister of Finance while replying to the debate spoke for 47 minutes.

EXTENSION OF THE SITTING

On suggestion made, the Speaker extended the time of the sitting by 15 minutes. Again, the Deputy Speaker extended the time of the sitting by 15 minutes.

The Deputy Speaker occupied the Chair from 3.34 p.m. to 4.29 p.m. from 5.07 p.m. to 6.57 p.m.

The Sabha then adjourned till 9.30 a. m. on Friday, the 27th February, 1970

SPECIAL BULLETIN NO. 2

Discussion of the budget for the year, 1970-71

Discussion of the budget for the year, 1970-71 took place on the 25th and 26th February, 1970 lasting for four hours and fifty-eight minutes.

No. of Members who participated in the discussion	Time taken

Treasury Benches-5	Two hours and four minutes
Opposition Benches-6	Two hours and Fifty four minutes

Note:- Time taken by the Treasury Benches excludes the time taken by Finance Minister who spoke for 47 minutes.

BULLETIN NO. 11

Friday, the 27th February, 1970 (Morning sitting)

(From 9.00 a.m. to 12.38 p.m.)

I. Questions

Asking of Supplementary question on Starred Question No. 595, printed at page 2 of the printed list of the Starred Questions for Wednesday the 26th February, was resumed and thereafter the printed list of Starred Questions for the 26th February, 1970 was taken up.

(a) Starred-

Total no. on the Order	Answered	Not Put	Postponed	No. of Supplementaries

Paper				
12	7		1	69

The Remaining Starred Questions were deemed to have been answered and replies thereto were laid on the Table of the House.

(b) Unstarred-Nil.

II. Question of Privilege.

1. On a question of Privilege sought to be raise by Shri Mahant Ganga sager regarding the arrest of two persons from within the very precincts' of the Haryana Vidhan Sabha on 25th February, 1970 when the House had pardoned them for throwing leaf-lets in the Vidhan Sabha from the visitors' Gallery and for raising Slogans and had ordered their release and decision on which had been deferred on 26th February, 1970, the Speaker informed the House that he had asked the Leaders of the house to give him certain information in that regard on 26th February, 1970 as without it, it could not be possible for him to give his ruling. He further added that if the House after hearing the Leader of the House decided to appoint a committee for that purpose, it could do so.

2. On a question of Privilege sought to be raise by Shri Mangal Sein, regarding the posting of Haryana C.I.D. personnel in the M.L.a.s' Hostel, near the Speaker's Chamber and at some other places near the Assembly Chamber as also the ex-change of hot words between D.I.G. C.I.D., Haryana and Hon. Member of this House, the Speaker observed that the matter has been refereed by him to the Government to ascertain the exact in this regard to enable him to arrive at a decision and on hearing from the Government he would give his decision on this notice
3. The Speaker disallowed the Question of Privilege sought to be raised by Chaudhri Jai Singh Rathi regarding the alleged hiding of a C.I.D. official inside the house in order to enter the room and steal certain confidential and important papers belonging to him and his consequent arrest therein as the intruder has already been arrested by the Police and the law will take its own course. As such no question of breach of privilege was involved in this case.

III. Call Attention Notices.

1. The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 14 and 18 given notice of by Rao Birender Singh, M.L.A. anmd Shri Mangal Sein, M.L.A. respectively Regarding the alleged involvement of some ministers of Haryana Government Chars Scandal as onascertaining the position from the Government, the Speaker ruled the

motion out of the order on 27th February, 1970 on that ground that the neither any case of alleged chars Scandal stood registered in the State of Haryana against any Minister nor had any information regarding the involvement of any Haryana minister in Chars Scandal been received by the State Government from any other Government of agency out side the State.

2. The Speaker disallowed the Call Attention Notice No. 20 given notice of by Sarvshri Mangal Sein, M.L.A. regarding alleged hunger strike by some detenus in District Jail, Rohtak against the revengeful behavior of the Jail authority Ruled out of the order 27th February, 1970 on the ground that it did not pertain to a matter of urgent nature. Moreover the Hon'ble member to raise discussion on the matter during the discussion on Demands relating to Jails

IV. Demands for Grants.

All the demands were deemed to have read and moved. The Speaker permitted the discussion on all the Demands for Grants and requested the Members to indicate the number of Demands on which any Member wished to raise discussion.

Four members from the Opposition and 4 from the Treasury benches spoke for 57 minutes and 50 minutes respectively.

BULLETIN NO. 12

Friday, the 27th February, 1970 (Evening sitting)

(From 2.30 p.m. to 6.08 p.m.)

I. No-Confidence

The Speaker held in order the notice of motion expressing want of confidences in the Ministry as a whole was received from Sarvshri Ganga Sagar Mahant and Birender Singh, M.L.As.

Notice was put to the vote of the House for leave being granted. The Speaker declared that the leave had been granted and fixed 3rd of March, 1970 as the date for its discussion.

II. Motion under rule 15

“that the proceedings on the items of business fixed for 27th February, 1970 be exempted at that day’s sitting from the provisions of the Rule Sittings of the Assembly indefinitely.”

Three members from the Opposition, while making some observation opposed the motion and one member from the Treasury Benches made some observations in its support.

The Chief Minister also spoke by way of reply.

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

III. Motion under rule 16

The Chief Minister moved-

“that the assembly at its rising this day shall stand adjourned sine-die”.

Three members from the Opposition opposed the motion and one member from the Treasury Benches supported it. Besides the chief Minister also made some observations

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

IV. Presentation of Reports

1. The Chairman of the Public Account Committee presented the second report of the Public Accounts Committee for the year, 1969-70.

WALK-OUT

All Members of the Opposition except Ch. Chand Ram staged a walk-out as a protest against the motion-

“that the assembly at its rising this day shall stand adjourned sine-die”.

Having been carried particularly when the non-Confidence Motion against the ministry as a whole had been admitted and fixed for discussion on the 3rd March, 1970. Ch Chand Ram also joined the Opposition in staging a walk-out after the presentation of the second Report of the Committee on Subordinate legislation by him.

PRESENTATION OF REPORTS

2. The Chairman of the Estimates Committee presented the Second Report of the Estimates Committee on the Budget Estimates for the year 1969-70

3. The Chairman of the Committee on Subordinate Legislation presented the Second Report of the Committee on Subordinate Legislation for the year 1969-70

4. Shri Ram Dhari Gaur, a Member of the committee on Government Assurances presented the Second Report of the Committee on Government Assurances for the year 1969-70

V. Legislative Business.

(a) Bills.

**(1) The Haryana Appropriation Bill (No. 2) Bill,
1970**

The Bill was introduced by the finance Minister, who also moved-

“that the Haryana Appropriation (no. 2) Bill, be taken into consideration at once”

Four members from the Treasury Benches took part in the discussion and spoke for 97 minutes

The Finance minister by way of reply spoke for 12 minutes.

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause.

Clause 2, Clause 3, Schedule, Clause 1 and the Title were put and carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“That the Bill be passed”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was then put and carried.

(2) The Punjab State Legislature (Prevention of disqualification) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1970

The Bill was introduced by the finance Minister, who also moved-

“that the Punjab State Legislature (Prevention of disqualification) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1970”.

No Member rose to speak

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause.

Clause 2, Clause 3, Schedule, Clause 1 and the Title were put and carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“That the Bill be passed”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was then put and carried

(3) The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970

The Bill was introduced by the finance Minister, who also moved-

“that the Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970”.

No Member rose to speak

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause

Clause2

Shri Banarsi Dass Gupta , a members from the Treasury Benches moved-

“that after the figure ‘1937,’ the brackets and words (hereinafter referred to as the principal Act, shall be inserted)

This Amendment was put and carried

Then Clause 2, as amended, was put and carried.

New Clause 3

“3. After leave to move a new clause having been granted, Shri Banarsi Dass Gupta, a member from the Treasury Benches moved the new clause, viz.-

“That the existing clause 3 be renumbered as clause 4 and before clause 4 as so renumbered the following clause shall be inserted namely:-

“After Section 3A of the principal Act, the following section shall be inserted, namely:-

Insertion of new Section 3B in Punjab Act 3 of 1937

‘3B Liability to pay income-tax.- The Speaker and the Deputy Speaker Salaries and allowances referred to in this

Act shall be exclusive of the tax-payable in respect thereof under any law relating to income-Tax shall be borne by the State Government.

Explanation.- For the purpose of this section, that salary and allowances received by the Speaker or the Deputy Speaker during any financial year shall be deemed to be his only income for that year”.

Which was considered and carried

Existing Clause 3 re-numbered as clause 4

Existing Clause 3 re-numbered as clause 4

Clause 1 and the Title

Clause 1 and the Title were put and carried.

Motion moved by Finance Minister-

“that the Bill, as amended, be passed”.

Was put and carried.

(4) The Punjab Legislative Assembly (allowances of members (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970

The Bill was introduced by the finance Minister, who also moved-

“that the Punjab Legislative Assembly (allowances of members (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970”.

No Member rose to speak

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause

Clause 2

Clause 2 was put and carried

Clause 3

Clause 3 was put and carried

Clause 4

Clause 4 was put and carried

New Clause 5

“5. After leave to move a new clause having been granted, Shri Daya Krishan, a Member from the Treasury Benches moved the new clause, Viz.,-

“That the existing clause 5 be renumbered as clause 6 and before clause 6 as so renumbered the following clause shall be inserted namely:-

“After Section 4C of the principal Act, the following section shall be inserted, namely:-

“5. Liability to pay income-tax.- The Members allowances referred to in this Act shall be exclusive of the tax-payable in respect thereof under any law relating to income-Tax shall for the being in force and such tax shall be borne by the State Government.

Explanation.- For the purpose of this section, that allowances received by the Member during any financial year shall be deemed to be his only income for that year”.

Which was considered and carried

Existing Clause 5 re-numbered as clause 6

The existing Clause 5, re-numbered as Clause 6, was put and carried.

Clause 1 and the Title

Clause 1 and the Title were put and carried.

Motion moved by Finance Minister-

“that the Bill, as amended, be passed”.

Was put and carried.

(5)The Haryana Salaries Allowances of ministers Bill, 1970.

The Bill was introduced by the finance Minister, who also moved-

“that the Haryana Salaries Allowances of ministers Bill, 1970.”.

No Member rose to speak

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause.

Sub-Clause (2) of Clause 1, Clause 2, Clause 3, Clause 4, Clause 5, Clause 6, Clause 7, Clause 8, Clause 9, Clause 10, Sub-Clause (1) of Clause (1) and the Title were put and carried

The Finance Minister moved-

“That the Bill be passed”.

The motion was then put and carried

(6) The Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharges) Bill, 1970

The Bill was introduced by the finance Minister, who also moved-

“that the Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharges) Bill, 1970.”

No Member rose to speak

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause.

Sub-Clause (2) of Clause 1, Clause 2, Clause 3, Sub-Clause (1) of Clause (1) and the Title were put and carried

The Finance Minister moved-

“That the Bill be passed”.

The motion was then put and carried

(7)The Punjab Town Improvement (Haryana Amendment and Validation) Bill, 1970

The Bill was introduced by the Health and Development Minister, who also moved-

“that the Punjab Town Improvement (Haryana Amendment and Validation) Bill, 1970.”

No Member rose to speak.

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause.

Sub-Clause (2) of Clause 1, Clause 2, Clause 3, Clause 4, Sub-Clause (1) of Clause (1) and the Title were put and carried

The Health and Development Minister moved-

“That the Bill be passed”.

The motion was then put and carried

(8)The Motor Vehicle (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970

The Bill was introduced by the Irrigation and Power Minister,
who also moved-

“that the Motor Vehicle (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970.”

No Member rose to speak.

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause.

Sub-Clause (2) of Clause 1, Clause 2, Clause 3, Clause 4,
Clause 5, Clause 6, Clause 7, Clause 8, Sub-Clause (1) of
Clause (1) and the Title were put and carried

Motion moved by Irrigation and Power Minister-

“That the Bill be passed”.

The motion was then put and carried

**(9) The Punjab Passengers and Goods Taxation (Haryana
Amendment) Bill, 1970**

The Bill was introduced by the finance Minister, who also moved-

“that the Punjab Passengers and Goods Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970.”

No member rose to Speak.

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause.

Clause 2, Clause 3, Clause 4, Clause 1 and the Title were put and carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“That the Bill be passed”.

The motion was then put and carried

(10) The Punjab Co-operative Societies (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970.

The Bill was introduced by the Health and Development Minister, who also moved-

“that the Punjab Co-operative Societies (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970 be taken into consideration.”

No Member rose to speak.

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause.

Clause 2, Clause 3, Clause 1 and the Title were put and carried.

The Health and Development Minister moved-

“That the Bill be passed”.

The motion was then put and carried

(11) The Haryana Board of School Education Bill, 1970.

The Bill was introduced by the Health and Development Minister, who also moved-

“that the Haryana Board of School Education Bill, 1970 be taken into consideration.”

No Member rose to speak.

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause

Clause 2, Clause 3, Clause 4, Clause 5, Clause 6, Clause 7, Clause 8, Clause 9, Clause 1 and the Title were put and carried.

The Health and Development Minister moved-

“That the Bill be passed”.

The motion was then put and carried

(12)The Punjab Motor Vehicle Taxation (Haryana amendment Bill, 1970

The Bill was introduced by the finance Minister, who also moved-

“that the Punjab Motor Vehicle Taxation (Haryana amendment Bill, be taken into consideration at once.”

Member rose to speak

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause.

Clause 2, Clause 1 and the Title were put and carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“That the Bill be passed.”

The motion was then put and carried

(13) The Punjab professional, Trades, Callings and Employment Taxation (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970.

The Bill was introduced by the finance Minister, who also moved-

“that the Punjab professional, Trades, Callings and Employment Taxation (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970 Bill be taken into consideration at once.”

Member rose to speak

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause.

Clause 2, Clause 1 and the Title were put and carried.

Motion moved by Irrigation and Power Minister-

“That the Bill be passed”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was then put and carried

(14) The Punjab Instrument (Control of Noises) Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970

The Bill was introduced by the Agriculture and Labour minister who also moved-

“that the Punjab Instrument (Control of Noises) Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970 Bill be taken into consideration.”

No Member rose to speak

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause.

Clause 2, Clause 1 and the Title were put and carried.

Motion moved by Agriculture and Labour minister-

“That the Bill be passed”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was then put and carried

(15) The Punjab General Sales Tax (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970.

The Bill was introduced by the finance Minister, who also moved-

“that the Punjab General Sales Tax (Haryana Amendment) Bill be taken into consideration at once.”

Member rose to speak

The Motion was put to the house and carried.

The Bill was then considered clause by clause.

Sub-Clause (2) of Clause 1, Clause 2, Clause 3, Clause 4, Clause 5, Clause 6, Sub-Clause (1) of Clause (1) and the Title were put and carried.

The Finance Minister moved-

“That the Bill be passed”.

No Member rose to speak.

The motion was then put and carried

VI. Official Resolution.

Resolution moved by the Irrigation and Power Minister-

“This House Approves, under sub-section (3) of Section 65 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (Central Act 54 of 1948), fixation by the State Government of a higher maximum amount of thirty crores of rupees which the Haryana State electricity Board may at any time have on loan under sub-section (1) of that section.”

Was put and carried.

The Deputy Speaker occupied the Chair from 3.35 p.m. to 4.00 p.m. and 4.20 p.m. to 5.42 p.m. and Shri Ram Saran Chand Mital, a Member of the Panel of Chairmen occupied the chair from 4.15 p.m. to 4.20 p.m.

INDEX

TO

RESUME AND BULLETINS

February Session, 1970

“A”	Pages
Adjournment-	
-of the Assembly/Sabha	1, 78, 86
-Motion(s)	28, 58
Announcement(s)	58
-by the Secretary	58
-by the Speaker	56-57
“B”	
Bill(s)	
-Introduced-	
The Punjab pre-emption Haryana 66 Repeal- 1970	66
The Haryana Appropriation bill, 1970	13,70
The Punjab State Legislature (Prevention of disqualification) Haryana Amendment Bill,	79

1970	
The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970	80
The Punjab Legislative Assembly(allowances of members (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970	81
The Haryana Salaries Allowances of Ministers Bill, 1970.	82
The Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharges) Bill, 1970	82
The Punjab Town Improvement (Haryana Amendment and Validation) Bill, 1970	82
The Motor Vehicle (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970	83
The Punjab Passengers and Goods Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970	83
The Punjab Co-operative Societies (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970.	83
The Haryana Board of School Education Bill, 1970	84
The Punjab Motor Vehicle Taxation (Haryana amendment Bill, 1970	84
The Punjab professional, Trades, Callings and Employment Taxation (Haryana amendment)	84

Bill, 1970	
The Punjab Instrument (Control of Noises) Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970	85
The Punjab General Sales Tax (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970.	85
The Haryana Appropriation (No.2) bill, 1970	14, 79
-Considered and Passed-	
The Haryana Appropriation bill, 1970	13, 70
The Haryana Appropriation Bill (No. 2) 1970	14, 79
The Punjab State Legislature (Prevention of disqualification) Haryana Amendment Bill, 1970	79-80
The Punjab Legislative Assembly Speaker's and Deputy Speaker's Salaries (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970	80-81
The Punjab Legislative Assembly(allowances of members (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970	81
The Haryana Salaries Allowances of Ministers Bill, 1970.	82
The Haryana Land Revenue (Additional Surcharges) Bill, 1970	82
The Punjab Town Improvement (Haryana	82

Amendment and Validation) Bill, 1970	
The Motor Vehicle (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970	83
The Punjab Passengers and Goods Taxation (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970	83
The Punjab Co-operative Societies (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970.	83-84
The Haryana Board of School Education Bill, 1970	84
The Punjab Motor Vehicle Taxation (Haryana amendment Bill, 1970	84
The Punjab professional, Trades, Callings and Employment Taxation (Haryana amendment) Bill, 1970	84-85
The Punjab Instrument (Control of Noises) Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970	85
The Punjab General Sales Tax (Haryana Amendment) Bill, 1970.	85
-Pending- (Non-Official)	
The Punjab Pre-emption (Haryana Repeal)Bill, 1970	18
Synopsis of Bill Passed by the Vidhan Sabha	19-21

Table of Bills passed by Vidhan Sabha	16-17
Budget-	
Demands for Grant(s)-	13, 77
General Discussion of the-	13, 73, 75
Presentation of-	13, 71
Bulletins	56-86
“C:	
Call Attention Notices Under Rule 73	31-34,58-59, 62, 63, 64, 65, 68, 69, 70, 72, 75, 77
Committee(s)	
Members elected to-	
Public Accounts	10
Estimates	10
Members nominated on-	
-Business Advisory	7
-Rules	8
Government Assurances	9
Subordinate Legislation	8

-Privileges	9
-Library	8
-House	7
-Petitions	7, 56
Comparative Statement of Speeches 38 made and time taken thereon	
“E”	38
Extension of time/Sitting	62,64,75
“G”	
Governor Address-	11
Discussion on-	61, 62, 64
Laid on the Table of the House 56	56
Message from the Governor	67
Guillotine	13, 68, 77
“H”	
Half-an-Hour Discussion	73
“L”	
Legislation	15-17, 18
“M”	

-Members-	
Suspension of-from the Service of the House	62
Leave of Absences	66, 67
Motion(s)	
-No-Confidence	35-36, 78
-Other	30
-Under Rule 30	30, 72
-Under Rule 16	78
-Under Rule 15	78
“O”	
Obituary References-	
Shri P.H. Patwardhan, a veteran freedom fighter of Ahmednagar.	12, 57, 68
Shri P.V. Cherian, former Governor of Maharashtra	
Sardar Gurmukh Nihal Singh, former governor of Rajasthan	
Prof. D.C. Sharma, M.P., Punjab	
Shri Roop Lal Mehta, M.L.A., Haryana	
Prof. Humayun Kabir, Member of Parliament	

and former Union Minister	
Smt. Violet Alva, ex-Deputy Chairman of Rajya Sabha.	
Sardar Darshan Singh Pheruman	
Chaudhary Mohammad Yasin Khan	
Observation(s)-	46-52, 73
By the Speaker	
“P”	
Panel of Chairmen-Nomination of-	6,56
Papers/Statements Presented/Laid	25-56, 59-61, 68, 73
Personal Explanation(s)	75,
Point(s) of Order-	
Points of Orders and decision thereon	55, 56
Privileges, Question of-	29, 74-75, 76-77
Programme observed by the Vidhan Sabha	2-5
“Q”	
Question(s)-	
Figures relating to-	39

Starred-answered date-wise	41
Starred-dammed to have been answered under rule 45 date-wise	42
Stared-in the names of different Minister date-wise	43
Statement regarding	40
“R”	
Report(s) Presented/Laid	27
Assurances Committee	27, 61, 79
Estimates Committee	27, 61, 79
Public Accounts Committee	27, 78
Subordinate Legislation Committee	27, 79
Resolution(s)-	
Condolence-	12, 57, 69
Non-Official-	23-24, 66
Official-	22, 86
Ruling(s)-	
By the Speaker	53-54, 67
“S”	

Special Bulletin(s)-	64, 75, 77
Statement by-	
The Chief Minister/Ministers-	37, 65, 70, 72
Summoning Sittings and Propagation of the Vidhan Sabha	1
Supplementary Estimates	13, 61, 68
Discussion and Voting of the Demands for Supplementary Grants	68
“U”	
Unparliamentarily/Undesirable press ions	45
“W”	
Walk-Out(s)	44,59,68,78